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## INTRODUCTION

Since our founding in 2003, the mission of the Office for Education Policy has been to look at pressing issues through the lens of academic research and disseminate our findings to educators, policymakers, and other stakeholders around Arkansas. Every once in a while, however, we think it is okay to stray from issue analysis and simply share some good news!

So, in this Arkansas Education Report (AER) we aim to highlight excellent performance and give our congratulations. To that end, we are happy to highlight many high performing schools around the state in our now-annual AER entitled the Outstanding Educational Performance Awards.

Over a three month period this fall, a new set of OEP Awards will be released every couple of weeks to highlight the high performing schools in Arkansas on the Benchmark exam in math and literacy, and End-Of-Course (EOC) exams in Algebra, Geometry, Literacy (Grade 11), and Biology. This first release will begin by featuring high performing elementary and middle schools on Benchmark performance in literacy, math, and combined test score performance.

After we present our overall snapshot of high performing schools, we will release subsequent reports every few weeks focusing on a different subset of schools. In section two of the OEP Awards AER, we will feature schools that are beating the odds (that is, schools that have high levels of student achievement while serving a high percentage of low-income students). In the following weeks, we will focus on high performing elementary schools, middle schools, and high schools in the various regions across the state. Finally, we will conclude our report by focusing on the schools with the greatest improvement in test scores between 2009 and 2010. Our release schedule is:

- High Achieving "Overall" Schools in Arkansas (August 25, 2010)
- Beating the Odds - High Achieving Schools Serving Low Income Students (September 8, 2010)
- High Achieving Elementary Schools by Region (September 22, 2010)
- High Achieving Middle Schools by Region (October 6, 2010)
- High Achieving High Schools Across Arkansas (October 20, 2010)
- Most Improved Schools between 2009 and 2010 (November 3, 2010)

Stay tuned over the next ten weeks to see which schools in your area are recognized for our OEP Award!

## I. 2010 OEP Awards: High Achieving "Overall" Schools in Arkansas based on Performance on the Benchmark

This section highlights high performing elementary and middle schools across the state based on the Arkansas Benchmark exam. The Benchmark exams were administered to students in grades three through eight in math and literacy in April 2010. Each table in this section presents the top 20 schools for the noted subject and school level, and includes the region in which the school is located, the grades served at the school, and the percent of students scoring at the proficient and advanced levels in 2010.

## A. Benchmark Scores in Mathematics, 2010

Table 1: Top 20 Elementary Schools in Arkansas Based on Benchmark Math Achievement

|  | School (District) | Region ${ }^{\mathbf{1}}$ | Grades <br> Served | \% <br> Proficient/ <br> Advanced |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Eudora Elementary (Lakeside LV) | SE | K-3 | $100 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | Academy of Technology (Vilonia) | CN | $2-4$ | $98 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | Arnold Drive Elementary (Pulaski County) | CN | K-5 | $97 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | Central Park/Morning (Bentonville) | NW | K-4 | $97 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | Park Magnet School (Hot Springs) | CN | K-4 | $97 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | Bellview Elementary (Rogers) | NW | K-5 | $97 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | Forrest Heights Elementary (Harrison) | NW | K-4 | $97 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | Woodrow Cummins Elementary (Conway) | CN | K-4 | $96 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | Salem Elementary (Bryant) | CN | K-5 | $96 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | Cooper Elementary (Bentonville) | NW | K-4 | $96 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 1}$ | Elm Tree Elementary (Bentonville) | NW | K-4 | $96 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 2}$ | Richland Elementary (West Memphis) | NE | K-6 | $96 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 3}$ | Jim Stone Elementary (Conway) | CN | K-4 | $96 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 3}$ | Hamburg Lower Elementary (Hamburg) | SE | K-3 | $96 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 5}$ | Ida Burns Elementary (Conway) | CN | K-4 | $96 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 6}$ | Stagecoach Elementary (Cabot) | CN | K-4 | $96 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 7}$ | College Hills Elementary (Texarkana) | SW | K-4 | $96 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 8}$ | Cutter Morning Star Elem. (Cutter-Morning | CN | K-6 | $96 \%$ |
|  | Star) |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{1 9}$ | Forrest Park Elementary (Little Rock) | CN | K-5 | $95 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0}$ | Ellen Smith Elementary (Conway) | CN | K-4 | $95 \%$ |

- Indicates school placed on same category in 2008-09.

The percent proficient/advanced value shown is rounded. Ranks are based on actual values.

[^0]
## Table 2: Top 20 Middle Schools in Arkansas Based on Benchmark Math Achievement

|  | School (District) | Region | Grades <br> Served | $\mathbf{\%}$ <br> Proficient/ <br> Advanced |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Academy of Service and Technology (Vilonia) | CN | $5-6$ | $98 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | McNair Middle School (Fayetteville) | NW | $6-7$ | $94 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | Pea Ridge Middle School (Pea Ridge) | NW | $6-8$ | $93 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | Lincoln Jr. High (Bentonville) | NW | $7-8$ | $93 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | East Hills Middle School (Greenwood) | NW | $5-7$ | $92 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | Washington Jr. High (Bentonville) | NW | $7-8$ | $91 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | Greenbrier Middle School (Greenbrier) | CN | $6-7$ | $91 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | Ruth Hale Barker Middle School (Bentonville) | NW | $5-6$ | $90 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | Ruth Doyle Intermediate School (Conway) | CN | $5-6$ | $90 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | Old High Middle School (Bentonville) | NW | $5-6$ | $89 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 1}$ | Has Hall Academy (Haas Hall Academy) | NW | $8-12$ | $89 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 2}$ | Valley Springs Middle School (Valley Springs) | NW | $5-8$ | $89 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 3}$ | Carl Stuart Middle School (Conway) | CN | $7-8$ | $88 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 4}$ | Lakeside Middle School (Lakeside HS) | CN | $5-7$ | $88 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 5}$ | Southwest Middle School (Searcy) | NE | $5-6$ | $88 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 6}$ | Spring Hill Middle School (Bentonville) | NW | $5-6$ | $88 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 7}$ | Nettleton Middle School (Nettleton) | NE | 6 | $87 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 8}$ | Valley View High School (Valley View) | NE | $7-12$ | $87 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 9}$ | Swifton Middle School (Jackson County) | NE | $5-7$ | $87 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0}$ | Cabot Middle School North (Cabot) | CN | $5-6$ | $86 \%$ |

$\bullet$ Indicates school placed on same category in 2008-09.
For the purposes of this report elementary schools are defined as schools which primarily serve grades 3-5. Middle schools are defined as schools which primarily serve grades 6-8. For a detailed description of the categorization of schools, see Appendix A at the end of this document.
Eleven elementary schools and 12 schools at the middle school level on this year's Top 20 list were repeats from last year's honors.

In addition to the 40 schools recognized here, there were numerous schools that nearly made the list in mathematics. In fact, 67 elementary schools and 51 middle schools were within five percentage points of the $20^{\text {th }}$ school. Additionally, 347 out of the 511 elementary schools and 140 out of 373 middle schools had at least $75 \%$ of students score proficient and advanced.
As was the case in 2008-09, the schools in the top 20 serve relatively more advantaged student populations. At the elementary school level, the FRL rate for all Arkansas elementary schools was $64 \%$ while the FRL rate for the top 20 schools was $40 \%$. Similarly, the FRL rate for all Arkansas middle schools was 58\%; whereas, the FRL rate for schools in the top 20 was only 32\%.

Two regions stood out in the top 20 lists. For elementary schools, 11 of the top 20 schools were from the central region. At the middle school level, 10 of the top 20 schools were from the
northwest region. Additionally, 5 of the top 20 middle schools were from the Bentonville School District.

## B. Benchmark Scores in Literacy, 2010

Table 3: Top 20 Elementary Schools in Arkansas Based on Benchmark Literacy Achievement

| School (District) | Region | Grades <br> Served | $\begin{gathered} \hline \% \\ \text { Proficient/ } \\ \text { Advanced } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 Academy of Technology (Vilonia) | CN | 2-4 | 98\% |
| 2 Park Magnet School (Hot Springs) | CN | K-4 | 98\% |
| 3 Eudora Elementary (Lakeside LV) | SE | K-3 | 97\% |
| 4 College Hills Elementary (Texarkana) | SW | K-4 | 95\% |
| 5 Jim Stone Elementary (Conway) | CN | K-4 | 94\% |
| 6 Elm Tree Elementary (Bentonville) | NW | K-4 | 94\% |
| 7 Portland Elementary (Hamburg) | SE | K-6 | 93\% |
| 8 Bellview Elementary (Rogers) | NW | K-5 | 93\% |
| 9 Hector Elementary (Hector) | NW | K-6 | 93\% |
| 10 Mount Pleasant Elementary (Melbourne) | NE | K-6 | 93\% |
| 11 Hunt Elementary (Springdale) | NW | K-5 | 93\% |
| 12 Richland Elementary (West Memphis) | NE | K-6 | 93\% |
| 13 Forrest Heights Elementary (Harrison) | NW | K-4 | 93\% |
| 14 Salem Elementary (Bryant) | CN | K-5 | 92\% |
| 15 Forrest Park Elementary (Little Rock) | CN | K-5 | 92\% |
| 16 Ellen Smith Elementary (Conway) | CN | K-4 | 92\% |
| 17 Vandergriff Elementary (Fayetteville) | NW | K-5 | 92\% |
| 18 Arnold Drive Elementary (Pulaski County) | CN | K-5 | 92\% |
| 19 Central Park/Morning (Bentonville) | NW | K-4 | 92\% |
| 20 Spring Hill Elementary (Spring Hill) | SW | K-6 | 91\% |

- Indicates school placed on same list in 2008-09.

Table 4: Top 20 Middle Schools in Arkansas Based on Benchmark Literacy Achievement

|  | School (District) | Region | Grades Served |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Academy of Service and Technology (Vilonia) | CN | 5-6 | 97\% |
| 2 | Haas Hall Academy (Haas Hall Academy) | NW | 8-12 | 97\% |
| 3 | Washington Jr. High (Bentonville) | NW | 7-8 | 90\% |
| 4 | Southwest Middle School (Searcy) | NE | 5-6 | 90\% |
| 5 | Ahlf Jr. High (Searcy) | NE | 7-8 | 90\% |
| 6 | Lincoln Jr. High (Bentonville) | NW | 7-8 | 90\% |
| 7 | Valley Springs Middle School (Valley Springs) | NW | 5-8 | 89\% |
| 8 | Ruth Doyle Intermediate School (Conway)* | CN | 5-6 | 89\% |
| 9 | Lisa Middle School (Lisa Academy) | CN | 6-8 | 89\% |
|  | Benton Jr. High (Benton) | CN | 8-9 | 89\% |
| 11 | Harrison Middle School (Harrison) | NW | 5-6 | 89\% |
| 12 | McNair Middle School (Fayetteville) | NW | 6-7 | 89\% |
| 13 | Kingston High School (Jasper) | NW | 7-12 | 89\% |
|  | Lakeside Jr. High School (Lakeside HS) | CN | 8-9 | 88\% |
| 15 | Carl Stuart Middle School (Conway) | CN | 7-8 | 87\% |
|  | Mountain View Middle School (Mountain View) | NE | 6-8 | 87\% |
| 17 | Pea Ridge Middle School (Pea Ridge) | NW | 6-8 | 87\% |
| 18 | Valley View High School (Valley View) | NE | 7-12 | 87\% |
|  | East Hills Middle School (Greenwood) | NW | 5-7 | 87\% |
|  | Mountain Home Jr. High (Mountain Home) | NW | 8 | 87\% |

- Indicates school placed on same list in 2008-09.

In literacy, 13 elementary schools and 14 middle schools were repeat honorees from last year.
As was the case in math, there were numerous schools that nearly made these lists as well. In fact, 45 elementary schools and 43 middle schools were within five percentage points of the $20^{\text {th }}$ school on each respective list. Additionally, 258 out of the state's 511 elementary schools and 154 out of the state's 373 middle schools had at least $75 \%$ of students score proficient and advanced.

Again, it is also worth noting that many of the schools in the top 20 serve relatively advantaged student populations. At the elementary school level, the difference in FRL rates for literacy was more pronounced than in math as the FRL rate for all Arkansas elementary schools was 64\% while the FRL rate for the top 20 schools in literacy was $36 \%$. The difference in FRL rates at the middle school level was smaller than those in math. FRL rate for all Arkansas middle schools was $58 \%$; whereas, the FRL rate for schools in the top 20 was $34 \%$.

## C. Benchmark Scores Combined (Math and Literacy) ${ }^{\mathbf{3}}, 2010$

Table 5: Top 20 Elementary Schools in Arkansas Based on Benchmark Math and Literacy Achievement

|  |  | School (District) | Region |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Eudora Elementary (Lakeside LV) | SE | K-3 |
| Served | \% <br> Proficient/ <br> Advanced |  |  |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | Academy of Technology (Vilonia) | CN | $2-4$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | Park Magnet School (Hot Springs) | CN | K-4 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | College Hills Elementary (Texarkana) | SW | K-4 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | Bellview Elementary (Rogers) | NW | K-5 |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | Jim Stone Elementary (Conway) | CN | K-4 |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | Elm Tree Elementary (Bentonville) | NW | K-4 |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | Arnold Drive Elementary (Pulaski County) | CN | K-5 |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | Forrest Heights Elementary (Harrison) | NW | K-4 |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | Richland Elementary (West Memphis) | NE | K-6 |
| $\mathbf{1 1}$ | Central Park/Morning (Bentonville) | NW | K-4 |
| $\mathbf{1 2}$ | Salem Elementary (Bryant) | CN | K-5 |
| $\mathbf{1 3}$ | Forrest Park Elementary (Little Rock) | CN | K-5 |
| $\mathbf{1 4}$ | Ellen Smith Elementary (Conway) | CN | K-4 |
| $\mathbf{1 5}$ | Hunt Elementary (Springdale) | NW | K-5 |
| $\mathbf{1 6}$ | Mount Pleasant Elementary (Melbourne) | NE | K-6 |
| $\mathbf{1 7}$ | Magness Creek Elementary (Cabot) | CN | K-4 |
| $\mathbf{1 8}$ | Pottsville Elementary (Pottsville) | NW | K-3 |
| $\mathbf{1 9}$ | Vandergriff Elementary (Fayetteville) | NW | K-5 |
| $\mathbf{2 0}$ | Stagecoach Elementary (Cabot) | CN | K-4 |

- Indicates school placed on same list in 2008-09.

[^1]Table 6: Top 20 Middle Schools in Arkansas Based on Math and Literacy Achievement

|  | School (District) | Region | Grades Served | \% <br> Proficient/ Advanced |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Academy of Service and Technology (Vilonia) | CN | 5-6 | 98\% |
| 2 | Haas Hall Academy (Haas Hall Academy) | NW | 8-12 | 93\% |
| 3 | McNair Middle School (Fayetteville) | NW | 6-7 | 91\% |
| 4 | Lincoln Jr. High (Bentonville) | NW | 7-8 | 91\% |
| 5 | Washington Jr. High (Bentonville) | NW | 7-8 | 91\% |
| 6 | Pea Ridge Middle School (Pea Ridge) | NW | 6-8 | 90\% |
| 7 | Ruth Doyle Intermediate School (Conway) | CN | 5-6 | 90\% |
| 8 | East Hills Middle School (Greenwood) | NW | 5-7 | 90\% |
| 9 | Valley Springs Middle School (Valley Springs) | NW | 5-8 | 89\% |
| 10 | Southwest Middle School (Searcy) | NE | 5-6 | 89\% |
|  | Carl Stuart Middle School (Conway) | CN | 7-8 | 88\% |
| 12 | Ruth Hale Barker Middle School (Bentonville) | NW | 5-6 | 88\% |
| 13 | Harrison Middle School (Harrison) | NW | 5-6 | 87\% |
|  | Valley View High School (Valley View) | NE | 7-12 | 87\% |
| 15 | Old High Middle School (Bentonville) | NW | 5-6 | 87\% |
| 16 | Lisa Middle School (Lisa Academy) | CN | 6-8 | 87\% |
|  | Mountain View Middle School (Mountain View) | NE | 6-8 | 86\% |
|  | Greenbrier Middle School (Greenbrier) | CN | 6-7 | 86\% |
|  | Kingston High School (Jasper) | NW | 7-12 | 86\% |
|  | Ahlf Jr. High (Searcy) | NE | 7-8 | 86\% |

- Indicates school placed on same list in 2008-09.

Both the middle school and elementary school combined benchmark categories had 13 repeat honorees from last year.
In addition to the 40 schools recognized here, several schools nearly made the list in both math and literacy. In fact, 66 elementary schools and 44 middle schools were within five percentage points of the $20^{\text {th }}$ school. Additionally, 314 out of the state's 511 elementary schools and 150 out of the state's 373 middle schools had at least $75 \%$ of students score proficient and advanced.

As was the case in math and literacy, many of the schools in the top 20 serve relatively advantaged student populations. Roughly $64 \%$ of that state's elementary students are eligible for free/reduced lunch (FRL), while FRL rate of the students on the top combined lists is half that at $32 \%$. At the middle school level also, the FRL rate for the highest achieving schools is $32 \%$ as opposed to a state middle school FRL rate of 58\%.

Again, these schools tend to be clustered in the same regions. The central region had a strong showing among elementary schools, and schools from the northwest region held 11 of the 20 spots on the middle school list.

## II. 2010 OEP AwARds: BEATING THE Odds - HIGH Achieving Schools Serving Low-Income Students

This section highlights the high performing "high-poverty" elementary and middle schools across the state based on the Arkansas Benchmark exam. The Benchmark exams were administered to students in grades three through eight in math and literacy in April 2010. For the purpose of this report, a school is classified as high-poverty if at least two-thirds (66\%) of the students were eligible for the Free or Reduced Lunch (FRL) program ${ }^{4}$ in the 2009-10 year.
In 2009-2010, approximately 57\% of the state’s elementary schools (292 of 512) served student populations facing this level of economic disadvantage. While roughly $64 \%$ of the state's students were eligible for FRL, the high-poverty schools served more disadvantaged populations, with $81 \%$ of the students in these schools eligible for FRL.

In 2009-2010, approximately $39 \%$ of the state's middle schools (145 of 374) served student populations in which at least two-thirds of the students were economically disadvantaged. While roughly 58\% of the state's students are eligible for FRL, the high-poverty schools served more disadvantaged student populations, with $80 \%$ of the students in these schools eligible for FRL.
Each table presents the top 20 high-poverty schools for the noted subject and school level and includes the percent of students eligible for FRL, the region in which the school is located, the grades served at the school, and the percent of students scoring at the proficient and advanced levels in 2010.

[^2]
## A. Benchmark Scores in Mathematics, 2010

Table 7: Top 20 Elementary "High-Poverty" Schools in Arkansas Based on Benchmark Math Achievement

|  | School (District) | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { FRL } \end{gathered}$ | Region ${ }^{5}$ | Grades Served | \% <br> Proficient/ <br> Advanced ${ }^{6}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Eudora Elementary (Lakeside LV)* | 92\% | SE | K-3 | 100\% |
| 2 | Hamburg Lower Elementary (Hamburg) | 100\% | SE | K-3 | 96\% |
| 3 | Cutter Morning Star Elementary (CutterMorning. Star)* | 68\% | CN | K-6 | 96\% |
| 4 | Kingston Elementary (Jasper)* | 67\% | NW | K-6 | 94\% |
| 5 | Eastside Elementary (Rogers)* | 70\% | NW | K-5 | 94\% |
| 6 | Cowsert Elementary (Clinton) | 73\% | NW | K-3 | 94\% |
| 7 | Morrilton Elementary (South Conway County) | 70\% | NW | 2-3 | 94\% |
| 8 | Tuckerman Elementary (Jackson County)* | 68\% | NE | K-4 | 94\% |
| 9 | Old Wire Elementary (Rogers) | 81\% | NW | K-5 | 94\% |
| 10 | Sidney Deener Elementary (Searcy) | 67\% | NE | K-4 | 94\% |
| 11 | Luxora Elementary (South Mississippi) | 97\% | NE | K-4 | 93\% |
| 12 | Woodland Heights (Harrison)* | 70\% | NW | K-4 | 92\% |
| 13 | Gibbs Albright Elementary (Newport) | 76\% | NE | 4-6 | 92\% |
| 14 | Green Forest Elementary (Green Forest) | 82\% | NW | K-3 | 92\% |
| 15 | DeQueen Elementary (DeQueen) | 79\% | SW | 3-5 | 92\% |
| 16 | Grace Hill Elementary (Rogers) | 87\% | NW | K-5 | 91\% |
| 17 | Amanda Gist Elementary (Cotter)* | 68\% | NW | K-6 | 91\% |
| 18 | Mattison Elementary (Conway) | 97\% | CN | K-4 | 90\% |
| 19 | Bonnie Grimes Elementary (Rogers) | 79\% | NW | K-5 | 90\% |
| 20 | Cavanaugh Elementary (Fort Smith)* | 67\% | NW | K-6 | 90\% |

* Schools honored in both math and literacy this year.

Along with these top 20 schools, many other schools are beating the odds. In math, 148 out of the 292 high-poverty elementary schools in the state had $75 \%$ of students score proficient or advanced. Three of these schools are not only among the highest achieving schools serving disadvantaged student populations, but are also among the highest performing schools in the state. Cutter Morning Star Elementary (Cutter-Morning Star), Hamburg Lower Elementary (Hamburg), and Eudora Elementary (Lakeside) were also in the Top 20 overall elementary math category, highlighted in Section I above.

[^3]Table 8: Top 20 Middle "High-Poverty" Schools in Arkansas Based on Benchmark Math Achievement

|  | School (District) | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ F R L \end{gathered}$ | Region | Grades Served | \% <br> Proficient/ Advanced |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Swifton Middle (Jackson County) | 69\% | NE | 5-7 | 87\% |
| 2 | Marshall High (Searcy County)* | 70\% | NW | 7-12 | 85\% |
| 3 | Charleston High (Charleston)* | 69\% | NW | 7-12 | 83\% |
| 4 | Danville Middle (Danville) | 70\% | NW | 6-8 | 83\% |
| 5 | J.D. Leftwich High (Magazine) | 68\% | NW | 7-12 | 83\% |
| 6 | Mountainburg Middle (Mountainburg)* | 72\% | NW | 5-8 | 82\% |
| 7 | Kraus Middle (Clarksville)* | 69\% | NW | 5-6 | 81\% |
| 8 | Wilson Intermediate (Malvern)* | 77\% | CN | 5-6 | 81\% |
| 9 | Green Forest Intermediate (Green Forest)* | 78\% | NW | 4-7 | 80\% |
| 10 | DeQueen Middle (DeQueen) | 79\% | SW | 6-7 | 79\% |
| 11 | DeQueen Junior High (DeQueen)* | 74\% | SW | 8-9 | 79\% |
| 12 | Trumann Intermediate (Trumann) | 67\% | NE | 7-8 | 79\% |
| 13 | Deer High (Deer/Mt. Judea) | 69\% | NW | 7-12 | 79\% |
| 14 | Green Forest High (Green Forest) | 69\% | NW | 8-12 | 78\% |
| 15 | J.O. Kelly Middle (Springdale) | 71\% | NW | 6-7 | 77\% |
| 16 | Drew Central Middle School (Drew Central) | 73\% | SE | 5-8 | 77\% |
| 17 | Cave City Intermediate (Cave City)* | 70\% | NE | 5-6 | 77\% |
| 18 | Nemo Vista Middle (Nemo Vista)* | 66\% | NW | 6-8 | 77\% |
|  | Dardanelle Middle (Dardanelle)* | 69\% | NW | 7-8 | 76\% |
|  | Warren Middle School (Warren) | 75\% | SE | 6-8 | 76\% |

* Schools honored in both Math and Literacy this year.

At the middle school level, only two other high-poverty schools Tuckerman High (Jackson County) and Trumann Intermediate (Trumann) scored 75\% or above proficient or advanced in math. The top high-poverty school on this list, Swifton Middle School (Jackson County), was also highlighted in the Top 20 overall middle school math category, presented in Section I above.

We have been highlighting these schools based on the school-wide average. However, there are several grade levels within these schools that stand out for exceptional performance. The next table will highlight the high achievers by grade in the high-poverty schools across the state.

Table 9: Top 5 Schools by Grade in "High-Poverty" Schools in Arkansas Based on Benchmark Math Achievement

|  | School (District) | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { FRL } \end{gathered}$ | Region | $\%$ <br> Proficient/ Advanced |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ Grade |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Eudora Elementary (Lakeside LV) | 92\% | SE | 100\% |
| 1 | Bruno-Pyatt Elementary (Ozark Mountain) | 82\% | NW | 100\% |
| 1 | Portland Elementary (Hamburg) | 76\% | SE | 100\% |
| 1 | Hillcrest Elementary (Hillcrest) | 75\% | NE | 100\% |
| 1 | Wickes Elementary (Wickes) | 73\% | SW | 100\% |
| 1 | Bradford Elementary (Bradford) | 73\% | NE | 100\% |
| 1 | Rural Special Elementary (Mountain View) | 71\% | NE | 100\% |
| 1 | Paron Elementary (Bryant) | 67\% | CN | 100\% |
| ( $4^{\text {th }}$ Grade |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Evening Shade Elementary (Cave City) | 89\% | NE | 100\% |
| 1 | Kingston Elementary (Jasper) | 67\% | NW | 100\% |
| 3 | Judsonia Elementary (Riverview) | 75\% | NE | 98\% |
| 3 | Cutter Morning Star (Cutter Morning Star) | 68\% | CN | 98\% |
| 5 | Woodland Heights Elementary (Harrison) | 70\% | NW | 97\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ Grade |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Old Wire Elementary (Rogers) | 81\% | NW | 96\% |
| 2 | Cavanaugh Elementary (Fort Smith) | 67\% | NW | 96\% |
| 3 | Gibbs Albright Elementary (Newport) | 76\% | NE | 94\% |
| 3 | Kingston Elementary (Jasper) | 67\% | NW | 94\% |
| 5 | Jo Ann Walters Elementary (Dierks) | 68\% | SW | 92\% |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ Grade |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Danville Middle School (Danville) | 70\% | NW | 97\% |
|  | Cutter Morning Star Elementary (Cutter Morning Star) | 68\% | CN | 96\% |
| 3 | Weaver Elementary (West Memphis) | 92\% | NE | 94\% |
| 3 | Jo Ann Walters Elementary (Dierks) | 68\% | SW | 94\% |
|  | Cavanaugh Elementary (Fort Smith) | 67\% | NW | 93\% |
| 5 | Kingston Elementary (Jasper) | 67\% | NW | 93\% |
| $7^{\text {th }}$ Grade |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Deer High (Deer/Mt. Judea) | 69\% | NW | 92\% |
| 2 | Marshall High (Searcy County) | 70\% | NW | 91\% |
| 3 | Nemo Vista Middle (Nemo Vista) | 66\% | NW | 89\% |
| 4 | Rural Special High (Mountain View) | 69\% | NE | 88\% |
| 4 | Trumann Intermediate (Trumann) | 67\% | NE | 88\% |
| $8^{\text {th }}$ Grade |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | J.D. Leftwich High (Magazine) | 68\% | NW | 87\% |
| 2 | DeQueen Jr. High (DeQueen) | 74\% | SW | 79\% |
| 2 | Marshall High (Searcy County) | 70\% | NW | 79\% |
| 4 | Green Forest High (Green Forest) | 69\% | NW | 78\% |
|  | Cedarville Middle (Cedarville) | 73\% | NW | 77\% |

## B. Benchmark Scores in Literacy, 2010

Table 10: Top 20 Elementary "High-Poverty" Schools in Arkansas Based on Benchmark Literacy Achievement

|  | School (District) | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ F R L \end{gathered}$ | Region | Grades Served | \% <br> Proficient/ Advanced |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Eudora Elementary (Lakeside LV)* | 92\% | SE | K-3 | 97\% |
| 2 | Portland Elementary (Hamburg) | 76\% | SE | K-6 | 93\% |
| 3 | Hector Elementary (Hector) | 76\% | NW | K-6 | 93\% |
| 4 | Rural Special Elementary (Mountain View) | 71\% | NE | K-6 | 91\% |
| 5 | Norfork Elementary (Norfork) | 83\% | NW | K-6 | 90\% |
| 6 | Tuckerman Elementary (Jackson County)* | 68\% | NE | K-4 | 89\% |
| 7 | Gurdon Primary School (Gurdon) | 79\% | SW | K-4 | 89\% |
| 8 | Judsonia Elementary (Riverview) | 75\% | NE | K-6 | 89\% |
| 9 | Cavanaugh Elementary (Fort Smith)* | 67\% | NW | K-6 | 87\% |
| 10 | Omaha Elementary (Omaha) | 77\% | NW | K-6 | 87\% |
| 11 | Woodland Heights (Harrison)* | 70\% | NW | K-4 | 86\% |
| 12 | Kingston Elementary (Jasper)* | 67\% | NW | K-6 | 85\% |
| 13 | Eastside Elementary (Rogers)* | 70\% | NW | K-5 | 85\% |
| 14 | Yellville-Summit Elementary (YellvilleSummit) | 74\% | NW | K-4 | 85\% |
| 15 | Marshall Elementary (Searcy County) | 69\% | NW | K-6 | 84\% |
| 16 | Cutter Morning Star Elementary (CutterMrg. Star)* | 68\% | CN | K-6 | 84\% |
| 17 | Pyron Elementary (Clarksville) | 70\% | NW | 2-4 | 84\% |
| 18 | Eagle Heights Elementary (Harrison) | 71\% | NW | K-4 | 84\% |
| 19 | Morrilton Intermediate (South Conway) | 68\% | NW | 4-6 | 84\% |
|  | Amanda Gist Elementary (Cotter)* | 68\% | NW | K-6 | 83\% |

* Schools honored in both math and literacy this year.

Along with these top 20 schools, many other schools are beating the odds. In fact, 88 highpoverty elementary schools boasted literacy achievement levels above $75 \%$ or more of students proficient or advanced.

Three of these schools are not only among the highest achieving schools serving disadvantaged student populations, but are also among the highest performing schools in the state. Eudora Elementary (Lakeside LV), Hector Elementary (Hector), and Portland Elementary (Hamburg) were also in the Top 20 overall in elementary literacy category, highlighted in Section I above.

Table 11: Top 20 Middle "High-Poverty" Schools in Arkansas Based on Benchmark Literacy Achievement

|  | School (District) | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { FRL } \end{gathered}$ | Region | Grades Served | \% Proficient/ Advanced |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Kraus Middle (Clarksville)* | 69\% | NW | 5-6 | 84\% |
| 2 | Tuckerman High (Jackson County) | 67\% | NE | 8-12 | 81\% |
| 3 | Marshall High (Searcy County)* | 70\% | NW | 7-12 | 81\% |
| 4 | Charleston High (Charleston)* | 69\% | NW | 7-12 | 79\% |
| 5 | Mountainburg Middle (Mountainburg)* | 72\% | NW | 5-8 | 79\% |
| 6 | Yellville-Summit Middle (YellvilleSummit) | 70\% | NW | 5-8 | 79\% |
| 7 | Green Forest High (Green Forest)* | 69\% | NW | 8-12 | 78\% |
| 8 | Mineral Springs High (Mineral Springs) | 84\% | SW | 7-12 | 78\% |
| 9 | Oak Grove Middle (Paragould) | 67\% | NE | 5-6 | 77\% |
| 10 | Marked Tree High (Marked Tree) | 72\% | NE | 7-12 | 77\% |
| 11 | Wilson Intermediate (Malvern)* | 77\% | CN | 5-6 | 77\% |
| 12 | Nemo Vista Middle (Nemo Vista)* | 66\% | NW | 6-8 | 77\% |
| 13 | Norfork High (Norfork) | 78\% | NW | 7-12 | 77\% |
| 14 | WYC High (Western Yell County) | 77\% | NW | 7-12 | 76\% |
| 15 | Cave City Intermediate (Cave City)* | 70\% | NE | 5-6 | 76\% |
| 16 | Riverview Jr. High (Riverview) | 70\% | NE | 7-8 | 76\% |
| 17 | Dardanelle Middle (Dardanelle)* | 69\% | NW | 7-8 | 75\% |
| 18 | DeQueen Junior High (DeQueen)* | 74\% | SW | 8-9 | 75\% |
| 19 | Acorn High (Ouachita River) | 68\% | SW | 7-12 | 75\% |
|  | Omaha High (Omaha) | 68\% | NW | 7-12 | 75\% |

Only one other high-poverty school Deer High School (Deer/Mt. Judea) had 75\% or more students score proficient or advanced in middle school literacy.

We have been highlighting these schools based on the school-wide average. However, there are several grade levels within schools that stand out for exceptional performance. The next table will highlight the high achieving grades in high-poverty schools across the state.

Table 12: Top 5 Schools by Grade in "High-Poverty" Schools in Arkansas Based on Benchmark Literacy Achievement

|  | School (District) | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { FRL } \end{gathered}$ | Region | \% <br> Proficient/ <br> Advanced |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ Grade |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Rural Special Elementary (Mountain View) | 71\% | NE | 100\% |
| 2 | Eudora Elementary (Lakeside LV) | 92\% | SE | 97\% |
| 2 | Judsonia Elementary (Riverview) | 75\% | NE | 97\% |
| 4 | Hector Elementary (Hector) | 76\% | NW | 93\% |
| 5 | Luxora Elementary (South Mississippi | 97\% | NE | 90\% |
| 5 | Black Rock Elementary (Lawrence County) | 82\% | NE | 90\% |
| 5 | Caddo Hills Elementary (Caddo Hills) | 78\% | SW | 90\% |
| 5 | Tuckerman Elementary (Jackson County) | 68\% | NE | 90\% |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ Grade |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Portland Elementary (Hamburg) | 76\% | SE | 100\% |
| 2 | Ode Maddox Elementary (Ouachita River) | 89\% | SW | 96\% |
| 3 | Mt. Judea Elementary (Deer/Mt. Judea) | 82\% | NW | 93\% |
| 4 | Delight Elementary (Delight) | 69\% | SW | 92\% |
| 4 | Cutter Morning Star (Cutter Morning Star) | 68\% | CN | 92\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ Grade |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Cavanaugh Elementary (Fort Smith) | 67\% | NW | 97\% |
| 2 | Norfork Elementary (Norfork) | 83\% | NW | 96\% |
| 3 | Hector Elementary (Hector) | 76\% | NW | 95\% |
| 3 | Portland Elementary (Hamburg) | 76\% | SE | 95\% |
| 5 | Umpire Elementary (Wickes) | 86\% | SW | 93\% |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ Grade |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Hector Elementary (Hector) | 76\% | NW | 94\% |
| 2 | Norfork Elementary (Norfork) | 83\% | NW | 93\% |
| 2 | Timbo Elementary (Mountain View) | 80\% | NE | 93\% |
| 4 | WYC Elementary (Western Yell County) | 80\% | NW | 91\% |
| 4 | Rural Special Elementary (Mountain View) | 71\% | NE | 91\% |
| $7^{\text {th }}$ Grade |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Deer High (Deer/Mt. Judea) | 69\% | NW | 92\% |
| 2 | Rural Special High (Mountain View) | 69\% | NE | 82\% |
| 3 | Nemo Vista Middle (Nemo Vista) | 66\% | NW | 81\% |
| 4 | Norfork High (Norfork) | 78\% | NW | 77\% |
| 4 | Oden High (Ouachita River) | 66\% | SW | 77\% |
| $8^{\text {th }}$ Grade |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Mineral Springs High (Mineral Springs) | 84\% | SW | 92\% |
| 2 | Yellville-Summit Middle (Yellville-Summit) | 70\% | NW | 90\% |
| 3 | KIPP: Delta College Prep (KIPP: Delta) | 85\% | SE | 89\% |
| 4 | Marked Tree High (Marked Tree) | 72\% | NE | 86\% |
| 5 | Marshall High (Searcy County) | 70\% | NW | 85\% |

Among the high-poverty schools in the state, many high-poverty schools obtained the accomplishment of being on two lists, either Top 20 math and literacy for high-poverty schools or of being both Top 20 on the high-poverty and the overall lists in one subject. Cutter Morning Star Elementary (Cutter Morning Star) was on three lists in the Top 20 in both math and literacy for high-poverty schools and the Top 20 math overall. Only one school, Eudora Elementary (Lakeside LV), earned the highest achievement of being in the Top 20 on all five lists. Eudora Elementary was not only on the Top 20 list for both subjects as a high-poverty school, but was also in the Top 20 overall for math, literacy, and combined. Eudora Elementary ranked third in elementary reading overall and first in elementary math, elementary combined, high-poverty math, and high-poverty reading.

The first two sections of this report focused on all elementary and middle schools across the state based on the Arkansas Benchmark exams. In the following sections, we will take a closer look at these schools. First, we will highlight high achieving elementary schools in each region of Arkansas, and focus on middle schools in each region in the following section.

## III. 2010 OEP Awards: High Achieving Elementary Schools

This section provides a deeper look at the high achieving elementary schools and grades ${ }^{7}$ within schools based on the Arkansas Benchmark exam. The Benchmark exams were administered to students in grades three through eight in math and literacy in April 2010.
Each table presents the high achieving schools for the noted grade and subject and includes the region in which the school is located, the number of test takers, and the percent of students scoring at the proficient and advanced levels in 2010. Because we already listed our overall top performing elementary schools in Section I (pages 4-9 of this report), we move directly into listing the top performing elementary schools by grade.

## A. Elementary Math by Grade, 2010

Table 13: Top 10 Arkansas Schools: Grade Three Math Achievement

|  | School (District) | Region | \# of <br> Test <br> Takers | \% <br> Proficient// <br> Advanced |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Ellen Smith Elementary (Conway) | CN | 97 | $100 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Wickes Elementary (Wickes) | SW | 54 | $100 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Eudora Elementary (Lakeside HS) | SE | 30 | $100 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Spring Hill Elementary (Spring Hill) | SW | 29 | $100 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Bradford Elementary (Bradford) | NE | 28 | $100 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Hillcrest Elementary (Hillcrest) | NE | 26 | $100 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Taylor Elementary (Emerson-Taylor) | SW | 22 | $100 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Portland Elementary (Hamburg) | SE | 21 | $100 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Garfield Elementary (Rogers) | NW | 19 | $100 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Gillett Elementary (Dewitt) | SE | 16 | $100 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Paron Elementary (Bryant) | CN | 16 | $100 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Mount Pleasant Elementary (Melbourne) | NE | 15 | $100 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Rural Special Elementary (Mountain View) | NE | 12 | $100 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Bruno-Pyatt Elementary (Ozark Mountain) | NW | 11 | $100 \%$ |

[^4]Table 14: Top 10 Arkansas Schools: Grade Four Math Achievement

|  | School (District) | Region | \# of <br> Test <br> Takers | \% <br> Proficient/ <br> Advanced |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Charleston Elementary (Charleston) | NW | 58 | $100 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Buffalo Island Central West Elementary <br> (Buffalo Island) | NE | 30 | $100 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Academy of Technology (Vilonia) | NC | 28 | $100 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Kingston Elementary (Jasper) | NW | 20 | $100 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | Hurricane Creek Elementary (Bryant) | CN | 97 | $98 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | Forest Heights Elementary (Harrison) | NW | 74 | $98 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | Cutter Morning Star (Sutter Morning Star) | CN | 49 | $98 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | Judsonia Elementary (Riverview) | NE | 42 | $98 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | Central Park Elementary (Bentonville) | NW | 193 | $97 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | Stagecoach Elementary (Cabot) | CN | 94 | $97 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | Bellview Elementary (Rogers) | NW | 89 | $97 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | Forest Park Elementary (Little Rock) | CN | 73 | $97 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | Woodrow Cummings Elementary (Little Rock) | CN | 69 | $97 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | Park Magnet School (Hot Springs) | CN | 44 | $97 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | Spring Hill Elementary ( Spring Hill) | SW | 38 | $97 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | Woodland Heights Elementary (Harrison) | NW | 27 | $97 \%$ |

Table 15: Top 10 Arkansas Schools: Grade Five Math Achievement

|  | School (District) | Region | \# of <br> Test <br> Takers | \% <br> Proficient/ <br> Advanced |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Richland Elementary (West Memphis) | NW | 84 | $100 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Mount Pleasant Elementary (Melbourne) | NW | 26 | $100 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Arnold Drive Elementary (Pulaski County) | CN | 25 | $100 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | Bellview Elementary (Rogers) | NW | 98 | $99 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | Salem Elementary (Bryant) | CN | 77 | $97 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | Parkers Chapel Elementary (Parkers Chapel) | SW | 59 | $97 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | Academy of Service and Technology | CN | 54 | $96 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | Cilonia) | NE | 53 | $96 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | Cavanaugh Elementary (Fort Smith) | NW | 28 | $96 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | Hunt Elementary (Springdale) | NW | 96 | $94 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | Gibbs Albright Elementary (Newport) | NE | 85 | $94 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | Kinston Elementary (Jasper) | NW | 16 | $94 \%$ |

## B. Elementary Literacy by Grade, 2010

Table 16: Top 10 Arkansas Schools: Grade Three Literacy Achievement

|  | School (District) | Region | \# of <br> Test <br> Takers | \% <br> Proficient/ <br> Advanced |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Spring Hill Elementary (Spring Hill) | SW | 29 | $100 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Mount Pleasant Elementary (Melbourne) | NE | 15 | $100 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Rural Special Elementary (Mountain View) | NE | 12 | $100 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | Judsonia Elementary (Riverview) | NE | 51 | $97 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | Eudora Elementary (Lakeside LV) | SE | 30 | $97 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | Springhill Elementary (Bryant) | CN | 75 | $96 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | College Hill Elementary (Texarkana) | SW | 73 | $96 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | Park Magnet (Hot Springs) | CN | 42 | $96 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | Academy of Technology (Vilonia) | CN | 25 | $96 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | Elm Tree Elementary (Bentonville) | NW | 118 | $94 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | Forest Park Elementary (Little Rock) | CN | 67 | $94 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | Gillett Elementary (Dewitt) | SE | 16 | $94 \%$ |

Table 17: Top 10 Arkansas Schools: Grade Four Literacy Achievement

|  | School (District) | Region | \# of <br> Test <br> Takers | \% <br> Proficient/ <br> Advanced |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Park Magnet (Hot Springs) | CN | 44 | $100 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Portland Elementary (Hamburg) | SE | 30 | $100 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Academy of Technology (Vilonia) | CN | 28 | $100 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | Bellview Elementary (Rogers) | NW | 89 | $97 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | Julia Moore Elementary (Conway) | CN | 64 | $97 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | Jim Stone Elementary (Conway) | CN | 93 | $96 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | Salem Elementary (Bryant) | CN | 83 | $96 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | Forest Heights Elementary (Harrison) | NW | 74 | $96 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | Richland Elementary (West Memphis) | NE | 74 | $96 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | Ode Maddox Elementary (Ouachita River) | SW | 25 | $96 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | Arnold Drive Elementary (Pulaski County) | CN | 25 | $96 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | Lisa Academy North Elementary | CN | 25 | $96 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | (Lisa Academy NLR) |  |  |  |

Table 18: Top 10 Arkansas Schools: Grade Five Literacy Achievement

|  | School (District) | Region | \# of <br> Test <br> Takers | \% <br> Proficient/ <br> Advanced |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Cavanaugh Elementary (Fort Smith) | NW | 28 | $97 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | Norfork Elementary (Norfork) | NW | 29 | $96 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | Academy of Service and Technology | CN | 54 | $95 \%$ |
|  | (Vilonia) | NW | 44 | $95 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | Hector Elementary (Hector) | SE | 21 | $95 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | Portland Elementary (Hamburg) | NW | 98 | $94 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | Bellview Elementary (Rogers) | CN | 373 | $93 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | Ruth Doyle Intermediate (Conway) | NE | 84 | $93 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | Richland Elementary (West Memphis) | CN | 77 | $93 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | Salem Elementary (Bryant) | CN | 72 | $93 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | Moody Elementary (White Hall) | SW | 15 | $93 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | Umpire Elementary (Wickes) |  |  |  |

## C. Elementary Combined (Math and Literacy)8 by Grade, 2010

Table 19: Top 10 Arkansas Schools: Grade Three Combined (Math and Literacy) Achievement

|  | School (District) | Region | $\%$ <br> Proficient/ <br> Advanced |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Spring Hill (Spring Hill) | SW | $100 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | Mount Pleasant Elementary (Melbourne) | NE | $100 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | Rural Special Elementary (Mountain View) | NE | $100 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | Eudora Elementary (Lakeside LV) | SE | $99 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | Springhill Elementary (Bryant) | CN | $98 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | Judsonia Elementary (Riverview) | NE | $97 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | Gillett Elementary (Dewitt) | SE | $97 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | Elm Tree Elementary (Bentonville) | NW | $97 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | Ellen Smith Elementary (Conway) | CN | $97 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | College Hill Elementary (Texarkana) | SW | $97 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | Park Magnet (Hot Springs) | CN | $97 \%$ |

Table 20: Top 10 Arkansas Schools: Grade Four Combined (Math and Literacy) Achievement

|  | School (District) | Region | \% <br> Proficient/ <br> Advanced |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Academy of Technology (Vilonia) | CN | $100 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | Park Magnet (Hot Springs) | CN | $99 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | Charleston Elementary (Charleston) | NW | $98 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | Bellview Elementary (Rogers) | NW | $97 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | Forest Heights (Harrison) | NW | $97 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | Jim Stone (Conway) | CN | $96 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | Ode Maddox (Ouachita River) | SW | $96 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | Arnold Drive (Pulaski County) | CN | $96 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | Central Park (Bentonville) | NW | $96 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | Hurricane Creek Elementary (Bryant) | CN | $96 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | Stagecoach Elementary (Cabot) | CN | $96 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | Richland Elementary (West Memphis) | NE | $96 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | Julia Moore Elementary (Conway) | CN | $96 \%$ |

[^5]Table 21: Top 10 Arkansas Schools: Grade Five Combined (Math and Literacy) Achievement

|  | School (District) | Region | \% <br> Proficient/ Advanced |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Bellview Elementary (Rogers) | NW | 97\% |
| 1 | Richland Elementary (West Memphis) | NE | 97\% |
| 1 | Cavanaugh Elementary (Fort Smith) | NW | 97\% |
| 4 | Mount Pleasant Elementary (Melbourne) | NE | 96\% |
| 4 | Arnold Drive Elementary (Pulaski County) | CN | 96\% |
| 4 | Academy of Service and Technology (Vilonia) | CN | 96\% |
| 7 | Salem Elementary (Bryant) | CN | 95\% |
| 8 | Parkers Chapel Elementary (Parkers Chapel) | SW | 94\% |
| 8 | Salem Elementary (Salem) | NE | 94\% |
| 10 | Hunt Elementary (Springdale) | NW | 93\% |
| 10 | Forest Park Elementary (Little Rock) | CN | 93\% |
| 10 | Hector Elementary (Hector) | NW | 93\% |

The sections below present the high achieving schools for the noted grade and subject in each region and includes the grades served in the school and the percent of students scoring at the proficient and advanced levels in 2010.

## D. Northwest Region, 2010

Table 22: Top 5 Overall Elementary Schools in Northwest Arkansas Based on Benchmark Math Achievement

|  | School (District) | Grades <br> Served | \% <br> Proficient/ <br> Advanced |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Central Park/Morning Star (Bentonville) | K-4 | $97 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | Bellview Elementary (Rogers) | K-5 | $97 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | Forrest Heights Elementary (Harrison) | K-4 | $97 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | Cooper Elementary (Bentonville) | K-4 | $96 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | Elm Tree Elementary (Bentonville) | K-4 | $96 \%$ |

- Indicates school placed on same list in 2008-09.

Table 23: Top 3 Schools by Grade Level in Northwest Arkansas Based on Benchmark Math Achievement

| School (District) | Grades Served | $\%$ <br> Proficient/ Advanced |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ Grade |  |  |
| 1 Garfield Elementary (Rogers) | K-5 | 100\% |
| 1 Bruno-Pyatt Elementary (Ozark Mountain) | K-6 | 100\% |
| 3 Elm Tree Elementary (Bentonville) | K-4 | 99\% |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ Grade |  |  |
| 1 Charleston Elementary (Charleston) | K-6 | 100\% |
| 1 Kingston Elementary (Jasper) | K-6 | 100\% |
| 3 Forest Heights Elementary (Harrison) | K-4 | 98\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ Grade |  |  |
| 1 Bellview Elementary (Rogers)* | K-5 | 99\% |
| 2 Old Wire Elementary (Rogers) | K-5 | 96\% |
| 2 Cavanaugh Elementary (Fort Smith) | K-6 | 96\% |

Table 24: Top 5 Overall Elementary Schools in Northwest Arkansas Based on Benchmark Literacy Achievement

|  | School (District) | Grades <br> Served | $\mathbf{\%}$ <br> Proficient/ <br> Advanced |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Elm Tree Elementary (Bentonville) | $\mathrm{K}-4$ | $94 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | Bellview Elementary (Rogers) | $\mathrm{K}-5$ | $93 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | Hector Elementary (Hector) | $\mathrm{K}-6$ | $93 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | Hunt Elementary (Springdale) | $\mathrm{K}-5$ | $93 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | Forrest Heights Elementary (Harrison) | $\mathrm{K}-4$ | $93 \%$ |

- Indicates school placed on same list in 2008-09.

Table 25: Top 3 Schools by Grade Level in Northwest Arkansas Based on Benchmark Literacy Achievement

|  | School (District) | Grades <br> Served | \% <br> Proficient// <br> Advanced |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Elm Tree Elementary (Bentonville) |  |  |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | Hector Elementary (Hector) | Krade |  |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | Hunt Elementary (Springdale) | $\mathbf{4}^{\text {th }}$ Grade | $94 \%$ |
|  |  | $\mathrm{~K}-6$ | $93 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Bellview Elementary (Rogers) | $\mathrm{K}-5$ | $93 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | Forest Heights Elementary (Harrison) | $\mathrm{K}-5$ | $97 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | Charleston Elementary (Charleston) | $\mathrm{K}-4$ | $96 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | Vandergriff Elementary (Fayetteville) | $\mathrm{K}-6$ | $95 \%$ |
|  |  | $\mathbf{5}^{\text {th }}$ Grade | $\mathrm{K}-5$ |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Cavanaugh Elementary (Fort Smith) | $\mathrm{K}-6$ | $95 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | Norfork Elementary (Norfork) | $\mathrm{K}-6$ | $97 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | Hector Elementary (Hector) | $\mathrm{K}-6$ | $96 \%$ |

- Indicates school placed on same list in 2008-09.



## E. Northeast Region, 2010

Table 26: Top 5 Overall Elementary Schools in Northeast Arkansas Based on Benchmark Math Achievement

|  | School (District) | Grades <br> Served | $\mathbf{\%}$ <br> Proficient/ <br> Advanced |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Richland Elementary (West Memphis) | K-6 | $96 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | Marion Elementary (Marion) | $2-3$ | $94 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | Tuckerman Elementary (Jackson County) | K-4 | $94 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | Sidney Deener Elementary (Searcy) | K-4 | $94 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | Mount Pleasant Elementary (Melbourne) | K-6 | $93 \%$ |

- Indicates school placed on same list in 2008-09.

Table 27: Top 3 Schools by Grade Level in Northeast Arkansas Based on Benchmark Math Achievement

|  | School (District) | Grades Served | $\%$ Proficient/ Advanced |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $3{ }^{\text {rd }}$ Grade |  |  |  |
| 1 | Mount Pleasant Elementary (Melbourne) | K-6 | 100\% |
| 1 | Rural Special Elementary (Mountain View) | K-6 | 100\% |
| 1 | Bradford Elementary (Bradford) | K-6 | 100\% |
| 1 | Hillcrest Elementary (Hillcrest) | K-6 | 100\% |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ Grade |  |  |  |
| 1 | Buffalo Island Central West Elementary (Buffalo Island) | K-6 | 100\% |
| 1 | Evening Shade Elementary (Cave City) | K-4 | 100\% |
| 3 | Judsonia Elementary (Riverview) | K-6 | 98\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ Grade |  |  |  |
| 1 | Richland Elementary (West Memphis) | K-6 | 100\% |
| 2 | Mount Pleasant Elementary (Melbourne) | K-6 | 100\% |
| 3 | Salem Elementary (Salem) | K-6 | 96\% |

- Indicates school placed on same list in 2008-09.

Table 28: Top 5 Overall Elementary Schools in Northeast Arkansas Based on Benchmark Literacy Achievement

|  | School (District) | Grades <br> Served | $\%$ <br> Proficient/ <br> Advanced |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Mount Pleasant Elementary (Melbourne) | K-6 | $93 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | Richland Elementary (West Memphis) | K-6 | $93 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | Rural Special Elementary (Mountain View) | K-6 | $91 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | Valley View Elementary (Valley View) | K-6 | $91 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | Tuckerman Elementary (Jackson County) | K-4 | $89 \%$ |

- Indicates school placed on same list in 2008-09.

Table 29: Top 3 Schools by Grade Level in Northeast Arkansas Based on Benchmark Literacy Achievement

|  | School (District) | Grades Served | $\begin{gathered} \hline \% \\ \text { Proficient/ } \\ \text { Advanced } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ Grade |  |  |  |
| 1 | Mount Pleasant Elementary (Melbourne) | K-6 | 100\% |
| 1 | Rural Special Elementary (Mountain View) | K-6 | 100\% |
| 3 | Judsonia Elementary (Riverview) | K-6 | 97\% |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ Grade |  |  |  |
| 1 | Richland Elementary (West Memphis) | K-6 | 96\% |
| 1 | Mount Pleasant Elementary (Melbourne) | K-6 | 96\% |
| 3 | Valley View Elementary (Valley View) | K-6 | 93\% |
| 3 | Calico Rock Elementary (Calico Rock) | K-6 | 93\% |
| $5{ }^{\text {th }}$ Grade |  |  |  |
| 1 | Richland Elementary (West Memphis) | K-6 | 93\% |
| 2 | Mount Pleasant Elementary (Melbourne) | K-6 | 92\% |
| 2 | Salem Elementary (Salem) | K-6 | 92\% |
| 2 | Mammoth Spring Elementary (Mammoth Spring) | K-6 | 92\% |

- Indicates school placed on same list in 2008-09.

F. Central Region, 2010

Table 30: Top 5 Overall Elementary Schools in Central Arkansas Based on Benchmark Math Achievement

|  | School (District) | Grades <br> Served | $\mathbf{\%}$ <br> Proficient/ <br> Advanced |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Academy of Technology (Vilonia) | $2-4$ | $98 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | Arnold Drive Elementary (Pulaski County) | K-5 | $97 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | Park Magnet School (Hot Springs) | K-4 | $97 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | Woodrow Cummins Elementary (Conway) | K-4 | $96 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | Salem Elementary (Bryant) | K-5 | $96 \%$ |

- Indicates school placed on same list in 2008-09.

Table 31: Top 3 Schools by Grade Level in Central Arkansas Based on Benchmark Math Achievement

|  | School (District) | Grades Served | $\%$ <br> Proficient/ Advanced |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ Grade |  |  |  |
| 1 | Ellen Smith Elementary (Conway) | K-4 | 100\% |
| 1 | Paron Elementary (Bryant)* | K-5 | 100\% |
| 3 | Springhill Elementary (Bryant) | K-5 | 99\% |
| 4th Grade |  |  |  |
| 1 | Academy of Technology (Vilonia) | 2-4 | 100\% |
| 2 | Hurricane Creek Elementary (Bryant) | K-5 | 98\% |
| 3 | Cutter Morning Star (Cutter Morning Star) | K-6 | 98\% |
| 5th Grade |  |  |  |
| 1 | Arnold Drive Elementary (Pulaski County) | K-5 | 100\% |
| 2 | Salem Elementary (Bryant) | K-5 | 97\% |
| 3 | Academy of Service and Technology (Vilonia) | 5-6 | 96\% |

- Indicates school placed on same list in 2008-09.

Table 32: Top 5 Overall Elementary Schools in Central Arkansas Based on Benchmark Literacy Achievement

|  | School (District) | Grades <br> Served | $\%$ <br> Proficient/ <br> Advanced |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Academy of Technology (Vilonia) | $2-4$ | $98 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | Park Magnet School (Hot Springs) | K-4 | $98 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | Jim Stone Elementary (Conway) | K-4 | $94 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | Salem Elementary (Bryant) | K-5 | $92 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | Forrest Park Elementary (Little Rock) | K-5 | $92 \%$ |

$\bullet$ Indicates school placed on same list in 2008-09.
Table 33: Top 3 Schools by Grade Level in Central Arkansas Based on Benchmark Literacy Achievement

|  | School (District) | Grades Served | \% <br> Proficient/ Advanced |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $3{ }^{\text {rd }}$ Grade |  |  |  |
| 1 | Springhill Elementary (Bryant) | K-5 | 96\% |
| 1 | Park Magnet School (Hot Springs) | K-4 | 96\% |
| 1 | Academy of Technology (Vilonia) | 2-4 | 96\% |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ Grade |  |  |  |
| 1 | Academy of Technology (Vilonia) | 2-4 | 100\% |
| 1 | Park Magnet School (Hot Springs) | K-4 | 100\% |
| 3 | Julia Moore Elementary (Conway) | K-4 | 97\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ Grade |  |  |  |
| 1 | Academy of Service and Technology (Vilonia) | 5-6 | 95\% |
| 2 | Salem Elementary (Bryant) | K-5 | 93\% |
| 2 | Ruth Doyle Intermediate (Conway) | 5-6 | 93\% |
| 2 | Moody Elementary (White Hall) | K-6 | 93\% |

- Indicates school placed on same list in 2008-09.


## G. Southwest Region, 2010

Table 34: Top 5 Overall Elementary Schools in Southwest Arkansas Based on Benchmark Math Achievement

|  | School (District) | Grades <br> Served | $\%$ <br> Proficient/ <br> Advanced |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | College Hills Elementary (Texarkana) | K-4 | $96 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | Parkers Chapel Elementary (Parkers Chapel) | K-6 | $93 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | C D Franks Elementary (Ashdown) | $2-3$ | $92 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | DeQueen Elementary (DeQueen) | $3-5$ | $92 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | Genoa Central Elementary (Genoa Central) | K-4 | $91 \%$ |

- Indicates school placed on same list in 2008-09.

Table 35: Top 3 Schools by Grade Level in Southwest Arkansas Based on Benchmark Math Achievement

|  | School (District) | Grades Served | \% <br> Proficient/ <br> Advanced |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $3{ }^{\text {rd }}$ Grade |  |  |  |
| 1 | Spring Hill Elementary (Spring Hill) | K-6 | 100\% |
| 1 | Wickes Elementary (Wickes) | K-6 | 100\% |
| 1 | Taylor Elementary (Emerson-Taylor) | K-6 | 100\% |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ Grade |  |  |  |
| 1 | Spring Hill Elementary (Spring Hill)* | K-6 | 97\% |
| 2 | Oden Maddox Elementary (Ouachita River) | K-6 | 96\% |
| 3 | College Hill Elementary (Texarkana) | K-4 | 94\% |
| 3 | Nevada Elementary (Nevada) | K-6 | 94\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ Grade |  |  |  |
| 1 | Parkers Chapel Elementary (Parkers Chapel) | K-6 | 97\% |
| 2 | Jo Ann Walters Elementary (Dierks) | K-6 | 92\% |
| 2 | Gary E Cobb Middle School (Genoa Central) | 5-8 | 92\% |

- Indicates school placed on same list in 2008-09.

Table 36: Top 5 Overall Elementary Schools in Southwest Arkansas Based on Benchmark Literacy Achievement

|  | School (District) | Grades <br> Served | $\%$ <br> Proficient/ <br> Advanced |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | College Hills Elementary (Texarkana) | K-4 | $95 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | Spring Hill Elementary (Spring Hill) | K-6 | $91 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | Gurdon Primary School (Gurdon) | K-4 | $89 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | Emerson Elementary (Emerson-Taylor) | K-6 | $88 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | Genoa Central Elementary (Genoa Central) | K-4 | $87 \%$ |

- Indicates school placed on same list in 2008-09.

Table 37: Top 3 Schools by Grade Level in Southwest Arkansas Based on Benchmark Literacy Achievement

|  | School (District) | Grades Served | \% <br> Proficient/ Advanced |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ Grade |  |  |  |
| 1 | Spring Hill Elementary (Spring Hill) | K-6 | 100\% |
| 2 | College Hill Elementary (Texarkana) | K-4 | 96\% |
| 3 | Caddo Hills Elementary (Caddo Hills) | K-6 | 90\% |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ Grade |  |  |  |
| 1 | Oden Maddox Elementary (Ouachita River) | K-6 | 96\% |
| 2 | College Hill Elementary (Texarkana) | K-6 | 93\% |
| 3 | Spring Hill Elementary (Spring Hill) | K-6 | 92\% |
| 3 | Emerson Elementary (Emerson-Taylor) | K-6 | 92\% |
| 3 | Delight Elementary (Delight) | K-6 | 92\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ Grade |  |  |  |
| 1 | Umpire Elementary (Wickes) | K-6 | 93\% |
| 2 | Parkers Chapel Elementary (Parkers Chapel) | K-6 | 91\% |
| 3 | Jo Ann Walters Elementary (Dierks) | K-6 | 90\% |
| 3 | Acorn Elementary (Ouachita River) | K-6 | 90\% |

- Indicates school placed on same list in 2008-09.



## H. Southeast Region, 2010

Table 38: Top 5 Overall Elementary Schools in Southeast Arkansas Based on Benchmark Math Achievement

|  | School (District) | Grades <br> Served | $\mathbf{\%}$ <br> Proficient/ <br> ddvanced |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Eudora Elementary (Lakeside LV) | K-3 | $100 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | Hamburg Lower Elementary (Hamburg) | K-3 | $96 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | Drew Central Elementary (Drew Cental) | K-4 | $87 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | Allbritton Upper Elementary (Hamburg) | $4-6$ | $84 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | Portland Elementary (Hamburg) | K-6 | $84 \%$ |

- Indicates school placed on same list in 2008-09.

Table 39: Top 3 Schools by Grade Level in Southeast Arkansas Based on Benchmark Math Achievement

|  | School (District) | Grades Served | \% <br> Proficient/ Advanced |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $3{ }^{\text {rd }}$ Grade |  |  |  |
| 1 | Eudora Elementary (Lakeside LV)* | K-3 | 100\% |
| 1 | Gillett Elementary (Dewitt) | K-5 | 100\% |
| 1 | Portland Elementary (Hamburg) * | K-6 | 100\% |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ Grade |  |  |  |
| 1 | Allbritton Upper Elementary (Hamburg) | 4-6 | 94\% |
| 1 | Drew Central Elementary (Drew Central) | K-4 | 94\% |
| 3 | Partee Elementary (Brinkley) | K-6 | 89\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ Grade |  |  |  |
| 1 | Drew Central Middle School (Drew Central)* | 5-8 | 86\% |
| 2 | Allbritton Upper Elementary (Hamburg) | 4-6 | 85\% |
| 3 | Kingsland Elementary (Cleveland County) | K-6 | 82\% |
| 3 | West Side Elementary (Helena/W. Helena) * | 1-6 | 82\% |

- Indicates school placed on same list in 2008-09.

Table 40: Top 5 Overall Elementary Schools in Southeast Arkansas Based on Benchmark Literacy Achievement

|  | School (District) | Grades <br> Served | \% <br> Proficient/ <br> Advanced |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Eudora Elementary (Lakeside LV) | K-3 | $97 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | Portland Elementary (Hamburg) | K-6 | $93 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | Hamburg Lower Elementary (Hamburg) | K-3 | $83 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | Allbritton Upper Elementary (Hamburg) | $4-6$ | $82 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | Woodlawn Elementary (Woodlawn) | K-6 | $79 \%$ |

- Indicates school placed on same list in 2008-09.

Table 41: Top 3 Schools by Grade Level in Southeast Arkansas Based on Benchmark Literacy Achievement

|  | School (District) | Grades Served | $\%$ Proficient/ Advanced |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ Grade |  |  |  |
| 1 | Eudora Elementary (Lakeside LV) | K-3 | 97\% |
| 2 | Gillett Elementary (Dewitt) | K-5 | 94\% |
| 3 | Portland Elementary (Hamburg) | K-6 | 86\% |
| 3 | Kingsland Elementary (Cleveland County) | K-6 | 86\% |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ Grade |  |  |  |
| 1 | Portland Elementary (Hamburg) | K-6 | 100\% |
| 2 | Hazen Elementary (Hazen) | K-8 | 88\% |
| 3 | Allbritton Upper Elementary (Hamburg) * | 4-6 | 85\% |
| 3 | Kingsland Elementary (Cleveland County) | K-6 | 85\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ Grade |  |  |  |
| 1 | Portland Elementary (Hamburg) | K-6 | 95\% |
| 2 | Allbritton Upper Elementary (Hamburg) * | 4-6 | 86\% |
| 3 | Hazen Elementary (Hazen) | K-8 | 82\% |

- Indicates school placed on same list in 2008-09.

In the next section, we will focus on the same factors in middle schools in each region. We will highlight high performing middle schools, as well as grade levels within schools for grades six through eight.

## IV. 2010 OEP AwArds: High Achieving Middle Schools

This section provides a deeper look at high achieving middle schools and grades ${ }^{9}$ within schools based on the Arkansas Benchmark exam. The Benchmark exams were administered to students in grades three through eight in math and literacy in April 2010.

Each table presents the high achieving schools for the noted grade and subject and includes the region in which the school is located, the number of test takers, and the percent of students scoring at the proficient and advanced levels in 2010. Because we already listed our overall top performing middle schools in Section I (pages 4-9 of this report), we move directly into listing the top performing middle schools by grade.

## A. Middle School Math by Grade, 2010

Table 42: Top 10 Arkansas Schools: Grade Six Math Achievement

|  | School (District) | Region | \# of <br> Test <br> Takers | \% <br> Proficient/ <br> Advanced |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Academy of Service and Technology (Vilonia) | CN | 52 | $100 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | Poyen Elementary (Poyen) | CN | 46 | $98 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | Pea Ridge Middle School (Pea Ridge) | NW | 120 | $97 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | Danville Middle School (Danville) | NW | 60 | $97 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | Cutter Morning Star Elementary (Cutter | CN | 53 | $96 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | Euper Lane Elementary (Fort Smith) | NW | 53 | $94 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | Weaver Elementary (West Memphis) | NE | 47 | $94 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | Jo Ann Walters Elementary (Dierks) | SW | 33 | $94 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | McNair Middle School (Fayetteville) | NW | 293 | $93 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | Pottsville Middle School (Posttsville) | NW | 116 | $93 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | Cavanaugh Elementary (Fort Smith) | NW | 26 | $93 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | Kingston Elementary (Jasper) | NW | 15 | $93 \%$ |

[^6]Table 43: Top 10 Arkansas Schools: Grade Seven Math Achievement

|  | School (District) | Region | \# of <br> Test <br> Takers | \% <br> Proficient/ <br> Advanced |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Washington Jr. High (Bentonville) | NW | 482 | $95 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | McNair Middle School (Fayetteville) | NW | 316 | $95 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Des Arc High School (Des Arc) | SE | 46 | $95 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Spring Hill High School (Spring Hill) | SW | 37 | $95 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | Lincoln Jr. High (Bentonville) | NW | 465 | $94 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | Pea Ridge Middle School (Pea Ridge) | NW | 134 | $93 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | Mountain View Middle School (Mountain | CN | 99 | $93 \%$ |
|  | View) | NW | 280 | $92 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | East Hills Middle School (Greenwood) | NW | 174 | $92 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | Randall G. Lynch Middle School (Farmington) | NW | 79 | $92 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | Bergman Middle School (Bergman) | NW | 76 | $92 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | Valley Springs Middle School (Valley Springs) | NW | 13 | $92 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | Deer High School (Deer/Mt. Judea) |  |  |  |

Table 44: Top 10 Arkansas Schools: Grade Eight Math Achievement

|  | School (District) | Region | \# of <br> Test <br> Takers | \% <br> Proficient/ <br> Advanced |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Lincoln Jr. High (Bentonville) | NW | 457 | $91 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | Carl Stuart Middle School (Conway) | CN | 353 | $89 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | Pea Ridge Middle School (Pea Ridge) | NW | 124 | $89 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | Haas Hall Academy (Haas Hall Academy) | NW | 37 | $89 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | Valley Springs Middle School (Valley | NW | 72 | $87 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | Parkers Chapel High School (Parkers Chapel) | SW | 48 | $87 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | J.D. Leftwich High (Magazine) | NW | 38 | $87 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | Washington Jr. High (Bentonville) | NW | 467 | $86 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | McCrory High School (McCrory) | NE | 56 | $86 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | Star City Middle School (Star City) | SE | 118 | $85 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | Viola High School (Viola) | NE | 34 | $85 \%$ |

## B. Middle School Literacy by Grade, 2010

Table 45: Top 10 Arkansas Schools: Grade Six Literacy Achievement

|  | School (District) | Region | \# of <br> Test <br> Takers | \% <br> Proficient/ <br> Advanced |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Academy of Service and Technology (Vilonia) | CN | 52 | $100 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | Emerson Elementary (Emerson-Taylor) | SW | 25 | $96 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | Hector Elementary (Hector) | NW | 46 | $94 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | Norfork Elementary (Norfork) | NW | 29 | $93 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | Timbo Elementary (Mountain View) | NE | 14 | $93 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | McNair Middle School (Fayetteville) | NW | 293 | $92 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | Southwest Middle School (Searcy) | NE | 277 | $91 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | Mountain View Middle School (Mountain | NE | 101 | $91 \%$ |
|  | View) | NW | 79 | $91 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | Cook Elementary (Fort Smith) | NW | 42 | $91 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | WYC Elementary (Western Yell County) | NE | 11 | $91 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | Rural Special Elementary (Mountain View) |  |  |  |

Table 46: Top 10 Arkansas Schools: Grade Seven Literacy Achievement

|  | School (District) | Region | \# of <br> Test <br> Takers | \% <br> Proficient// <br> Advanced |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Kingston High School (Jasper) | NW | 18 | $95 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | East Hills Middle School (Greenwood) | NW | 280 | $92 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | Deer High School (Deer/Mt. Judea) | NW | 13 | $92 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | Washington Jr. High (Bentonville) | NW | 482 | $90 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | Lincoln Jr. High (Bentonville) | NW | 465 | $90 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | Benton County School of the Arts (Benton | NW | 50 | $90 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | County School of the Arts) | Ahlf Jr. High (Searcy) | NE | 298 |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | Lisa Middle School (Lisa Academy) | CN | 106 | $89 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | Valley Springs Middle School (Valley | NW | 76 | $87 \%$ |
|  | Springs) | NW | 316 | $86 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | McNair Middle School (Fayetteville) | NW | 115 | $86 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | Pottsville Junior High (Pottsville) | NE | 47 | $86 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | Quitman High School (Quitman) |  |  |  |

Table 47: Top 10 Arkansas Schools: Grade Eight Literacy Achievement

|  | School (District) | Region | \# of <br> Test <br> Takers | \% <br> Proficient/ <br> Advanced |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Lisa Middle School (Lisa Academy) | CN | 100 | $98 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | Haas Hall Academy (Haas Hall Academy) | NW | 37 | $97 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | Valley Springs Middle School (Valley | NW | 72 | $94 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | Springs) | Pea Ridge Middle School (Pea Ridge) | NW | 124 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | Redfield Jr. High (White Hall) | CN | 40 | $93 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | Carl Stuart Middle School (Conway) | CN | 353 | $92 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | Ahlf Jr. High (Searcy) | SW | 287 | $92 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | Valley View High School (Valley View) | SW | 158 | $92 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | Harmony Grove High School (Harmony <br> Grove Camden) | NW | 72 | $92 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | Mineral Springs High School (Mineral <br> Springs) | NW | 24 | $92 \%$ |

## C. Middle School Combined (Math and Literacy) ${ }^{10}$ by Grade, 2010

Table 48: Top 10 Arkansas Schools: Grade Six Combined (Math and Literacy) Achievement

|  | School (District) | Region | \# of <br> Test <br> Takers | \% <br> Proficient/ <br> Advanced |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Academy of Service and Technology (Vilonia) | CN | 52 | $100 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | McNair Middle School (Fayetteville) | NW | 293 | $93 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | Cook Elementary (Fort Smith) | NW | 79 | $92 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | Poyen Elementary (Poyen) | CN | 46 | $92 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | Valley View Elementary (Valley View) | NE | 201 | $91 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | Rural Special Elementary (Mountain View) | NE | 11 | $91 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | Pea Ridge Middle School (Pea Ridge) | NW | 120 | $91 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | Euper Lane Elementary (Fort Smith) | NW | 53 | $91 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | WYC Elementary (Western Yell County) | NW | 42 | $91 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | Jo Ann Walters Elementary (Dierks) | SW | 33 | $91 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | Cavanaugh Elementary (Fort Smith) | NW | 26 | $91 \%$ |

[^7]Table 49: Top 10 Arkansas Schools: Grade Seven Combined (Math and Literacy) Achievement

|  | School (District) | Region | \# of <br> Test <br> Takers | \% <br> Proficient// <br> Advanced |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Washington Jr. High (Bentonville) | NW | 482 | $93 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | Lincoln Jr. High (Bentonville) | NW | 465 | $92 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | East Hills Middle School (Greenwood) | NW | 280 | $92 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | Kingston High School (Jasper) | NW | 18 | $92 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | Deer High School (Deer/Mt. Judea) | NW | 13 | $92 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | McNair Middle School (Fayetteville) | NW | 316 | $91 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | Valley Springs Middle School (Valley | NW | 76 | $90 \%$ |
|  | Springs) | NW | 134 | $89 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | Pea Ridge Middle School (Pea Ridge) | SW | 37 | $89 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | Spring Hill High School (Spring Hill) | CN | 106 | $89 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | Lisa Middle School (Lisa Academy) | NE | 99 | $89 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | Mountain View Middle School (Mountain | View) |  |  |

Table 50: Top 10 Arkansas Schools: Grade Eight Combined (Math and Literacy) Achievement

|  | School (District) | Region | \# of <br> Test <br> Takers | \% <br> Proficient/ <br> Advanced |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Haas Hall Academy (Haas Hall Academy) | NW | 37 | $93 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | Pea Ridge Middle School (Pea Ridge) | NW | 124 | $91 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | Lincoln Jr. High (Bentonville) | NW | 457 | $91 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | Carl Stuart Middle School (Conway) | CN | 353 | $91 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | Lisa Middle School (Lisa Academy) | CN | 100 | $91 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | Valley Springs Middle School (Valley | NW | 72 | $91 \%$ |
|  | Springs) |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | Washington Jr. High (Bentonville) | NW | 467 | $89 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | McCrory High School (McCrory) | NE | 56 | $88 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | Redfield Jr. High (White Hall) | CN | 40 | $88 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | Valley View High School (Valley View) | NE | 158 | $88 \%$ |

D. Northwest Region, 2010

Table 51: Top 5 Overall Middle Schools in NW Arkansas Based on Benchmark Math Achievement

|  | School (District) | Grades <br> Served | \% <br> Proficient/ <br> Advanced |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | McNair Middle School (Fayetteville) | $6-7$ | $94 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | Pea Ridge Middle School (Pea Ridge) | $6-8$ | $93 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | Lincoln Jr. High (Bentonville) | $7-8$ | $93 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | East Hills Middle School (Greenwood) | $5-7$ | $92 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | Washington Jr. High (Bentonville) | $7-8$ | $91 \%$ |

$\bullet$ Indicates school placed on same list in 2008-09.

## Table 52: Top 3 Schools by Grade Level ${ }^{11}$ in Northwest Arkansas Based on Benchmark Math Achievement

| School (District) | Grades Served | $\%$ <br> Proficient/ Advanced |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ Grade |  |  |
| 1 Pea Ridge Middle School (Pea Ridge) | 6-8 | 97\% |
| 2 Danville Middle School (Danville) | 6-8 | 97\% |
| 3 Euper Lane Elementary (Fort Smith) | K-6 | 94\% |
| $7^{\text {th }}$ Grade |  |  |
| 1 Washington Jr. High (Bentonville) | 7-8 | 95\% |
| 2 McNair Middle School (Fayetteville) | 6-7 | 95\% |
| 3 Lincoln Jr. High (Bentonville) | 7-8 | 94\% |
| $8^{\text {th }}$ Grade |  |  |
| 1 Lincoln Jr. High (Bentonville) | 7-8 | 91\% |
| 2 Haas Hall Academy (Haas Hall Academy) | 8-12 | 89\% |
| 3 Pea Ridge Middle School (Pea Ridge) | 6-8 | 89\% |

$\bullet$ Indicates school placed on same list in 2008-09.

[^8]Table 53: Top 5 Middle Schools in Northwest Arkansas Based on Benchmark Literacy Achievement

|  | School (District) | Grades <br> Served | \% <br> Proficient/ <br> Advanced |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Haas Hall Academy (Haas Hall Academy) | $8-12$ | $97 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | Washington Jr. High (Bentonville) | $7-8$ | $90 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | Lincoln Jr. High (Bentonville) | $7-8$ | $90 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | Valley Springs Middle School (Valley Springs) | $5-8$ | $89 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | Harrison Middle School (Harrison) | $5-6$ | $89 \%$ |

↔ Indicates school placed on same list in 2008-09.
Table 54: Top 3 Schools by Grade Level in Northwest Arkansas Based on Benchmark Literacy Achievement

| School (District) | Grades Served | \% <br> Proficient/ Advanced |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ Grade |  |  |
| 1 Hector Elementary (Hector) | K-6 | 94\% |
| 2 Norfork Elementary (Norfork) | K-6 | 93\% |
| 3 McNair Middle School (Fayetteville) | 6-7 | 92\% |
| $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ Grade |  |  |
| 1 Kingston High School (Jasper) | 7-12 | 95\% |
| 2 Deer High School (Deer/Mt. Judea) | 7-12 | 92\% |
| 3 East Hills Middle School (Greenwood) | 5-7 | 92\% |
| $8{ }^{\text {th }}$ Grade |  |  |
| 1 Haas Hall Academy (Haas Hall Academy) | 8-12 | 97\% |
| 2 Valley Springs Middle School (Valley Springs) | 5-8 | 94\% |
| 3 Pea Ridge Middle School (Pea Ridge) | 6-8 | 93\% |



## E. Northeast Region, 2010

Table 55: Top 5 Overall Middle Schools in Northeast Arkansas Based on Benchmark Math Achievement

|  | School (District) | Grades <br> Served | $\%$ <br> Proficient/ <br> ddvanced |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Southwest Middle School (Searcy) | $5-6$ | $88 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | Nettleton Middle School (Nettleton) | 6 | $87 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | Valley View High School (Valley View) | $7-12$ | $87 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | Swifton Middle School (Jackson County) | $5-7$ | $87 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | Walnut Ridge High School (Lawrence County) | $7-12$ | $86 \%$ |

- Indicates school placed on same list in 2008-09.

Table 56: Top 3 Schools by Grade Level in Northeast Arkansas Based on Benchmark Math Achievement

|  | School (District) | Grades Served | \% <br> Proficient/ Advanced |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ Grade |  |  |  |
| 1 | Weaver Elementary (West Memphis) | K-6 | 94\% |
| 2 | Valley View Elementary (Valley View) | K-6 | 92\% |
| 3 | Rural Special Elementary (Mountain View) | K-6 | 91\% |
| 3 | Swifton Middle School (Jackson County) | 5-7 | 91\% |
| 3 | Calico Rock Elementary (Calico Rock) * | K-6 | 91\% |
| 3 | Wedlock Elementary (West Memphis) | K-6 | 91\% |
| $7^{\text {th }}$ Grade |  |  |  |
| 1 | Mountain View Middle School (Mountain View) | 6-8 | 93\% |
| 2 | Valley View High School (Valley View) | 7-12 | 90\% |
| 3 | Walnut Ridge High School (Lawrence County) | 7-12 | 90\% |
| $8{ }^{\text {th }}$ Grade |  |  |  |
| 1 | McCrory High School (McCrory) | 7-12 | 86\% |
| 2 | Viola High School (Viola) | 7-12 | 85\% |
| 3 | Salem High School (Salem) | 7-12 | 84\% |
| 3 | Walnut Ridge High School (Lawrence County) | 7-12 | 84\% |

- Indicates school placed on same list in 2008-09.

Table 57: Top 5 Middle Schools in Northeast Arkansas Based on Benchmark Literacy Achievement

|  | School (District) | Grades <br> Served | $\mathbf{\%}$ <br> Proficient/ <br> Advanced |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Southwest Middle School (Searcy) | $5-6$ | $90 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | Ahlf Jr. High (Searcy) | $7-8$ | $90 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | Mountain View Middle School (Mountain View) | $6-8$ | $87 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | Valley View High School (Valley View) | $7-12$ | $87 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | Melbourne High School (Melbourne) | $7-12$ | $84 \%$ |

- Indicates school placed on same list in 2008-09.

Table 58: Top 3 Schools by Grade Level in Northeast Arkansas Based on Benchmark Literacy Achievement

|  | School (District) | Grades Served | $\begin{gathered} \hline \% \\ \text { Proficient/ } \\ \text { Advanced } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ Grade |  |  |  |
| 1 | Timbo Elementary (Mountain View) | K-6 | 93\% |
| 2 | Rural Special Elementary (Mountain View) | K-6 | 91\% |
| 2 | Southwest Middle School (Searcy) | 5-6 | 91\% |
| 2 | Mountain View Middle School (Mountain View) | 6-8 | 91\% |
| $7^{\text {th }}$ Grade |  |  |  |
| 1 | Ahlf Jr. High (Searcy) | 7-8 | 89\% |
| 2 | Quitman High School (Quitman) | 7-12 | 86\% |
| 3 | Mountain View Middle School (Mountain View) | 6-8 | 84\% |
| $8^{\text {th }}$ Grade |  |  |  |
| 1 | Valley View High School (Valley View) | 7-12 | 92\% |
| 1 | Ahlf Jr. High (Searcy) | 7-8 | 92\% |
| 3 | McCrory High School (McCrory) | 7-12 | 90\% |
| 3 | Calico Rock High School (Calico Rock) | 7-12 | 90\% |
| 3 | Melbourne High School (Melbourne) | 7-12 | 90\% |

- Indicates school placed on same list in 2008-09.

F. Central Region, 2010

Table 59: Top 5 Middle Schools in Central Arkansas Based on Benchmark Math Achievement

|  | School (District) | Grades <br> Served | $\mathbf{\%}$ <br> Proficient/ <br> Advanced |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Academy of Service and Technology (Vilonia) | $5-6$ | $98 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | Greenbrier Middle School (Greenbrier) | $6-7$ | $91 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | Ruth Doyle Intermediate School (Conway) | $5-6$ | $90 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | Carl Stuart Middle School (Conway) | $7-8$ | $88 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | Lakeside Middle School (Lakeside HS) | $5-7$ | $88 \%$ |

- Indicates school placed on same list in 2008-09.

Table 60: Top 3 Schools by Grade Level in Central Arkansas Based on Benchmark Math Achievement

|  | School (District) | Grades <br> Served | \% <br> Proficient// <br> Advanced |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Academy of Service and Technology (Vilonia) | $5-6$ | $100 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | Poyen Elementary (Poyen) |  |  |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | Cutter Morning Star Elementary (Cutter Morning | K-6 | K-6 |

- Indicates school placed on same list in 2008-09.

Table 61: Top 5 Overall Middle Schools in Central Arkansas Based on Benchmark Literacy Achievement

|  | School (District) | Grades <br> Served | $\%$ <br> Proficient/ <br> Advanced |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Academy of Service and Technology (Vilonia) | $5-6$ | $97 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | Ruth Doyle Intermediate School (Conway) | $5-6$ | $89 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | Lisa Middle School (Lisa Academy) | $6-8$ | $89 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | Benton Jr. High (Benton) | $8-9$ | $89 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | Lakeside Jr. High School (Lakeside HS) | $8-9$ | $88 \%$ |

$\bullet$ Indicates school placed on same list in 2008-09.
Table 62: Top 3 Schools by Grade Level in Central Arkansas Based on Benchmark Literacy Achievement

|  | School (District) | Grades <br> Served | \% <br> Proficient/ <br> Advanced |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Academy of Service and Technology (Vilonia) | $5-6$ | $100 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | Ouachita Elementary (Ouachita) | K-6 | $88 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | e-STEM Middle School (e-STEM Middle Public) | $5-8$ | $87 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | $7^{\text {th }}$ Grade |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Lisa Middle School (Lisa Academy) | $6-8$ | $89 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | Benton Middle School (Benton) | $6-7$ | $85 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | Carl Stuart Middle School (Conway) | $7-8$ | $83 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\mathbf{8}^{\text {th }}$ Grade | $6-8$ |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Lisa Middle School (Lisa Academy) | $7-9$ | $98 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | Redfield Jr. High (White Hall) | $7-8$ | $93 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | Carl Stuart Middle School (Conway) |  |  |  |  |  |  |

- Indicates school placed on same list in 2008-09.
G. Southwest Region, 2010

Table 63: Top 5 Overall Middle Schools in Southwest Arkansas Based on Benchmark Math Achievement

|  | School (District) | Grades <br> Served | $\%$ <br> Proficient/ <br> ddvanced |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Parkers Chapel High School (Parkers Chapel) | $7-12$ | $85 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | Emerson High School (Emerson-Taylor) | $7-12$ | $83 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | Mena Upper Elementary School (Mena) | 6 | $82 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | Gary E Cobb Middle School (Genoa Central) | $5-8$ | $81 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | Taylor High School (Emerson-Taylor) | $7-12$ | $81 \%$ |

- Indicates school placed on same list in 2008-09.

Table 64: Top 3 Schools by Grade Level in Southwest Arkansas Based on Benchmark Math Achievement

|  | School (District) | Grades Served | \% <br> Proficient <br> Advanced |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ Grade |  |  |  |
| 1 | Jo Ann Walters Elementary (Dierks) | K-6 | 94\% |
| 2 | Gary E Cobb Middle School (Genoa Central) | 5-8 | 90\% |
| 3 | Spring Hill Elementary (Spring Hill) | K-6 | 88\% |
| 3 | Parkers Chapel Elementary (Parkers Chapel) | K-6 | 88\% |
| $7^{\text {th }}$ Grade |  |  |  |
| 1 | Spring Hill High School (Spring Hill) | 7-12 | 95\% |
| 2 | Emerson High School (Emerson-Taylor) | 7-12 | 90\% |
| 3 | Barton Jr. High School (El Dorado) | 7-8 | 86\% |
| $8{ }^{\text {th }}$ Grade |  |  |  |
| 1 | Parkers Chapel High School (Parkers Chapel) | 7-12 | 87\% |
| 2 | Harmony Grove High School (Harmony Grove Camden) | 7-12 | 81\% |
| 3 | Taylor High School (Emerson-Taylor) | 7-12 | 80\% |

[^9]Table 65: Top 5 Middle Schools in Southwest Arkansas Based on Benchmark Literacy Achievement

|  | School (District) | Grades <br> Served | \% <br> Proficient/ <br> Advanced |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Emerson High School (Emerson-Taylor) | $7-12$ | $84 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | Harmony Grove High School (Harmony Grove <br> Camden) | $7-12$ | $84 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | Parkers Chapel High School (Parkers Chapel) | $7-12$ | $83 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | Gary E Cobb Middle School (Genoa Central) | $5-8$ | $82 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | Mena Middle School (Mena) | $7-8$ | $81 \%$ |

- Indicates school placed on same list in 2008-09.

Table 66: Top 3 Schools by Grade Level in Southwest Arkansas Based on Benchmark Literacy Achievement

|  | School (District) | Grades Served | \% <br> Proficient/ Advanced |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ Grade |  |  |  |
| 1 | Emerson Elementary (Emerson-Taylor) | K-6 | 96\% |
| 2 | Spring Hill Elementary (Spring Hill) | K-6 | 90\% |
| 3 | Jo Ann Walters Elementary (Dierks) | K-6 | 87\% |
| 3 | Taylor Elementary (Emerson-Taylor) | K-6 | 87\% |
| $7^{\text {th }}$ Grade |  |  |  |
| 1 | Spring Hill High School (Spring Hill) | 7-12 | 83\% |
| 2 | Parkers Chapel High School (Parkers Chapel) | 7-12 | 81\% |
| 2 | Mena Middle School (Mena) | 7-8 | 81\% |
| $8{ }^{\text {th }}$ Grade |  |  |  |
| 1 | Harmony Grove High School (Harmony Grove Camden) | 7-12 | 92\% |
| 1 | Mineral Springs High School (Mineral Springs) | 7-12 | 92\% |
| 3 | Emerson High School (Emerson-Taylor) | 7-12 | 90\% |

- Indicates school placed on same list in 2008-09.

H. Southeast Region, 2010

Table 67: Top 5 Middle Schools in Southeast Arkansas Based on Benchmark Math Achievement

|  | School (District) | Grades <br> Served | $\%$ <br> Proficient/ <br> ddvanced |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Star City Middle School (Star City) | $6-8$ | $86 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | Monticello Middle School (Monticello) | $6-8$ | $84 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | Des Arc High School (Des Arc) | $7-12$ | $78 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | Drew Central Middle School (Drew Central) | $5-8$ | $77 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | Warren Middle School (Warren) | $6-8$ | $76 \%$ |

- Indicates school placed on same list in 2008-09.

Table 68: Top 3 Schools by Grade Level in Southeast Arkansas Based on Benchmark Math Achievement

|  | School (District) | Grades <br> Served | $\%$ <br> Proficient/ <br> Advanced |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ Grade |  |  |  |
| 1 | Hermitage Elementary (Hermitage) | K-6 | 87\% |
| 2 | Star City Middle School (Star City) | 6-8 | 86\% |
| 3 | Monticello Middle School (Monticello) | 6-8 | 85\% |
| $7^{\text {th }}$ Grade |  |  |  |
| 1 | Des Arc High School (Des Arc) | 7-12 | 95\% |
| 2 | Star City Middle School (Star City) | 6-8 | 86\% |
| 3 | Monticello Middle School (Monticello) | 6-8 | 85\% |
| $8^{\text {th }}$ Grade |  |  |  |
| 1 | Star City Middle School (Star City) | 6-8 | 85\% |
| 2 | Monticello Middle School (Monticello) | 6-8 | 81\% |
| 3 | KIPP: Delta College Prep (KIPP: Delta) | 5-8 | 65\% |
| 3 | Woodlawn High (Woodlawn) | 7-12 | 65\% |
| 3 | Des Arc High School (Des Arc) | 7-12 | 65\% |

- Indicates school placed on same list in 2008-09.

Table 69: Top 5 Middle Schools in Southeast Arkansas Based on Benchmark Literacy Achievement

|  | School (District) | Grades <br> Served | $\%$ <br> Proficient/ <br> Advanced |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Woodlawn High School (Woodlawn) | $7-12$ | $82 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | Monticello Middle School (Monticello) | $6-8$ | $79 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | Star City Middle School (Star City) | $6-8$ | $77 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | Rison High School (Cleveland County) | $7-12$ | $75 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | KIPP: Delta College Prep (KIPP: Delta) | $5-8$ | $74 \%$ |

$\bullet$ Indicates school placed on same list in 2008-09.
Table 70: Top 3 Schools by Grade Level in Southeast Arkansas Based on Benchmark Literacy Achievement

|  | School (District) | Grades <br> Served | \% <br> Proficient/ <br> Advanced |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{6}^{\text {th }}$ Grade |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Portland Elementary (Hamburg) | K-6 | $89 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | Monticello Middle School (Monticello) | $6-8$ | $81 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | Star City Middle School (Star City) | $6-8$ | $77 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | Woodlawn Elementary (Woodlawn) |  |  |
| $\mathbf{7}^{\text {th }}$ Grade |  |  |  |

- Indicates school placed on same list in 2008-09.

The schools on these lists were a mix of K-6 elementary schools, middle schools, and 7-12 high schools. The type of school most common was highly dependent on the region of the state with the Northeast and Southwest regions having more K-6/7-12 schools than the other regions. This is purely a function of the school configurations common in each region. It is important to remember that even if a school is called a high school, the data to determine rankings was based on the benchmark exams; therefore, students above grade 8 had no impact on the rankings.

As was the case for the elementary schools, there is some overlap between the top five performing middle schools in math and literacy in each region. Additionally, there were 26 unique instances where a middle school received an OEP award two years in a row in a given category. We would like to congratulate all of the schools on these lists, specifically those who are showing a repeat performance of high achievement.

In the next section, we will focus on high schools. We will use the End-of-Course examinations to create a list of overall high achieving high schools in Arkansas, high-poverty high schools that are performing at high levels, and the high achieving high schools in each region.

## V. 2010 OEP Awards: High Achieving High Schools

This section highlights high-performing high schools across Arkansas based on End-of-Course (EOC) examinations in Algebra, Geometry, Biology, and the Grade 11 Literacy examination from the 2009-10 academic year. ${ }^{12}$ This section is divided into subsections based on scores from each of the four tests. Subsections are divided as follows: the top 20 high schools, top 10 "high poverty" high schools, and top five high schools by region.
Two of these exams are "high stakes" tests. The EOC for Algebra I became high stakes in 200910. The Grade 11 Literacy examination will become high stakes in 2013. This means they will function as exit exams such that students must score proficient or advanced (or some alternative exam) to receive a high school diploma. ${ }^{13}$

## A. Algebra End-of-Course Exam, 2010

Junior high and high schools with the highest performance in Algebra are given below in Table $53 .{ }^{14}$ All of the top 20 schools had between $96 \%$ and $100 \%$ of their students score above the state’s "high stakes" threshold, thereby making them eligible for graduation. ${ }^{15}$ At least $91 \%$ of their students scored proficient or higher on the exam.

These are not the only schools reaching high levels of achievement in Algebra. In all, 251 of the 408 schools with students taking the Algebra EOC had $75 \%$ or more students score proficient or advanced. Of these 251 schools, 104 are middle or junior high schools, while 147 are regular high schools.

The geographical distribution of these top 20 schools is uneven. The state’s Southwest, Northeast, and Central regions are evenly represented by 4 or 5 schools on the list. The Northwest has the greatest number of schools on the list, with 8, while the Southeast has no schools in the top 20 for performance on the Algebra I EOC exam.

Algebra I is generally taken during the ninth grade; however, some students may elect to take this course earlier if they have the prerequisite knowledge. This may result in a small number of the most advanced students taking the exam in the $7^{\text {th }}$ or $8^{\text {th }}$ grade. For this reason, schools have been split into groups based on whether the school serves $9^{\text {th }}$ grade students. Because of significant differences between students who take algebra in the 8th and 9th grades, middle schools tend to have higher proficiency levels than do schools which serve $9^{\text {th }}$ grade students. In fact, over 66 middle or junior high schools had $100 \%$ of students score proficient or advanced, as presented in Table 54 below in this section.

[^10]Table 53: Top 20 Regular High ${ }^{16}$ Schools in Arkansas Based on EOC Algebra Achievement

|  | School (District) | Region | Grades <br> Served | \# of <br> Test Takers | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Passed } \\ \text { High } \\ \text { Stakes }^{17} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\%$ <br> Proficient/ Advanced |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Taylor High (Emerson-Taylor) | SW | 7-12 | 31 | 100\% | 100\% |
| 2 | Haas Hall Academy (Haas Hall Academy) | NW | 8-12 | 52 | 100\% | 99\% |
| 3 | Spring Hill High (Spring Hill) | SW | 7-12 | 50 | 100\% | 98\% |
| 4 | Mt. Vernon/Enola High (Mt. VernonEnola) | CN | 7-12 | 36 | 100\% | 97\% |
| 5 | Benton Junior High (Benton) | CN | 8-9 | 350 | 99\% | 96\% |
| 6 | Woodland Junior High (Fayetteville) | NW | 8-9 | 274 | 98\% | 95\% |
| 6 | Lakeside Junior High (Lakeside) | CN | 8-9 | 213 | 100\% | 95\% |
| 6 | Joe T. Robinson High (Pulaski County) | CN | 9-12 | 41 | 97\% | 95\% |
| 6 | Acorn High (Ouachita) | SW | 7-12 | 40 | 100\% | 95\% |
| 10 | Marion Junior High (Marion) | NE | 8-9 | 285 | 99\% | 94\% |
| 11 | Vilonia Junior High (Vilonia) | CN | 8-9 | 201 | 99\% | 93\% |
| 11 | Bigelow High (East End) | NW | 7-12 | 55 | 98\% | 93\% |
| 11 | Oden High (Ouachita River) | SW | 7-12 | 14 | 100\% | 93\% |
| 14 | Bentonville High (Bentonville) | NW | 9-12 | 694 | 98\% | 92\% |
| 14 | Raymond E. Wells Junior High (Greenwood) | NW | 8-9 | 257 | 100\% | 92\% |
| 14 | Dardanelle High (Dardanelle) | NW | 9-12 | 93 | 100\% | 92\% |
| 14 | Gentry High (Gentry) | NW | 9-12 | 72 | 98\% | 92\% |
| 14 | Alpena High (Alpena) | NW | 7-12 | 49 | 100\% | 92\% |
| 14 | Mount Ida High (Mount Ida) | SW | 7-12 | 35 | 96\% | 92\% |
| 20 | Valley View High (Valley View) | NE | 7-12 | 169 | 99\% | 91\% |
| 20 | McCrory High (McCrory) | NE | 7-12 | 55 | 98\% | 91\% |
| 20 | Buffalo Is. Central Junior High (Buffalo Island) | NE | 7-9 | 44 | 98\% | 91\% |

- Indicates school placed on same list in 2008-09.

We would like to draw special attention to Joe T. Robinson High, Bentonville High,
Dardanelle High, and Gentry High for their achievement on the Algebra I EOC. These schools are being evaluated on their students from only grades (9-12). Thus these overall scores do not "benefit" from the performance of high-achieving 7th, and 8th grade students who take the Algebra I EOC early. We commend these schools on this achievement!

[^11]The following 66 schools achieved 100\% proficiency among their 7th and 8th grade students taking Algebra I. These schools’ performance, while commendable, cannot be fairly compared with performance for schools offering Algebra I in the 9th grade. Most often, students taking Algebra I in the 7th or 8th grade are the most advanced students in the school. Students taking Algebra I in the 9th grade or higher are drawn from all levels of ability and achievement.
Table 54: Middle and Junior High Schools with 100\% Proficient and Advanced Based on EOC Algebra Achievement

| School (District) | Region | Grades Served | \# of Test <br> Takers | $\%$ Proficient/ Advanced |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Barton Junior High (El Dorado) | SW | 7-8 | 98 | 100\% |
| Lincoln Junior High (Bentonville) * | NW | 7-8 | 94 | 100\% |
| Sheridan Middle (Sheridan) | CN | 6-8 | 94 | 100\% |
| Pulaski Heights Middle (Little Rock) | CN | 6-8 | 93 | 100\% |
| Carl Stuart Middle (Conway) | CN | 7-8 | 85 | 100\% |
| Kirksey Middle (Rogers) | NW | 6-8 | 83 | 100\% |
| Bryant Middle (Bryant) | CN | 6-8 | 83 | 100\% |
| Pea Ridge Middle (Pea Ridge) | NW | 6-8 | 76 | 100\% |
| Prairie Grove Middle (Prairie Grove) | NW | 5-8 | 73 | 100\% |
| Paragould Junior (Paragould) | NE | 7-8 | 72 | 100\% |
| Bob Courtway Middle (Conway) | CN | 7-8 | 66 | 100\% |
| Elmwood Middle (Rogers) | NW | 6-8 | 63 | 100\% |
| Bethel Middle (Bryant) | CN | 6-8 | 62 | 100\% |
| Siloam Springs Middle (Siloam Springs) | NW | 6-8 | 54 | 100\% |
| Hellstern Middle (Springdale) | NW | 6-7 | 53 | 100\% |
| Blytheville Middle (Blytheville) $\ddagger$ | NE | 7-8 | 51 | 100\% |
| Helen Tyson Middle (Springdale) $\ddagger$ | NW | 6-7 | 49 | 100\% |
| Lingle Middle (Rogers) | NW | 6-8 | 48 | 100\% |
| Mena Middle (Mena) | SW | 7-8 | 45 | 100\% |
| Forrest City Junior (Forrest City) $\ddagger$ | NE | 7-8 | 44 | 100\% |
| Ahlf Junior High (Searcy) | NE | 7-8 | 44 | 100\% |
| Heber Springs Middle (Heber Springs) | NE | 6-8 | 40 | 100\% |
| J. O. Kelly Middle (Springdale) $\ddagger$ | NW | 6-7 | 40 | 100\% |
| Gravette Middle (Gravette) * | NW | 6-8 | 39 | 100\% |
| McNair Middle (Fayetteville) * | NW | 6-7 | 39 | 100\% |
| Camden Fairview Middle (Camden-Fairview) $\ddagger$ | SW | 6-8 | 38 | 100\% |
| Valley Springs Middle (Valley Springs) | NW | 5-8 | 37 | 100\% |
| Monticello Middle (Monticello) * | SE | 6-8 | 37 | 100\% |
| Lavaca Middle (Lavaca) | NW | 5-8 | 35 | 100\% |
| Mountain View Middle (Mountain View) | NE | 6-8 | 34 | 100\% |
| Crossett Middle (Crossett) | SE | 5-8 | 33 | 100\% |
| Star City Middle (Star City) | SE | 6-8 | 30 | 100\% |

[^12]Table 54: Middle and Junior High Schools with 100\% Proficient and Advanced Based on EOC Algebra Achievement

| School (District) | Region | Grades Served | \# of Test Takers | $\begin{gathered} \hline \% \\ \text { Proficient/ } \\ \text { Advanced } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Southside Middle (Southside) | NE | 5-8 | 29 | 100\% |
| Fouke Middle (Fouke) | SW | 6-8 | 29 | 100\% |
| Randall G. Lynch Middle (Farmington) | NW | 6-8 | 29 | 100\% |
| Berryville Middle (Berryville) | NW | 6-8 | 28 | 100\% |
| Malvern Junior High (Malvern) $\ddagger$ | CN | 7-8 | 26 | 100\% |
| Paris Middle (Paris) | NW | 5-8 | 26 | 100\% |
| Atkins Middle (Atkins) | NW | 5-8 | 25 | 100\% |
| Waldron Middle (Waldron) $\ddagger$ | NW | 5-8 | 25 | 100\% |
| Dardanelle Middle (Dardanelle) $\ddagger \ddagger$ | NW | 7-8 | 25 | 100\% |
| Manila Middle (Manila) | NE | 5-8 | 24 | 100\% |
| Warren Middle (Warren) $\ddagger$ | SE | 6-8 | 22 | 100\% |
| Bismarck Middle (Bismarck) | CN | 5-8 | 22 | 100\% |
| Glen Rose Middle (Glen Rose) | CN | 5-8 | 22 | 100\% |
| Yellville-Summit Middle (Yellville-Summit) $\ddagger$ | NW | 5-8 | 22 | 100\% |
| Cabe Middle (Gurdon) $\ddagger$ | SW | 5-8 | 21 | 100\% |
| Lonoke Middle (Lonoke) | CN | 6-8 | 21 | 100\% |
| Newport Junior High (Newport) $\ddagger \ddagger$ | NE | 7-8 | 20 | 100\% |
| Huntsville Middle (Huntsville) | NW | 6-8 | 20 | 100\% |
| Mansfield Middle (Mansfield) | NW | 5-8 | 20 | 100\% |
| Greenland Middle (Greenland) | NW | 5-8 | 20 | 100\% |
| Riverview Jr. High (Riverview) $\ddagger$ | NE | 7-8 | 20 | 100\% |
| Stuttgart Junior High (Stuttgart) | SE | 7-8 | 19 | 100\% |
| Yerger Junior High (Hope) $\downarrow \ddagger$ | SW | 7-8 | 19 | 100\% |
| Dover Middle (Dover) | NW | 5-8 | 19 | 100\% |
| Danville Middle (Danville) $\ddagger$ | NW | 6-8 | 19 | 100\% |
| Trumann Intermediate (Trumann) $\ddagger$ | NE | 7-8 | 18 | 100\% |
| Eureka Springs Middle (Eureka Springs) | NW | 5-8 | 17 | 100\% |
| Benton County School of the Arts Elem./Mid. (Benton County SoA) | NW | K-8 | 14 | 100\% |
| Nemo Vista Middle (Nemo Vista) $\ddagger$ | NW | 6-8 | 14 | 100\% |
| Mountainburg Middle (Mountainburg) $\ddagger$ | NW | 5-8 | 14 | 100\% |
| Eliza Miller Junior High (Helena/W. Helena) $\ddagger$ | SE | 7-8 | 14 | 100\% |
| Drew Central Middle (Drew Central) $\ddagger$ | SE | 5-8 | 12 | 100\% |
| Lamar Middle (Lamar) | NW | 5-8 | 11 | 100\% |
| Holt Middle (Fayetteville) | NW | 6-7 | 11 | 100\% |

$\bullet$ Indicates school placed on same list in 2008-09.
$\ddagger$ Indicates high poverty school

Table 55 includes only schools categorized as "high poverty" (having greater than 66\% of students receiving free or reduced lunch (FRL) in the grades they serve). FRL rates for these schools range mostly from $67 \%$ to $71 \%$. One school, KIPP Delta College Prep, made the list with an $82 \%$ FRL rate. Note the top 10 schools in this table are distributed evenly across the four outlying quadrants of the state, with each corner (Northwest, Northeast, Southwest, or Southeast) having either two or three schools on the list. No high-poverty schools in the state's Central region made the list.
Table 55: Top 10 "High-Poverty" High Schools Based on EOC Algebra Achievement

|  | School (District) | \% <br> FRL | Region | Grades <br> Served | \# of Test <br> Takers | \% <br> Proficient// <br> Advanced |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | J. D. Leftwich High (Magazine) | $68 \%$ | NW | $6-12$ | 61 | $93 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | Marked Tree High (Marked Tree) | $69 \%$ | NE | $7-12$ | 42 | $91 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | Norfork High (Norfork) | $67 \%$ | NW | $7-12$ | 35 | $88 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | Caddo Hills High (Caddo Hills) | $71 \%$ | SW | $7-12$ | 37 | $87 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | Acorn High (Ouachita River) | $69 \%$ | SW | $7-12$ | 43 | $82 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | Mineral Springs High (Mineral Springs) | $67 \%$ | SW | $7-12$ | 28 | $82 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | McGehee High (McGehee) | $70 \%$ | SE | $7-12$ | 93 | $79 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | KIPP: Delta College Prep (KIPP: Delta) | $82 \%$ | SE | $9-12$ | 13 | $77 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | Plainview-Rover High (Two Rivers) | $70 \%$ | NW | $7-12$ | 17 | $77 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | Harrisburg High (Harrisburg) | $68 \%$ | NE | $9-12$ | 88 | $75 \%$ |

Again, we would like to draw special attention to two schools: KIPP Delta College Prep and Harrisburg High for their achievement on the Algebra I EOC. These schools are being evaluated on their students from only grades (9-12). Thus these overall scores do not "benefit" from the performance of high-achieving 7th and 8th grade students who take the Algebra I EOC early. We commend these schools on this achievement!

Tables 56-60: Top 5 Regular Algebra Schools in Arkansas by Region, EOC Algebra Achievement

Northwest Region

| School (District) | \# of Test <br> Takers | \% Prof/ <br> Adv |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Haas Hall Academy <br> (Haas Hall Academy) | 52 | $99 \%$ |
| Woodland Junior High <br> (Fayetteville) | 274 | $95 \%$ |
| Bigelow High <br> (East End) | 55 | $93 \%$ |
| Bentonville High <br> (Bentonville) | 694 | $92 \%$ |
| Raymond E. Wells Jr. High <br> (Greenwood) | 257 | $92 \%$ |
| Dardanelle High <br> (Dardanelle) | 93 | $92 \%$ |
| Gentry High <br> (Gentry) | 72 | $92 \%$ |
| Alpena High <br> (Alpena) | 49 | $92 \%$ |

Northeast Region

| School (District) | \# of Test <br> Takers | \% Prof/ <br> Adv |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Marion Junior High <br> (Marion) | 285 | $94 \%$ |
| Valley View High <br> (Valley View) | 169 | $91 \%$ |
| McCrory High <br> (McCrory) | 55 | $91 \%$ |
| Buffalo Is. Cen. Jr. High <br> (Buffalo Island) | 44 | $91 \%$ |
| Searcy High <br> (Searcy) | 257 | $90 \%$ |
| Rector High <br> (Rector) | 57 | $90 \%$ |
| Tuckerman High <br> (Jackson County) | 42 | $90 \%$ |
| West Side High <br> (West Side) | 32 | $90 \%$ |

Southwest Region

| School (District) | \# of Test <br> Takers | \% Prof/ <br> Adv |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Taylor High <br> (Emerson-Taylor) | 31 | $100 \%$ |
| Spring Hill High <br> (Spring Hill) | 50 | $98 \%$ |
| Acorn High <br> (Ouachita) | 40 | $95 \%$ |
| Oden High <br> (Ouachita River) | 14 | $93 \%$ |
| Mount Ida High <br> (Mount Ida) | 35 | $92 \%$ |

Southeast Region

| School (District) | \# of Test <br> Takers | \% Prof/ <br> Adv |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Mt. Vernon/Enola High <br> (Mt. Vernon-Enola) | 36 | $97 \%$ |
| Benton Junior High <br> (Benton) | 350 | $96 \%$ |
| Lakeside Junior High <br> (Lakeside) | 213 | $95 \%$ |
| Joe T. Robinson High <br> (Pulaski County) | 41 | $95 \%$ |
| Vilonia Junior High <br> (Vilonia) | 201 | $93 \%$ |


| School (District) | \# of Test <br> Takers | \% Prof/ <br> Adv |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Star City High <br> (Star City) | 94 | $83 \%$ |
| Drew Central High <br> (Drew Central) | 44 | $82 \%$ |
| Rison High <br> (Cleveland County) | 53 | $80 \%$ |
| Stuttgart High <br> (Stuttgart) | 121 | $77 \%$ |
| Des Arc High <br> (Des Arc) | 53 | $75 \%$ |

## B. Geometry End-of-Course Exam, 2010

Top-performing high schools in Geometry are listed below in Table 61. All schools on the list achieved at least $87 \%$ proficiency, compared to a statewide average of $69 \%$. These are not the only schools reaching high levels of achievement in Geometry. In all, 107 of the 286 high schools in which students took the geometry EOC had 75\% or more students score proficient or advanced. Geometry is generally taken during the tenth grade.

As in Algebra, the top 20 high schools in end-of-course Geometry are unevenly distributed across the state. Regional counts range from 2 schools making the list from the state’s Southeast, to 9 schools from the Northwest making the list.

## Table 61: Top 20 High Schools in Arkansas, Geometry Achievement

|  | School (District) | Region | Grades <br> Served | \# of Test <br> Takers | \% <br> Proficient// <br> Advanced |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Haas Hall Academy (Haas Hall Academy) | NW | $8-12$ | 32 | $100 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Mt. Vernon/Enola High (Mt. Vernon-Enola) | CN | $7-12$ | 24 | $100 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | Taylor High (Emerson-Taylor) | SW | $7-12$ | 38 | $97 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | Benton High (Benton) | CN | $10-12$ | 235 | $96 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | Dardanelle High (Dardanelle) | NW | $9-12$ | 93 | $94 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | Searcy High (Searcy) | NE | $9-12$ | 242 | $93 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | Deer High (Deer/Mt. Judea) | NW | $7-12$ | 14 | $93 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | Jasper High (Jasper) | NW | $7-12$ | 39 | $92 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | Bentonville High (Bentonville) | NW | $9-12$ | 704 | $91 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | Greenwood High (Greenwood) | NW | $10-12$ | 167 | $91 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | Viola High (Viola) | NE | $7-12$ | 22 | $91 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 2}$ | Valley View High (Valley View) | NE | $7-12$ | 158 | $90 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 2}$ | West Side High (West Side) | NE | $7-12$ | 41 | $90 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 2}$ | Ouachita High (Ouachita) | CN | $7-12$ | 29 | $90 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 5}$ | Bismarck High (Bismark) | CN | $9-12$ | 70 | $89 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 6}$ | Bald Knob High (Bald Knob) | NE | $9-12$ | 92 | $88 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 6}$ | Oden High (Ouachita River) | SW | $7-12$ | 17 | $88 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 8}$ | Lamar High (Lamar) | NW | $9-12$ | 98 | $87 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 8}$ | West Fork High (West Fork) | NW | $9-12$ | 82 | $87 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 8}$ | KIPP Delta College Prep (KIPP: Delta) | SE | $9-12$ | 53 | $87 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 8}$ | Alpena High (Alpena) | NW | $7-12$ | 37 | $87 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 8}$ | Hazen High (Hazen) | SE | $9-12$ | 36 | $87 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 8}$ | Spring Hill High (Spring Hill) | SW | $7-12$ | 32 | $87 \%$ |

- Indicates school placed on same list in 2008-09.

Though Geometry is taken by most students in 10th grade, some students may elect to take these courses earlier if they have the prerequisite knowledge. This can result in the most advanced students taking these exams in $8^{\text {th }}$ or $9^{\text {th }}$ grade. For this reason, middle schools may have higher proficiency rates because they have only advanced students taking the exam.
Indeed, only 50 middle and junior high schools even tested students in Geometry. Each of these 50 schools had $75 \%$ or more students score proficient or advanced. Furthermore, 33 of the 50 schools had $100 \%$ or more of students scoring proficient or advanced. These 33 schools are presented in Table 62 below.

Table 62: Middle and Junior High Schools with 100\% Proficient and Advanced Based on EOC Geometry Achievement

| School (District) | Region | Grades <br> Served | \# of Test <br> Takers | \% <br> Proficient/ <br> Advanced |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Woodland Junior High (Fayetteville) | NW | $8-9$ | 119 | $100 \%$ |
| Cabot Junior High (Cabot) | CN | $7-9$ | 107 | $100 \%$ |
| Raymond E. Wells Junior High (Greenwood) | NW | $8-9$ | 107 | $100 \%$ |
| Lake Hamilton Junior High (Lake Hamilton) | CN | $8-9$ | 83 | $100 \%$ |
| Ramay Junior High (Fayetteville) | NW | $8-9$ | 81 | $100 \%$ |
| Greene County Tech Junior High (Green | NE | $8-9$ | 76 | $100 \%$ |
| County Tech) |  |  |  |  |
| Lakeside Junior High (Lakeside HS) | CN | $8-9$ | 75 | $100 \%$ |
| Marion Junior High (Marion) | NE | $8-9$ | 70 | $100 \%$ |
| L. A. Chaffin Junior High (Fort Smith) | NW | $7-9$ | 66 | $100 \%$ |
| Lakewood Middle (North Little Rock) | CN | $7-8$ | 65 | $100 \%$ |
| Harrison Junior High (Harrison) | NW | $7-9$ | 64 | $100 \%$ |
| Butterfield Junior High (Van Buren) | NW | $7-9$ | 50 | $100 \%$ |
| Ozark Junior High (Ozark) | NW | $8-9$ | 44 | $100 \%$ |
| White Hall Junior High (White Hall) | CN | $7-9$ | 43 | $100 \%$ |
| Vilonia Junior High (Vilonia) | CN | $8-9$ | 43 | $100 \%$ |
| Fuller Middle (Pulaski County) | CN | $6-8$ | 34 | $100 \%$ |
| Central Junior High (Springdale) | NW | $8-9$ | 34 | $100 \%$ |
| Southwest Junior High (Springdale) | NW | $8-9$ | 32 | $100 \%$ |
| Ramsey Junior High (Fort Smith) | NW | $7-9$ | 30 | $100 \%$ |
| Booneville Junior High (Booneville) | NW | $7-9$ | 28 | $100 \%$ |
| eStem Middle (eSTEM Public Charter) | CN | $5-8$ | 25 | $100 \%$ |
| DeQueen Junior (DeQueen) | SW | $8-9$ | 24 | $100 \%$ |
| Batesville Junior High (Batesville) | NE | $7-9$ | 23 | $100 \%$ |
| KIPP Delta College Preparatory (KIPP: Delta) | SE | $5-8$ | 22 | $100 \%$ |
| Maumelle Middle (Pulaski County) | CN | $6-8$ | 22 | $100 \%$ |
| Hot Springs Middle (Hot Springs) | CN | $7-8$ | 22 | $100 \%$ |
| Ridgeroad Charter Middle (North Little Rock) | CN | $7-8$ | 19 | $100 \%$ |
| Mann Magnet Middle (Little Rock) | CN | $6-8$ | 14 | $100 \%$ |
| Pulaski Heights Middle (Little Rock) | CN | $6-8$ | 14 | $100 \%$ |
| Bob Courtway Middle (Conway) | CN | $7-8$ | 13 | $100 \%$ |
| Lisa NLR High (Lisa Academy NLR) | CN | 9 | 12 | $100 \%$ |
| Carl Stuart Middle (Conway) | CN | $7-8$ | 12 | $100 \%$ |
| Lisa Academy North Middle (Lisa Academy | CN | $6-8$ | 11 | $100 \%$ |
| NLR) |  |  |  |  |

- Indicates school placed on same list in 2008-09.

Top-performing high-poverty schools are shown in Tables 63 and 64. These schools have at least $66 \%$ of their students receiving free or reduced lunches. As with Algebra, these mostly rural schools are scattered among the four outlying quadrants of the state, with no schools from the state's more urban Central region making the list. Proficiency rates for all schools on the list were above the state average of $69 \%$, ranging from $71 \%$ to $97 \%$.

## Table 63: Top 10 "High-Poverty" High Schools Based on EOC Geometry Achievement

|  | School (District) | \% <br> FRL | Region | Grades <br> Served | \# of Test <br> Takers | \%roficient/ <br> Advanced |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | KIPP: Delta College Prep (KIPP: Delta) | $82 \%$ | SE | $9-12$ | 28 | $97 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | Caddo Hills High (Caddo Hills) | $71 \%$ | SW | $7-12$ | 34 | $88 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | McGehee High (McGehee) | $70 \%$ | SE | $7-12$ | 51 | $87 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | Norfork High (Norfork) | $67 \%$ | NW | $7-12$ | 29 | $86 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | Marked Tree High (Marked Tree) | $69 \%$ | NE | $7-12$ | 37 | $76 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | Acorn High (Ouachita River) | $69 \%$ | SW | $7-12$ | 36 | $75 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | Bruno-Pyatt High (Ozark Mountain) | $76 \%$ | NW | $7-12$ | 16 | $75 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | Umpire High (Wickes) | $100 \%$ | SW | $7-12$ | 15 | $74 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | Western Grove High (Ozark Mountain) | $72 \%$ | NW | $7-12$ | 15 | $73 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | Maynard High (Maynard) | $68 \%$ | NE | $7-12$ | 65 | $71 \%$ |

Table 64: Top 10 "High-Poverty" Middle and Junior High Schools Based on EOC Geometry Achievement

|  | School (District) | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% } \\ \text { FRL } \end{gathered}$ | Region | Grades Served | \# of Test <br> Takers | \% <br> Proficient/ <br> Advanced |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | DeQueen Junior (DeQueen) | 74\% | SW | 8-9 | 24 | 100\% |
| 2 | KIPP Delta College Preparatory (KIPP: Delta) | 85\% | SE | 5-8 | 22 | 100\% |
| 3 | Hot Springs Middle (Hot Springs) | 75\% | CN | 7-8 | 22 | 100\% |
| 4 | Ridgeroad Charter Middle (North Little Rock) | 89\% | CN | 7-8 | 19 | 100\% |
| 5 | George Junior High (Springdale) | 66\% | NW | 8-9 | 35 | 97\% |
| 6 | William O. Darby Junior High (Fort Smith) | 93\% | NW | 7-9 | 25 | 96\% |
| 6 | Dunbar Magnet Middle (Little Rock) | 76\% | CN | 6-8 | 14 | 92\% |
| 8 | Dora Kimmons Junior High (Fort Smith) | 91\% | NW | 7-9 | 25 | 88\% |
| 9 | Clinton Junior High (Clinton) | 68\% | NW | 7-9 | 29 | 82\% |
| 10 | Henderson Middle (Little Rock) | 89\% | CN | 6-8 | 26 | 77\% |

Tables 65-69: Top 5 High Schools in Arkansas by Region, Geometry Achievement


$\left.$| Northwest Region |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| School (District) | \# of Test |  |
| Takers |  |  | | \% |
| :---: |
| Proficient/ |
| Advanced | \right\rvert\,


| Northeast Region |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| School (District) | \# of Test <br> Takers | \% <br> Proficient/ <br> Advanced |
| Searcy High <br> (Searcy) | 242 | $93 \%$ |
| Viola High <br> (Viola) | 22 | $91 \%$ |
| Valley View High <br> (Valley View) | 158 | $90 \%$ |
| West Side High <br> (West Side) | 41 | $90 \%$ |
| Bald Knob High <br> (Bald Knob) | 92 | $88 \%$ |

Central Region

| School (District) | \# of <br> Test <br> Takers | \% <br> Proficient/ <br> Advanced |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Mt. Vernon/Enola <br> High <br> (Mt. Vernon-Enola) | 24 | $100 \%$ |
| Benton High <br> (Benton) | 235 | $96 \%$ |
| Ouachita High <br> (Ouachita) | 29 | $90 \%$ |
| Bismarck High <br> (Bismarck) | 70 | $89 \%$ |
| Bryant High <br> (Bryant) | 563 | $84 \%$ |

Southwest Region

| School (District) | \# of Test <br> Takers | \% <br> Proficient/ <br> Advanced |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Taylor High <br> (Emerson-Taylor) | 38 | $97 \%$ |
| Oden High <br> (Oden) | 17 | $88 \%$ |
| Spring Hill High <br> (Spring Hill) | 32 | $87 \%$ |
| Hampton High <br> (Hampton) | 48 | $83 \%$ |
| Parkers Chapel High <br> (Parkers Chapel) | 56 | $82 \%$ |
| Mount Ida High <br> (Mount Ida) | 49 | $82 \%$ |

## Southeast Region

| School (District) | \# of <br> Test <br> Takers | \% <br> Proficient/ <br> Advanced |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| KIPP: Delta College Prep <br> (KIPP: Delta) | 53 | $87 \%$ |
| Hazen High <br> (Hazen) | 36 | $87 \%$ |
| Woodlawn High <br> (Woodlawn) | 45 | $84 \%$ |
| Rison High <br> (Rison) | 47 | $80 \%$ |
| Stuttgart High <br> (Stuttgart) | 134 | $76 \%$ |

Several schools deserve special recognition for overall math achievement. The following schools were in the state's top 20 in both Algebra and Geometry:

- Taylor High
- Haas Hall Academy
- Spring Hill High
- Mt. Vernon-Enola High
- Benton Jr. High/High
- Bentonville High
- Oden High
- Wells Jr. High/Greenwood High,
- Dardanelle High
- Alpena High
- Valley View High

Caddo Hills High and Norfork High achieved this high standard even while serving populations with more than $66 \%$ of students eligible for free or reduced price lunches. Therefore, they also appear on the Algebra and Geometry "high-poverty" top ten lists. Four other schools appear on both of these "high-poverty" lists: Marked Tree High, Acorn High, McGehee High, and KIPP: Delta College Prep. These schools are not allowing poverty to be an obstacle to high achievement and should be proud of their results.

## C. Biology End-of-Course Exam, 2010

The top 20 high schools in Arkansas on the Biology end-of-course exam are presented Table 70. These schools' proficiency rates range from a high of 96\% (Taylor High) to 58\% (Fort Smith Southside), all well above the state average of $36 \%$ proficiency. They are distributed mostly across the north of the state, with the Northwest and Northeast each having 8 schools on the list out of 23 total schools. ${ }^{18}$

Compared to other EOC exams, proficiency rates in biology are significantly lower. While statewide rates were at least $60 \%$ for the other 3 tests, the 2010 statewide proficiency rate for Biology was $36 \%$. This rate follows a 2009 proficiency rate of $41 \%$ and a $30 \%$ proficiency rate in 2008, the test's first year. Relatively lower rates for the schools included in this section thus come as no surprise. The Biology EOC is a much newer test than the others, and most likely students and schools are still adapting to its requirements.
Table 70: Top 20 High Schools in Arkansas Based on EOC Biology Achievement

|  | School (District) | Region | Grades <br> Served | \# of Test <br> Takers | \% <br> Proficient/ <br> Advanced |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Taylor High (Emerson-Taylor) | SW | $9-12$ | 26 | $96 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | Haas Hall Academy (Haas Hall Academy) | NW | $8-12$ | 36 | $89 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | Lisa Academy High(Lisa Academy) | CN | $9-12$ | 52 | $81 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | Viola High (Viola) | NE | $7-12$ | 24 | $75 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | Dardanelle High (Dardanelle) | NW | $9-12$ | 91 | $73 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | Bauxite High (Bauxite) | CN | $7-12$ | 102 | $69 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | Deer High (Deer) | NW | $7-12$ | 15 | $67 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | Bentonville High (Bentonville | NW | $9-12$ | 782 | $66 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | Brookland High (Brookland) | NE | $9-12$ | 98 | $66 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | Marmaduke High (Marmaduke) | NE | $7-12$ | 54 | $65 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 1}$ | Gentry High (Gentry) | NW | $9-12$ | 51 | $63 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 1}$ | J.D. Leftwich High (Magazine) | NW | $7-12$ | 51 | $63 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 3}$ | Calico Rock High (Calico Rock) | NE | $7-12$ | 21 | $62 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 4}$ | Valley View High (Valley View) | NE | $7-12$ | 143 | $61 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 5}$ | Lakeside High (Lakeside HS) | CN | $10-12$ | 220 | $60 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 5}$ | Spring Hill High (Spring Hill) | SW | $7-12$ | 45 | $60 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 5}$ | Bradford (Bradford) | NE | $7-12$ | 45 | $60 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 8}$ | Bergman High (Bergman) | NW | $9-12$ | 73 | $59 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 8}$ | Searcy High (Searcy) | NE | $9-12$ | 258 | $59 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0}$ | Quitman High (Quitman) | NE | $7-12$ | 26 | $58 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0}$ | Poyen High (Poyen) | CN | $7-12$ | 40 | $58 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0}$ | Cabot High (Cabot) | CN | $10-12$ | 626 | $58 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0}$ | Southside High (Fort Smith) | NW | $10-12$ | 478 | $58 \%$ |

- Indicates school placed on same list in 2008-09.
${ }^{18} 23$ schools are included in the list because 4 schools tied for 20th place with $58 \%$ proficiency.

High-performing "high poverty" schools serving 66\% or more students eligible for the federal free/reduced lunch program are highlighted in Table 71. Their proficiency rates range from 43\% to $54 \%$, all above the state average of $36 \%$. The schools in this table mostly represent three state regions: Northwest, Southwest, and Central. One school from the Northeast, Timbo High, made the list, while no high-poverty schools from the state's Southeast scored high enough to make the top 10 .

Table 71: Top 10 "High-Poverty" High Schools Based on EOC Biology Achievement

|  | School (District) | \% FRL | Region | Grades <br> Served | \# of Test <br> Takers | \% <br> Proficient/ <br> Advanced |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Acorn High (Ouachita River) | $69 \%$ | SW | $7-12$ | 28 | $54 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | Lead Hill High (Lead Hill) | $68 \%$ | NW | $7-12$ | 25 | $52 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | J. D. Leftwich High (Magazine) | $68 \%$ | NW | $6-12$ | 58 | $50 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | Hot Springs High (Hot Springs) | $74 \%$ | CN | $9-12$ | 194 | $47 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | Timbo High (Mountain View) | $66 \%$ | NE | $7-12$ | 17 | $47 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | Shirley High (Shirley) | $67 \%$ | NW | $7-12$ | 42 | $45 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | St. Joe High (Ozark Mountain) | $73 \%$ | NW | $7-12$ | 11 | $45 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | Mountain Pine High (Mountain Pine) | $72 \%$ | CN | $7-12$ | 46 | $43 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | Plainview-Rover High (Two Rivers) | $70 \%$ | NW | $7-12$ | 21 | $43 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | Umpire High (Wickes) | $100 \%$ | SW | $7-12$ | 14 | $43 \%$ |

Tables 72-76: Top 5 High Schools in Arkansas by Region, Biology Achievement


Central Region

$\left.$| School (District) | \# of Test |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Takers |  | | \% |
| :---: |
| Proficient/ |
| Advanced | \right\rvert\,

$\left.\begin{array}{lcc}\hline \text { Northwest Region } & & \\ \hline \text { School (District) } & \begin{array}{c}\text { \# of Test } \\ \text { Takers }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { \% } \\ \text { Proficient// } \\ \text { Advanced }\end{array} \\ \hline \begin{array}{l}\text { Haas Hall Academy } \\ \text { (Haas Hall Academy) }\end{array} & 36 & 89 \% \\ \hline \begin{array}{l}\text { Dardanelle High } \\ \text { (Dardanelle) }\end{array} & 91 & 73 \% \\ \hline \begin{array}{l}\text { Deer High } \\ \text { (Deer/Mt. Judea) }\end{array} & 15 & 67 \% \\ \hline \begin{array}{l}\text { Bentonville High } \\ \text { (Bentonville) }\end{array} & 782 & 66 \% \\ \hline \begin{array}{l}\text { Gentry High } \\ \text { (Gentry) }\end{array} & 51 & 63 \% \\ \hline \begin{array}{l}\text { J.D. Leftwich } \\ \text { (Magazine) }\end{array} & 51 & 63 \% \\ \hline \text { Northeast Region } & 24 & 75 \% \\ \hline \begin{array}{l}\text { School (District) }\end{array} & \text { \# of Test } \\ \text { Takers }\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c}\text { Proficient/ } \\ \text { Advanced }\end{array}\right]$

## Southwest Region

$\left.$| School (District) | \# of Test |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Takers |  | | \% |
| :---: |
| Proficient/ |
| Advanced | \right\rvert\,

Southeast Region

| School (District) | \# of <br> Test <br> Takers | \% <br> Proficient/ <br> Advanced |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Des Arc High <br> (Des Arc) | 48 | $50 \%$ |
| Rison High <br> (Cleveland County) | 57 | $46 \%$ |
| Hamburg High <br> (Hamburg) | 162 | $45 \%$ |
| Monticello High <br> (Monticello) | 168 | $45 \%$ |
| Woodlawn High <br> (Woodlawn) | 39 | $44 \%$ |

## D. Grade 11 Literacy Exam, 2010

In Literacy, 60\% of students statewide scored proficient or advanced on the 2010 end-of-course exam. Table 77 presents the top 20 high schools in Arkansas based on overall Literacy proficiency. All of the top 20 schools scored well above the state average, with proficiency rates ranging from 79\% (6 schools) to 99\% (ASMS). The state's North and Central regions represent most of the schools on the list, with 17 of 20 schools, while only three schools from the state's Southern regions made the list.

Table 77: Top 20 High Schools in Arkansas Based on Grade 11 Literacy Achievement

|  | School (District) | Region | Grades <br> Served | \# of Test <br> Takers | \% <br> Proficient/ <br> Advanced |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | AR School for Math, Science, and the Arts | CN | $11-12$ | 94 | $99 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | Haas Hall Academy (Haas Hall Academy) | NW | $8-12$ | 42 | $98 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | Pottsville High (Pottsville) | NW | $10-12$ | 112 | $85 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | South Side High (South Side) | NW | $7-12$ | 27 | $85 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | Jessieville High (Jessieville) | CN | $9-12$ | 69 | $84 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | Lisa Academy High (Lisa Academy) | CN | $9-12$ | 39 | $84 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | Bentonville High (Bentonville) | NW | $9-12$ | 783 | $82 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | Rural Special High (Mountain View) | NE |  | 17 | $82 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | Scranton High (Scranton) | NW | $7-12$ | 26 | $81 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | Academics Plus High (Academics Plus Charter) | CN | $7-12$ | 20 | $80 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | KIPP Delta Collegiate High (KIPP: Delta) | SE | $9-12$ | 30 | $80 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | Melbourne High (Melbourne) | NE | $7-12$ | 55 | $80 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | Mountain View High (Mountain View) | NE | $9-12$ | 74 | $80 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | Valley View High (Valley View) | NE | $7-12$ | 152 | $80 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 5}$ | Benton Co. School of the Arts H.S. (Benton | NW | $9-12$ | 43 | $79 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 5}$ | Count SOA) | Slevins High (Blevins) | $7-12$ | 24 | $79 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 5}$ | Foreman High (Foreman) | SW | $7-12$ | 33 | $79 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 5}$ | Greenwood High (Greenwood) | NW | $10-12$ | 231 | $79 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 5}$ | Midland High (Midland) | NE | $7-12$ | 29 | $79 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 5}$ | Valley Springs High (Valley Springs) | NW | $7-12$ | 68 | $79 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |

$\bullet$ Indicates school placed on same list in 2008-09.

Table 78 lists the top 10 high-poverty schools in Grade 11 Literacy. These are schools which had at least $66 \%$ of their students receiving free or reduced lunches (FRL) yet performed well on the Literacy EOC. The percentage of students on FRL in these schools ranges from $67 \%$ to $82 \%$. These schools' proficiency rates hover closer to the state average than top-performing highpoverty schools in other subjects. Though proficiency rates among the top 10 schools are as high as $91 \%$ (KIPP Delta), the bottom 5 schools on the list achieved near or below the state average of $60 \%$ proficiency. Nonetheless, the fact that these schools did so while serving students in high-poverty is commendable, and these schools should be proud of their achievement.

Table 78: Top 10 "High-Poverty" High Schools in Arkansas Based on Grade 11 Literacy Achievement

|  | School (District) | \% <br> FRL | Region | Grades <br> Served | \# of Test <br> Takers | \% <br> Proficient/ <br> Advanced |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | KIPP: Delta College Prep (KIPP: Delta) | $82 \%$ | SE | $9-12$ | 23 | $91 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | Marked Tree High (Marked Tree) | $69 \%$ | NE | $7-12$ | 35 | $77 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | Norfork High (Norfork) | $67 \%$ | NW | $7-12$ | 40 | $76 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | Harrisburg High (Harrisburg) | $68 \%$ | NE | $9-12$ | 86 | $68 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | Fourche Valley High (Two Rivers) | $69 \%$ | NW | $7-12$ | 12 | $67 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | Shirley High (Shirley) | $67 \%$ | NW | $7-12$ | 44 | $66 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | Marshall High (Searcy County) | $69 \%$ | NW | $7-12$ | 51 | $61 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | Mountain Pine High (Mountain Pine) | $72 \%$ | CN | $7-12$ | 47 | $60 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | Bruno-Pyatt High (Ozark Mountain) | $76 \%$ | NW | $7-12$ | 21 | $57 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | Cross County High (Cross County) | $69 \%$ | NE | $7-12$ | 44 | $57 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | Western Grove High (Ozark Mountain) | $72 \%$ | NW | $7-12$ | 23 | $57 \%$ |

Tables 79-83: Top 5 High Schools in Arkansas by Region, Literacy Achievement


Central

$\left.$| School (District) | \# of Test |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Takers |  | | \% |
| :---: |
| Proficient/ |
| Advanced | \right\rvert\,


| Northwest |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| School (District) | \# of Test <br> Takers | \% <br> Proficient/ <br> Advanced |
| Haas Hall Academy <br> (Haas Hall Academy) | 42 | $98 \%$ |
| Pottsville High <br> (Pottsville) | 112 | $85 \%$ |
| South Side High <br> (South Side) | 27 | $85 \%$ |
| Bentonville Higl <br> (Bentonville) | 783 | $82 \%$ |
| Scranton High <br> (Scranton) | 26 | $81 \%$ |
| Northeast | 17 | $82 \%$ |
| School (District) | \# of Test | Takers <br> Proficient// <br> Advanced |
| Rural Special High <br> (Mountain View) | 55 | $80 \%$ |
| Melbourne High <br> (Melbourne) | 74 | $80 \%$ |
| Mountain View High <br> (Mountain View) | 152 | $80 \%$ |
| Valley View High <br> (Valley View) | 29 | $79 \%$ |
| Midland High <br> (Midland) |  |  |


| Southwest |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| School (District) | \# of Test <br> Takers | \% <br> Proficient// <br> Advanced |
| Blevins High <br> (Blevins) | 24 | $79 \%$ |
| Foreman High <br> (Foreman) | 33 | $79 \%$ |
| Taylor High <br> (Emerson-Taylor) | 23 | $74 \%$ |
| Centerpoint High <br> (Centerpoint) | 67 | $70 \%$ |
| Genoa Central High <br> (Genoa Central) | 50 | $70 \%$ |
| Southeast | \# of | $\%$ <br> Test |
| Proficient/ |  |  |
| Tadvanced |  |  |

## E. EOC Summary

There were many schools that demonstrated excellence in academics on each of the examinations. Three schools--Haas Hall Academy, Bentonville High, and Valley View High-placed in the top 20 on all four EOC tests. Students from Haas Hall Academy ranked either $1^{\text {st }}$ or $2^{\text {nd }}$ in all four subjects. Also doing a commendable job were Dardanelle High, Greenwood High ${ }^{19}$, Spring Hill High, and Taylor High. These schools were in the top twenty on three of the four examinations. Eleven schools placed in the top 20 in at least two subjects.

When examining achievement by region, a few schools stand out in each. In the Northwest region, Haas Hall Academy ranked in the top five in all four categories and Bentonville High in three of four categories. In the state's Northeast, Valley View High ranked in the top five in three out of four categories. Among schools in the Central region of the state, Benton High ${ }^{20}$ placed in three of the region's four lists. Rison High and Stuttgart High were both standouts in the Southeast region. Both placed in the top five in three of the four categories. In the Southwest, Taylor High was in the top five in three out of four categories.
The Office for Education Policy is happy to recognize the hard work done by high school students and teachers across the state. The staff, parents, and communities of these schools should be commended for their support of the children of Arkansas.

[^13]
## VI. OEP AwArds: Most Improved Schools between 2009 AND 2010

This section highlights the Arkansas schools that have improved the most between 2009 and 2010. To identify the "most improved" schools, we simply subtracted the percent of students scoring proficient and advanced in 2009 from the percent of students scoring proficient and advanced in 2010. The schools are ranked based on the percentage point increase, as noted below. As in previous sections, we have also highlighted the region in which the school is located, the grades served at the school, and the percent of students eligible for the Free/Reduced Lunch (FRL) program, as well as 2009 and 2010 proficiency rates.

## A. Elementary Schools, Mathematics

Table 83 provides elementary schools with the greatest gains in math proficiency from 2009 to 2010. These twenty schools improved their proficiency rates by amounts ranging from 30 percentage points (Retta Brown Elementary in El Dorado) to 12 percentage points (East Elementary in Osceola and Sylvan Hills in the Pulaski County district). These schools tend to have high rates of students receiving free and reduced-price lunches, with all above the state average and many between $80 \%$ and $100 \%$. The state's central region is well-represented on the list with eight of its elementary schools showing high rates of improvement. These schools are all to be commended for the gains they achieved over the last school year.

Tables 84 through 88 list the top five most-improved schools for each of the state's five regions.
Table 83: Top 20 Most Improved Elementary Schools Based on Benchmark Mathematics Achievement from 2009 to 2010

|  | School (District) | Region | Grades <br> Served |  <br> FRL <br> R2010 \% <br> Proficient/ <br> Advanced | \% Point <br> Increase |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Retta Brown Elementary (El Dorado) | SW | K-4 | $92 \%$ | $47 \% \rightarrow 77 \%$ | $\mathbf{3 0 \%}$ |
| 2 | Luxora Elementary (South Mississippi Co.) | NE | K-4 | $97 \%$ | $69 \% \rightarrow 93 \%$ | $\mathbf{2 4 \%}$ |
| 3 | Gurdon Primary (Gurdon) | SW | K-4 | $79 \%$ | $64 \% \rightarrow 87 \%$ | $\mathbf{2 3 \%}$ |
| 4 | Mabelvale Elementary (Little Rock) | CN | K-5 | $95 \%$ | $40 \% \rightarrow 57 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 8 \%}$ |
| 5 | Warren Dupree Elementary (Pulaski County) | CN | K-5 | $76 \%$ | $69 \% \rightarrow 86 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 7 \%}$ |
| 6 | Jacksonville Elementary (Pulaski County) | CN | K-5 | $84 \%$ | $48 \% \rightarrow 64 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 6 \%}$ |
| 7 | Old Wire Elementary (Rogers) | NW | K-5 | $81 \%$ | $78 \% \rightarrow 94 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 6 \%}$ |
| 8 | Morrison Elementary (Fort Smith) | NW | K-6 | $96 \%$ | $42 \% \rightarrow 58 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 6 \%}$ |
| 9 | Jones Elementary (Rogers) | NW | K-5 | $85 \%$ | $69 \% \rightarrow 84 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 5 \%}$ |
| 10 | Mildred Jackson Elementary (Hughes) | NE | K-6 | $87 \%$ | $46 \% \rightarrow 61 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 5 \%}$ |
| 11 | Belwood Elementary (North Little Rock) | CN | K-5 | $94 \%$ | $33 \% \rightarrow 49 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 5 \%}$ |
| 12 | C D Franks Elementary (Ashdown) | SW | $2-3$ | $62 \%$ | $78 \% \rightarrow 92 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 4 \%}$ |
| 13 | Landmark Elementary (Pulaski County) | CN | K-5 | $69 \%$ | $64 \% \rightarrow 78 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 4 \%}$ |
| 14 | Oak Grove Elementary (Pulaski County) | CN | K-5 | $74 \%$ | $60 \% \rightarrow 73 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 4 \%}$ |
| 15 | Meadowcliff Elementary (Little Rock) | CN | K-5 | $93 \%$ | $55 \% \rightarrow 69 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 4 \%}$ |
| 16 | George Elementary (Springdale) | NW | K-5 | $66 \%$ | $51 \% \rightarrow 64 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 3 \%}$ |
| 17 | Ivory Primary (Camden-Fairview) | SW | $2-3$ | $75 \%$ | $66 \% \rightarrow 79 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 3 \%}$ |
| 18 | Mountainburg Elementary (Mountainburg) | NW | K-4 | $78 \%$ | $66 \% \rightarrow 79 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 3 \%}$ |
| 19 | East Elementary (Osceola) | NE | $3-5$ | $87 \%$ | $47 \% \rightarrow 59 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 2 \%}$ |
| 20 | Sylvan Hills Elementary (Pulaski County) | CN | K-5 | $63 \%$ | $64 \% \rightarrow 77 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 2 \%}$ |

Tables 84-88: Top 5 Most Improved Elementary Schools in Arkansas by Region, Benchmark Mathematics Achievement from 2009 to 2010


| Northwest |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| School (District) | 2009 \& 2010 <br> \% Proficient// <br> Advanced | \% Point <br> Increase |
| Old Wire Elementary <br> (Rogers) | $78 \% \rightarrow 94 \%$ | $16 \%$ |
| Morrison Elementary <br> (Fort Smith) | $42 \% \rightarrow 58 \%$ | $16 \%$ |
| Jones Elementary <br> (Rogers) | $69 \% \rightarrow 84 \%$ | $15 \%$ |
| George Elementary <br> (Springdale) | $51 \% \rightarrow 64 \%$ | $13 \%$ |
| Mountainburg Elem. <br> (Mountainburg) | $66 \% \rightarrow 79 \%$ | $13 \%$ |
| Northeast | $2009 \& 2010$ | $\%$ Point |
| School (District) | \% Proficient/ | Increase |
| Luxora Elem. <br> (South Mississippi Co.) | $69 \% \rightarrow 93 \%$ | $24 \%$ |
| Mildred Jackson El. <br> (Hughes) | $46 \% \rightarrow 61 \%$ | $15 \%$ |
| East Elementary <br> (Osceola) | $47 \% \rightarrow 59 \%$ | $12 \%$ |
| Keiser Elementary <br> (South Mississippi Co.) | $68 \% \rightarrow 80 \%$ | $12 \%$ |
| Nettleton Inter Ctr. <br> (Nettleton) | $73 \% \rightarrow 84 \%$ | $12 \%$ |

Central

| School (District) | 2009 \& 2010 \% <br> Proficient/ <br> Advanced | \% Point <br> Increase |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Mabelvale Elem. <br> (Little Rock) | $40 \% \rightarrow 57 \%$ | $18 \%$ |
| Warren Dupree <br> Elementary <br> (Pulaski County) | $69 \% \rightarrow 86 \%$ | $17 \%$ |
| Jacksonville Elem. <br> (Pulaski County) | $48 \% \rightarrow 64 \%$ | $16 \%$ |
| Belwood Elem. <br> (North Little Rock) | $33 \% \rightarrow 49 \%$ | $15 \%$ |
| Landmark Elem. <br> (Pulaski County) | $64 \% \rightarrow 78 \%$ | $14 \%$ |

Southwest

| School (District) | 2009 \& 20010 \% <br> Proficient/ <br> Advanced | \% Point <br> Increase |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Retta Brown Elem. <br> (El Dorado) | $47 \% \rightarrow 77 \%$ | $30 \%$ |
| Gurdon Primary <br> (Gurdon) | $64 \% \rightarrow 87 \%$ | $23 \%$ |
| C. D. Franks Elem. <br> (Ashdown) | $78 \% \rightarrow 92 \%$ | $14 \%$ |
| Ivory Primary <br> (Camden-Fairview) | $66 \% \rightarrow 79 \%$ | $13 \%$ |
| East-West Elem. <br> (Magnolia) | $60 \% \rightarrow 72 \%$ | $12 \%$ |

## Southeast

| School (District) | 2009 \& 2010 \% <br> Proficient/ <br> Advanced | \% Point <br> Increase |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Lakeside Upper Elem. <br> (Lakeside LV) | $59 \% \rightarrow 70 \%$ | $12 \%$ |
| Kingsland Elem. <br> (Cleveland County) | $71 \% \rightarrow 81 \%$ | $11 \%$ |
| Brunson Elem. <br> (Warren) | $72 \% \rightarrow 81 \%$ | $9 \%$ |
| Wilmot Elem. <br> (Hamburg) | $48 \% \rightarrow 55 \%$ | $6 \%$ |
| Monticello Inter. <br> (Monticello) | $74 \% \rightarrow 79 \%$ | $6 \%$ |

## B. Elementary Schools, Literacy

Table 89 lists the 20 highest-gaining elementary schools between 2009 and 2010 in literacy based on the state's benchmark exams. As with the top 20 schools in math, these are largely highpoverty schools, showing high percentages of students receiving free or reduced-price lunches. Of the 20 schools on the list, 14 have FRL rates above $80 \%$. Only one school, Lavaca Elementary at 48\% FRL, has an FRL rate below the state average of $60 \%$. These schools are broadly scattered across the state, with only the southeast (Eudora Elementary in Lakeside) being relatively under-represented on the list. These 20 schools' gains range from $17 \%$ for Ivory Primary in Camden-Fairview to 31\% for Eudora Elementary. These 20 schools have all shown exceptional progress over the last school year, and are to be commended.

Tables 90-94 provide the top five gainers for each of the state's five regions.
Table 89: Top 20 Most Improved Elementary Schools Based on Benchmark Literacy Achievement from 2009 to 2010

|  | School (District) | Region | Grades Served | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { FRL } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2009 \text { \& } \\ 2010 \% \\ \text { Proficient/ } \\ \text { Advanced } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | \% Point Increase |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Eudora Elementary (Lakeside LV) | SE | P-6 | 92\% | $66 \% \rightarrow 97 \%$ | 31\% |
| 2 | Luxora Elementary (South Mississippi Co.) | NE | K-4 | 97\% | $54 \% \rightarrow 80 \%$ | 26\% |
| 3 | Gurdon Primary (Gurdon) | SW | K-4 | 79\% | 65\% $\rightarrow 89 \%$ | 24\% |
| 4 | Mabelvale Elementary (Little Rock) | CN | P-5 | 95\% | 36\% $\rightarrow 59 \%$ | 23\% |
| 5 | C D Franks Elementary (Ashdown) | SW | 2-3 | 62\% | 58\% $\rightarrow 81 \%$ | 23\% |
|  | Jones Elementary (Rogers) | NW | K-5 | 85\% | $53 \% \rightarrow 75 \%$ | 22\% |
| 7 | Retta Brown Elementary (El Dorado) | SW | K-4 | 92\% | $51 \% \rightarrow 72 \%$ | 21\% |
|  | Rural Special Elementary (Mountain View) | NE | K-6 | 71\% | $70 \% \rightarrow 91 \%$ | 21\% |
|  | Monitor Elementary (Springdale) | NW | K-5 | 75\% | $48 \% \rightarrow 68 \%$ | 20\% |
|  | Weaver Elementary (West Memphis) | NE | K-6 | 92\% | $49 \% \rightarrow 69 \%$ | 20\% |
| 11 | Stephens Elementary (Stephens) | SW | K-6 | 92\% | $42 \% \rightarrow 61 \%$ | 19\% |
|  | Jones Elementary (Springdale) | NW | K-5 | 97\% | $44 \% \rightarrow 62 \%$ | 19\% |
|  | Maddux Elementary (West Memphis) | NE | K-6 | 91\% | $49 \% \rightarrow 68 \%$ | 19\% |
|  | Lavaca Elementary (Lavaca) | NW | K-4 | 48\% | 68\% $\rightarrow 85 \%$ | 17\% |
|  | Baseline Elementary (Little Rock) | CN | P-5 | 98\% | 29\% $\rightarrow 46 \%$ | 17\% |
|  | Lynch Elementary (North Little Rock) | CN | K-5 | 96\% | 28\% $\rightarrow 45 \%$ | 17\% |
| 17 | Wakefield Elementary (Little Rock) | CN | P-5 | 98\% | $41 \% \rightarrow 58 \%$ | 17\% |
| 18 | Rockefeller Incentive (Little Rock) | CN | P-5 | 89\% | 58\% $\rightarrow 75 \%$ | 17\% |
| 19 | Green Forest Elementary (Green Forest) | NW | K-3 | 82\% | 61\% $\rightarrow 78 \%$ | 17\% |
|  | Ivory Primary (Camden-Fairview) | SW | 2-3 | 75\% | $46 \% \rightarrow 63 \%$ | 17\% |

Tables 90-94: Top 5 Most Improved Elementary Schools in Arkansas by Region, Benchmark Literacy Achievement from 2009 to 2010


Central

| School (District) | 2009 \& 2010 \% <br> Proficient/ <br> Advanced | \% Point <br> Increase |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Mabelvale Elem. <br> (Little Rock) | $36 \% \rightarrow 59 \%$ | $23 \%$ |
| Baseline Elem. <br> (Little Rock) | $29 \% \rightarrow 46 \%$ | $17 \%$ |
| Lynch Dr Elem. <br> (North Little Rock) | $28 \% \rightarrow 45 \%$ | $17 \%$ |
| Wakefield Elem. <br> (Little Rock) | $41 \% \rightarrow 58 \%$ | $17 \%$ |
| Rockefeller Incentive <br> (Little Rock) | $58 \% \rightarrow 75 \%$ | $17 \%$ |


| School (District) | 2008 \& 2009 \% Proficient/ Advanced | \% Point <br> Increase |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jones Elementary (Rogers) | 53\% $\rightarrow 75 \%$ | 22\% |
| Monitor Elem. (Springdale) | 48\% $\rightarrow 68 \%$ | 20\% |
| Jones Elementary (Springdale) | $44 \% \rightarrow 62 \%$ | 19\% |
| Lavaca Elem. (Lavaca) | 68\% $\rightarrow 85 \%$ | 17\% |
| Green Forest El. (Green Forest) | $61 \% \rightarrow 78 \%$ | 17\% |
| Northeast |  |  |
| School (District) | 2008 \& 2009 \% Proficient/ Advanced | \% Point Increase |
| Luxora Elem. (South Mississippi Co.) | $54 \% \rightarrow 80 \%$ | 26\% |
| Rural Special Elem. (Mountain View) | 70\% $\rightarrow$ 91\% | 21\% |
| Weaver Elementary (West Memphis) | $49 \% \rightarrow 69 \%$ | 20\% |
| Maddux Elementary (West Memphis) | $49 \% \rightarrow 68 \%$ | 19\% |
| Mildred Jackson El. (Hughes) | $44 \% \rightarrow 61 \%$ | 17\% |

Southwest

| School (District) | 2009 \& 2010 \% <br> Proficient/ <br> Advanced | \% Point <br> Increase |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Gurdon Primary <br> (Gurdon) | $65 \% \rightarrow 89 \%$ | $24 \%$ |
| C. D. Franks Elem. <br> (Ashdown) | $58 \% \rightarrow 81 \%$ | $23 \%$ |
| Retta Brown Elem. <br> (El Dorado) | $51 \% \rightarrow 72 \%$ | $21 \%$ |
| Stephens Elem. <br> (Stephens) | $42 \% \rightarrow 61 \%$ | $19 \%$ |
| Ivory Primary <br> (Camden-Fairview) | $46 \% \rightarrow 63 \%$ | $17 \%$ |

Southeast

| School (District) | 2009 \& 2010 \% <br> Proficient/ <br> Advanced | \% Point <br> Increase |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Eudora Elem. <br> (Lakeside LV) | $66 \% \rightarrow 97 \%$ | $31 \%$ |
| Wilmot Elem. <br> (Hamburg) | $37 \% \rightarrow 52 \%$ | $15 \%$ |
| Lakeside Upper <br> Elem. (Lakeside LV) | $45 \% \rightarrow 59 \%$ | $14 \%$ |
| Clarendon El. <br> (Clarendon) | $43 \% \rightarrow 57 \%$ | $14 \%$ |
| Beech Crest El. <br> (Helena/W. Helena) | $53 \% \rightarrow 64 \%$ | $11 \%$ |

## C. Middle Schools, Mathematics

Table 95 presents Arkansas' top 20 most improved middle schools in math between 2009 and 2010, as measured by the state benchmark exams. These gains are based off exams taken by 6th, 7th, and 8th graders. FRL rates for these schools are above the state average, but not quite as high as for the most improved elementary schools. The schools in the table are distributed mostly across the state's north, with 14 of the 20 schools coming from either the northeast or northwest. These schools' gains in proficiency rates ranged from 21 percentage points for Newport Junior High and Bruno-Pyatt High to 13 percentage points for Pleasant View Junior High, Sparkman High, and Marmaduke High.

Tables 96-100 list the top five most-improved schools for each of the state's regions. All the schools in these tables, as well as the overall top 20, are to be commended for their progress in increasing students' math proficiency.

Table 95: Top 20 Most Improved Middle Schools Based on Benchmark Mathematics Achievement from 2009 to 2010

|  | School (District) | Region | Grades <br> Served |  <br> \%RL <br> FRL | 2010 \% <br> Proficient/ <br> Advanced | \% Point <br> Increase |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Newport Junior High (Newport) | NE | $7-8$ | $70 \%$ | $49 \% \rightarrow 70 \%$ | $\mathbf{2 1 \%}$ |
| 2 | Bruno-Pyatt High (Ozark Mountain) | NW | $7-12$ | $86 \%$ | $45 \% \rightarrow 66 \%$ | $\mathbf{2 1 \%}$ |
| 3 | Van Cove High (Van Cove) | SW | $7-12$ | $66 \%$ | $45 \% \rightarrow 65 \%$ | $\mathbf{2 0 \%}$ |
| 4 | Western Grove High (Ozark Mountain) | NW | $7-12$ | $64 \%$ | $44 \% \rightarrow 62 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 8 \%}$ |
| 5 | Brinkley High (Brinkley) | SE | $7-12$ | $75 \%$ | $36 \% \rightarrow 54 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 8 \%}$ |
| 6 | Walnut Ridge High (Lawrence County) | NE | $7-12$ | $56 \%$ | $69 \% \rightarrow 86 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 8 \%}$ |
| 7 | EPC High (East Poinsett Co.) | NE | $7-12$ | $71 \%$ | $47 \% \rightarrow 63 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 6 \%}$ |
| 8 | St. Paul High (Huntsville) | NW | $7-12$ | $70 \%$ | $37 \% \rightarrow 53 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 6 \%}$ |
| 9 | Lead Hill High (Lead Hill) | NW | $7-12$ | $73 \%$ | $56 \% \rightarrow 71 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 5 \%}$ |
| 10 | Stephens High (Stephens) | SW | $7-12$ | $81 \%$ | $34 \% \rightarrow 49 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 5 \%}$ |
| 11 | Norphlet High (Norphlet) | SW | $7-12$ | $41 \%$ | $54 \% \rightarrow 70 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 5 \%}$ |
| 12 | Belair Middle (Pine Bluff) | CN | $6-7$ | $86 \%$ | $38 \% \rightarrow 53 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 5 \%}$ |
| 13 | Rose Bud High (Rose Bud) | NE | $7-12$ | $42 \%$ | $66 \% \rightarrow 81 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 4 \%}$ |
| 14 | Darby Junior High (Fort Smith) | NW | $7-9$ | $93 \%$ | $43 \% \rightarrow 57 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 4 \%}$ |
| 15 | Quitman High (Quitman) | NE | $7-12$ | $48 \%$ | $63 \% \rightarrow 77 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 4 \%}$ |
| 16 | Omaha High (Omaha) | NW | $7-12$ | $68 \%$ | $50 \% \rightarrow 64 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 4 \%}$ |
| 17 | Wonderview High (Wonderview) | NW | $7-12$ | $47 \%$ | $56 \% \rightarrow 70 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 4 \%}$ |
| 18 | Pleasant View Junior High (Mulberry) | NW | $7-9$ | $71 \%$ | $49 \% \rightarrow 63 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 3 \%}$ |
| 19 | Sparkman High (Harmony Grove) | SW | $7-12$ | $57 \%$ | $50 \% \rightarrow 63 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 3 \%}$ |
| 20 | Marmaduke High (Marmaduke) | NE | $7-12$ | $57 \%$ | $59 \% \rightarrow 72 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 3 \%}$ |

Tables 96-100: Top 5 Most Improved Middle Schools in Arkansas by Region, Benchmark Mathematics Achievement from 2009 to 2010


Northwest

| School (District) | 2009 \& 2010 \% Proficient/ Advanced | \% Point Increase |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bruno-Pyatt High (Ozark Mountain) | $45 \% \rightarrow 66 \%$ | 21\% |
| Western Grove HS (Ozark Mountain) | $44 \% \rightarrow 62 \%$ | 18\% |
| St Paul High (Huntsville) | $37 \% \rightarrow 53 \%$ | 16\% |
| Lead Hill High (Lead Hill) | $56 \% \rightarrow 71 \%$ | 15\% |
| Darby Junior High (Fort Smith) | $43 \% \rightarrow 57 \%$ | 14\% |
| Northeast |  |  |
| School (District) | 2009 \& 2010 \% Proficient/ Advanced | \% Point Increase |
| Newport Jr. High (Newport) | $49 \% \rightarrow 70 \%$ | 21\% |
| Walnut Ridge HS (Lawrence County) | $69 \% \rightarrow 86 \%$ | 18\% |
| EPC High <br> (East Poinsett Co.) | $47 \% \rightarrow 63 \%$ | 16\% |
| Rose Bud High (Rose Bud) | $66 \% \rightarrow 81 \%$ | 14\% |
| Quitman High (Quitman) | $63 \% \rightarrow 77 \%$ | 14\% |

Central

| School (District) | 2009 \& 2010 \% <br> Proficient/ <br> Advanced | \% Point <br> Increase |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Belair Middle <br> (Pine Bluff) | $38 \% \rightarrow 53 \%$ | $15 \%$ |
| Southeast Middle (Pine <br> Bluff) | $49 \% \rightarrow 60 \%$ | $11 \%$ |
| Virtual Middle <br> (Ark. Virtual Academy) | $54 \% \rightarrow 64 \%$ | $10 \%$ |
| Dollarway Middle <br> (Dollarway) | $35 \% \rightarrow 45 \%$ | $10 \%$ |
| Guy-Perkins High (Guy- <br> Perkins) | $59 \% \rightarrow 69 \%$ | $10 \%$ |

Southwest

| School (District) | 2009 \& 2010 \% Proficient/ Advanced | \% Point Increase |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Van Cove High (Van Cove) | $45 \% \rightarrow 65 \%$ | 20\% |
| Stephens High (Stephens) | $34 \% \rightarrow 49 \%$ | 15\% |
| Norphlet High (Norphlet) | $54 \% \rightarrow 70 \%$ | 15\% |
| Sparkman High <br> (Harmony Grove) | $50 \% \rightarrow 63 \%$ | 13\% |
| Nashville Jr. High (Nashville) | $55 \% \rightarrow 66 \%$ | 12\% |
| Southeast |  |  |
| School (District) | 2009 \& 2010 \% Proficient/ Advanced | \% Point Increase |
| Brinkley High (Brinkley) | $36 \% \rightarrow 54 \%$ | 18\% |
| Stuttgart Jr. High (Stuttgart) | $56 \% \rightarrow 66 \%$ | 11\% |
| Woodlawn High (Woodlawn) | $64 \% \rightarrow 75 \%$ | 11\% |
| McGehee High (McGehee) | 46\% $\rightarrow$ 55\% | 9\% |
| Clarendon High (Clarendon) | $37 \% \rightarrow 44 \%$ | 7\% |

## D. Middle Schools, Literacy

The state's top 20 most improved schools in middle school literacy are presented in Table 101. These schools' proficiency increases ranged from 24 percentage points for Van Cove High, Sparkman High, and Emmet High to 16 percentage points for five schools on the list. These schools are quite different from one another, not only scattered quite evenly across the state but also having widely varying levels of poverty and serving different sets of grades. While the table lists schools which are most improved, their improvement tells nothing about whether a school had high or low achievement to begin with. An examination of 2009 proficiency rates shows that some started off quite low, with proficiency rates below $30 \%$ and as low as $12 \%$, while others started off relatively high (above 60\%) and improved even further. Whatever their unique characteristics may be, all these schools are to be commended for their progress in proficiency on the 2010 state benchmark literacy exams.

Tables 102-106 give the top five most improved schools in middle school literacy for each of the state's five regions.

Table 101: Top 20 Most Improved Middle Schools Based on Benchmark Literacy Achievement from 2009 to 2010

|  | School (District) | Region | Grades Served | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% } \\ \text { FRL } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2009 \& \\ & 2010 \% \end{aligned}$ <br> Proficient/ <br> Advanced | \% Point <br> Increase |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Van Cove High (Van Cove) | SW | 7-12 | 66\% | 46\% $\rightarrow 70 \%$ | 24\% |
| 2 | Sparkman High (Harmony Grove) | SW | 7-12 | 57\% | $52 \% \rightarrow 77 \%$ | 24\% |
| 3 | Emmet High (Blevins) | SW | 7-12 | 74\% | $46 \% \rightarrow 70 \%$ | 24\% |
| 4 | Western Grove High (Ozark Mountain) | NW | 7-12 | 64\% | $57 \% \rightarrow 80 \%$ | 23\% |
| 5 | Newport Junior High (Newport) | NE | 7-8 | 70\% | $48 \% \rightarrow 70 \%$ | 22\% |
| 6 | Blytheville Charter (Blytheville) | NE | 7-12 | 91\% | 12\% $\rightarrow 33 \%$ | 22\% |
| 7 | Guy-Perkins High (Guy-Perkins) | CN | 7-12 | 60\% | $59 \% \rightarrow 80 \%$ | 20\% |
| 8 | Woodlawn High (Woodlawn) | SE | 7-12 | 32\% | 63\% $\rightarrow 82 \%$ | 18\% |
| 9 | Kingston High (Jasper) | NW | 7-12 | 59\% | $71 \% \rightarrow 89 \%$ | 18\% |
| 10 | Wonder Junior High (West Memphis) | NE | 7-9 | 93\% | 30\% $\rightarrow 48 \%$ | 18\% |
| 11 | Blytheville Intermediate (Blytheville) | NE | 5-6 | 82\% | 29\% $\rightarrow 47 \%$ | 18\% |
| 12 | Hughes High (Hughes) | NE | 7-12 | 80\% | 29\% $\rightarrow 46 \%$ | 17\% |
| 13 | Quitman High (Quitman) | NE | 7-12 | 48\% | $59 \% \rightarrow 76 \%$ | 17\% |
| 14 | Southeast Middle (Pine Bluff) | CN | 6-7 | 85\% | 37\% $\rightarrow 54 \%$ | 17\% |
| 15 | Mineral Springs High (Mineral Springs) | SW | 7-12 | 84\% | 61\% $\rightarrow 78 \%$ | 17\% |
| 16 | Magnolia Junior High (Magnolia) | SW | 7-9 | 65\% | $52 \% \rightarrow 68 \%$ | 16\% |
| 17 | Centerpoint High (Centerpoint) | SW | 6-12 | 54\% | $52 \% \rightarrow 68 \%$ | 16\% |
| 18 | Maynard High (Maynard) | NE | 7-12 | 70\% | $50 \% \rightarrow 66 \%$ | 16\% |
| 19 | Rose City Middle (North Little Rock) | CN | 7-8 | 91\% | 29\% $\rightarrow 45 \%$ | 16\% |
| 20 | Omaha High (Omaha) | NW | 9-12 | 68\% | $59 \% \rightarrow 75 \%$ | 16\% |

Tables 102-106: Top 5 Most Improved Middle Schools in Arkansas by Region, Benchmark Literacy Achievement from 2009 to 2010


Northwest

| School (District) | 2009 \& 2010 \% Proficient/ Advanced | \% Point Increase |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Western Grove High (Ozark Mountain) | $57 \% \rightarrow 80 \%$ | 23\% |
| Kingston High (Jasper) | 71\% $\rightarrow 89 \%$ | 18\% |
| Omaha High (Omaha) | 59\% $\rightarrow 75 \%$ | 16\% |
| Pleasant View JH (Mulberry) | $43 \% \rightarrow 59 \%$ | 16\% |
| St Paul High (Huntsville) | $52 \% \rightarrow 66 \%$ | 14\% |
| Northeast |  |  |
| School (District) | 2009 \& 2010 \% Proficient/ Advanced | \% Point Increase |
| Newport Junior High (Newport) | $48 \% \rightarrow 70 \%$ | 22\% |
| Blytheville Charter (Blytheville) | 12\% $\rightarrow 33 \%$ | 22\% |
| Wonder Junior High (West Memphis) | 30\% $\rightarrow 48 \%$ | 18\% |
| Blytheville Intermediate (Blytheville) | 29\% $\rightarrow 47 \%$ | 18\% |
| Hughes High (Hughes) | 29\% $\rightarrow 46 \%$ | 17\% |

Central

| School (District) | 2009 \& 2010 \% <br> Proficient/ <br> Advanced | \% Point <br> Increase |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Guy-Perkins High <br> (Guy-Perkins) | $59 \% \rightarrow 80 \%$ | $20 \%$ |
| Southeast Middle <br> (Pine Bluff) | $37 \% \rightarrow 54 \%$ | $17 \%$ |
| Rose City Middle <br> (North Little Rock) | $29 \% \rightarrow 45 \%$ | $16 \%$ |
| Dollarway Middle <br> (Dollarway) | $28 \% \rightarrow 43 \%$ | $16 \%$ |
| Wilson Intermediate <br> (Malvern) | $63 \% \rightarrow 77 \%$ | $14 \%$ |

Southwest

| School (District) | 2009 \& 2010 \% <br> Proficient/ <br> Advanced | \% Point <br> Increase |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Van Cove High <br> (Van Cove) | $46 \% \rightarrow 70 \%$ | $24 \%$ |
| Sparkman High <br> (Harmony Grove) | $52 \% \rightarrow 77 \%$ | $24 \%$ |
| Emmet High <br> (Blevins) | $46 \% \rightarrow 70 \%$ | $24 \%$ |
| Mineral Springs HS <br> (Mineral Springs) | $61 \% \rightarrow 78 \%$ | $17 \%$ |
| Magnolia Jr. High <br> (Magnolia) | $52 \% \rightarrow 68 \%$ | $16 \%$ |

Southeast

| School (District) | 2009 \& 2010 \% <br> Proficient/ <br> Advanced | \% Point <br> Increase |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Woodlawn High <br> (Woodlawn) | $63 \% \rightarrow 82 \%$ | $18 \%$ |
| Barton High <br> (Barton-Lexa) | $56 \% \rightarrow 72 \%$ | $16 \%$ |
| Miller Jr. High <br> (Helena/W. Helena) | $39 \% \rightarrow 52 \%$ | $13 \%$ |
| Brinkley High <br> (Brinkley) | $41 \% \rightarrow 54 \%$ | $13 \%$ |
| Strong Middle <br> (Lee County) | $36 \% \rightarrow 48 \%$ | $12 \%$ |

## E. High Schools, Algebra

The state's top 20 most improved high and middle schools on the end-of-course Algebra I exam are presented in Table 107. These schools showed very large gains in algebra proficiency between 2009 and 2010, with increases ranging from 45 percentage points at Dunbar Middle in Earle to 23 percentage points at East Junior High in West Memphis and Fouke High. These schools' poverty levels varied widely, from 38\% of students receiving free or reduced-price lunches at Prairie Grove High to $93 \%$ at East Junior High. Further, these schools' improvements were made from a wide range of 2009 proficiency rates, with the previous year's proficiency being as low as $9 \%$ and as high as $74 \%$. All of these schools are to be commended for their progress in 2010.

Tables 108-112 provide the top five most improved schools on the Algebra I end-of-course exam for each of the state's five regions.

Table 107: Top 20 Most Improved Schools Based on EOC Algebra Achievement from 2009 to 2010

|  | School (District) | Region | Grades Served | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% } \\ \text { FRL } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2009 \& 2010 \\ \text { \% Proficient/ } \\ \text { Advanced } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | \% Point <br> Increase |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Dunbar Middle School (Earle) | NE | 6-8 | 76\% | 38\% $\rightarrow 83 \%$ | 45\% |
| 2 | Joe T. Robinson High (Pulaski County) | CN | 9-12 | 44\% | $52 \% \rightarrow 95 \%$ | 43\% |
| 3 | Rector High (Rector) (Rector) | NE | 7-12 | 50\% | $51 \% \rightarrow 90 \%$ | 39\% |
| 4 | St. Joe High School (Ozark Mountain) | NW | 7-12 | 71\% | $50 \% \rightarrow 87 \%$ | 37\% |
| 5 | Oak Grove High School (Pulaski County) | CN | 9-12 | 46\% | $48 \% \rightarrow 83 \%$ | 35\% |
| 6 | Covenant Keepers Charter School | CN | 6-8 | 76\% | 18\% $\rightarrow 50 \%$ | 32\% |
| 7 | Hughes High School (Hughes) | NE | 7-12 | 80\% | 09\% $\rightarrow 40 \%$ | 31\% |
| 8 | Augusta High School (Augusta) | NE | 8-12 | 83\% | 28\% $\rightarrow 59 \%$ | 31\% |
| 9 | Academic Center for Excellence (Cabot) | CN | 1-12 | 44\% | $58 \% \rightarrow 89 \%$ | 31\% |
| 10 | Prairie Grove High School (Prairie Grove) | NW | 9-12 | 38\% | $54 \% \rightarrow 83 \%$ | 29\% |
|  | Marshall High School (Searcy County) | NW | 7-12 | 70\% | $57 \% \rightarrow 84 \%$ | 27\% |
| 12 | Yellville-Summit High (Yellville-Summit) | NW | 9-12 | 56\% | 62\% $\rightarrow 89 \%$ | 27\% |
| 13 | Sylvan Hills High School (Pulaski County) | CN | 9-12 | 43\% | $45 \% \rightarrow 71 \%$ | 26\% |
| 14 | Van Cove High School (Van Cove) | SW | 7-12 | 66\% | $60 \% \rightarrow 86 \%$ | 26\% |
| 15 | Greenland Middle (Greenland) (Greenland) | NW | 5-8 | 49\% | $74 \% \rightarrow 100 \%$ | 26\% |
| 16 | Clarendon High School (Clarendon) | SE | 7-12 | 75\% | 32\% $\rightarrow 56 \%$ | 24\% |
| 17 | Rose Bud High School (Rose Bud) | NE | 7-12 | 42\% | $50 \% \rightarrow 74 \%$ | 24\% |
| 18 | Mt. Vernon/Enola High Mt. Vernon-Enola) | CN | 7-12 | 55\% | $73 \% \rightarrow 97 \%$ | 24\% |
| 19 | East Junior High School (West Memphis) | NE | 7-9 | 93\% | $30 \% \rightarrow 53 \%$ | 23\% |
|  | Fouke High School (Fouke) | SW | 9-12 | 56\% | 31\% $\rightarrow 54 \%$ | 23\% |

[^14]Tables 108-112: Top 5 Most Improved High Schools in Arkansas by Region, Algebra Achievement from 2009 to 2010


Northwest

| School (District) | 2009 \& 2010 \% Proficient/ Advanced | \% Point Increase |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| St. Joe High (Ozark Mountain) | $50 \% \rightarrow 87 \%$ | 37\% |
| Prairie Grove High (Prairie Grove) | 54\% $\rightarrow 83 \%$ | 29\% |
| Marshall High (Searcy County) | $57 \% \rightarrow 84 \%$ | 27\% |
| Yellville-Summit HS (Yellville-Summit) | $62 \% \rightarrow 89 \%$ | 27\% |
| Greenland Middle (Greenland) | 74\% $\rightarrow$ 100\% | 26\% |
| Northeast |  |  |
| School (District) | 2009 \& 2010 <br> \% Proficient/ <br> Advanced | \% Point Increase |
| Dunbar Middle (Earle) | $38 \% \rightarrow 83 \%$ | 45\% |
| Rector High (Rector) | $51 \% \rightarrow 90 \%$ | 39\% |
| Hughes High (Hughes) | 09\% $\rightarrow 40 \%$ | 31\% |
| Augusta High <br> (Augusta) | 28\% $\rightarrow 59 \%$ | 31\% |
| Rose Bud High <br> (Rose Bud) | $50 \% \rightarrow 74 \%$ | 24\% |

Central

| School (District) | 2009 \& 2010 \% <br> Proficient/ <br> Advanced | \% Point <br> Increase |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Joe T. Robinson HS <br> (Pulaski County) | $52 \% \rightarrow 95 \%$ | $43 \%$ |
| Oak Grove High <br> (Pulaski County) | $48 \% \rightarrow 83 \%$ | $35 \%$ |
| Covenant Keepers <br> Charter Prep | $18 \% \rightarrow 50 \%$ | $32 \%$ |
| Academic Center for <br> Excellence (Cabot) | $58 \% \rightarrow 89 \%$ | $31 \%$ |
| Sylvan Hills High <br> (Pulaski County) | $45 \% \rightarrow 71 \%$ | $26 \%$ |

Southwest

| School (District) | 2009 \& 2010 <br> \% Proficient/ <br> Advanced | \% Point <br> Increase |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Van Cove High <br> (Van Cove) | $60 \% \rightarrow 86 \%$ | $26 \%$ |
| Fouke High <br> (Fouke) | $31 \% \rightarrow 54 \%$ | $23 \%$ |
| Horatio High <br> (Horatio) | $68 \% \rightarrow 87 \%$ | $19 \%$ |
| Mena High <br> (Mena) | $64 \% \rightarrow 81 \%$ | $17 \%$ |
| Emmett High <br> (Blevins) | $09 \% \rightarrow 25 \%$ | $16 \%$ |
| Southeast | $2009 \& 2010$ <br> \% Proficient// <br> Advanced | \% Point |
| Schcrease |  |  |
| Clarendon High (District) <br> (Clarendon) | $32 \% \rightarrow 56 \%$ | $24 \%$ |
| Drew Central HS (Drew <br> Central) | $63 \% \rightarrow 82 \%$ | $19 \%$ |
| Dermott High <br> (Dermott) | $41 \% \rightarrow 58 \%$ | $17 \%$ |
| Lakeside High <br> (Lakeside LV) | $17 \% \rightarrow 33 \%$ | $16 \%$ |
| Des Arc High <br> (Des Arc) | $64 \% \rightarrow 75 \%$ | $11 \%$ |

## F. High Schools, Literacy

Table 113 presents the 20 Arkansas high schools with the greatest improvement in proficiency rates on the Grade 11 Literacy end-of-course exam from 2009 to 2010. These schools improved their proficiency rates by great amounts, ranging from an increase of 45 percentage points at Williford High in the Twin Rivers district to 22 percentage points for Hoxie High and Gosnell High. These high schools’ 2009 proficiency rates ranged from a low of $9 \%$ to a high of $62 \%$, so these gains occurred for high-achieving schools as well as low-achieving ones. They also had widely varying poverty rates, with rates of free and reduced-price lunch subscription ranging from $29 \%$ to $85 \%$. All of these schools' gains for 2010 are to be commended.

Tables 114-118 provide the top five most improved high schools on Grade 11 Literacy for each of Arkansas' five educational regions.

Table 113: Top 20 Most Improved Schools Based on EOC Literacy Achievement from 2009 to 2010

| School (District) | Region | Grades <br> Served | \% <br> FRL | 2009 \& 2010 <br> \% Proficient/ <br> Advanced | \% Point <br> Increase |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Williford High (Twin Rivers) | NE | $7-12$ | $83 \%$ | $20 \% \rightarrow 65 \%$ | $\mathbf{4 5 \%}$ |
| 2 | Blevins High (Blevins) | SW | $7-12$ | $78 \%$ | $42 \% \rightarrow 79 \%$ | $\mathbf{3 7 \%}$ |
| 3 | Midland High (Midland) | NE | $7-12$ | $58 \%$ | $47 \% \rightarrow 79 \%$ | $\mathbf{3 2 \%}$ |
| 4 | Mayflower High (Mayflower) | CN | $9-12$ | $44 \%$ | $44 \% \rightarrow 75 \%$ | $\mathbf{3 1 \%}$ |
| 5 | Western Yell Co. High (Western Yell) | NW | $7-12$ | $77 \%$ | $43 \% \rightarrow 74 \%$ | $\mathbf{3 1 \%}$ |
| 6 | Sparkman High (Harmony Grove) | SW | $7-12$ | $57 \%$ | $31 \% \rightarrow 62 \%$ | $\mathbf{3 1 \%}$ |
| 7 | Manila High (Manila) | NE | $9-12$ | $56 \%$ | $48 \% \rightarrow 76 \%$ | $\mathbf{2 8 \%}$ |
| 8 | Pottsville High (Pottsville) | NW | $10-12$ | $33 \%$ | $57 \% \rightarrow 85 \%$ | $\mathbf{2 8 \%}$ |
| 9 | Southside High (Southside) | NE | $9-12$ | $57 \%$ | $51 \% \rightarrow 77 \%$ | $\mathbf{2 6 \%}$ |
| 10 | Plainview-Rover High (Two Rivers) | NW | $7-12$ | $72 \%$ | $45 \% \rightarrow 71 \%$ | $\mathbf{2 6 \%}$ |
| 11 | Warren High (Warren) | SE | $9-12$ | $68 \%$ | $23 \% \rightarrow 49 \%$ | $\mathbf{2 6 \%}$ |
| 12 | Stephens High (Stephens) | SW | $7-12$ | $81 \%$ | $09 \% \rightarrow 35 \%$ | $\mathbf{2 6 \%}$ |
| 13 | Armorel High (Armorel) | NE | $7-12$ | $40 \%$ | $35 \% \rightarrow 59 \%$ | $\mathbf{2 4 \%}$ |
| 14 | Yellville-Summit High (Yellville-Summit) | NW | $9-12$ | $56 \%$ | $50 \% \rightarrow 74 \%$ | $\mathbf{2 4 \%}$ |
| 15 | DeWitt High (DeWitt) | SE | $9-12$ | $50 \%$ | $41 \% \rightarrow 65 \%$ | $\mathbf{2 4 \%}$ |
| 16 | McClellan Magnet High (Little Rock) | CN | $9-12$ | $85 \%$ | $16 \% \rightarrow 39 \%$ | $\mathbf{2 3 \%}$ |
| 17 | Academic Center for Excellence (Cabot) | CN | $1-12$ | $44 \%$ | $33 \% \rightarrow 56 \%$ | $\mathbf{2 3 \%}$ |
| 18 | South Side High (Fort Smith) | NW | $7-12$ | $29 \%$ | $62 \% \rightarrow 85 \%$ | $\mathbf{2 3 \%}$ |
| 19 | Hoxie High (Hoxie) | NE | $8-12$ | $70 \%$ | $39 \% \rightarrow 61 \%$ | $\mathbf{2 2 \%}$ |
| 20 | Gosnell High (Gosnell) | NE | $7-12$ | $63 \%$ | $44 \% \rightarrow 66 \%$ | $\mathbf{2 2 \%}$ |

[^15]Tables 114-118: Top 5 Most Improved High Schools in Arkansas by Region, Literacy Achievement from 2009 to 2010


Northwest

| School (District) | 2009 \& 2010 <br> \% Proficient// <br> Advanced | \% Point <br> Increase |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Western Yell Co. HS <br> (Western Yell County) | $43 \% \rightarrow 74 \%$ | $31 \%$ |
| Pottsville High <br> (Pottsville) | $57 \% \rightarrow 85 \%$ | $28 \%$ |
| Plainview-Rover HS <br> (Two Rivers) | $45 \% \rightarrow 71 \%$ | $26 \%$ |
| Yellville-Summit HS <br> (Yellville-Summit) | $50 \% \rightarrow 74 \%$ | $24 \%$ |
| South Side High <br> (Fort Smith) | $62 \% \rightarrow 85 \%$ | $23 \%$ |

Northeast

| School (District) | 2009 \& 2010 <br> \% Proficient/ <br> Advanced | \% Point <br> Increase |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Williford High <br> (Twin Rivers) | $20 \% \rightarrow 65 \%$ | $45 \%$ |
| Midland High <br> (Midland) | $47 \% \rightarrow 79 \%$ | $32 \%$ |
| Manila High <br> (Manila) | $48 \% \rightarrow 76 \%$ | $28 \%$ |
| Southside High <br> (Southside) | $51 \% \rightarrow 77 \%$ | $26 \%$ |
| Armorel High <br> (Armorel) | $35 \% \rightarrow 59 \%$ | $24 \%$ |

Central

| School (District) | 2009 \& 2010 <br> \% Proficient/ <br> Advanced | \% Point <br> Increase |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Mayflower High <br> (Mayflower) | $44 \% \rightarrow 75 \%$ | $31 \%$ |
| McClellan Magnet HS <br> (Little Rock) | $16 \% \rightarrow 39 \%$ | $23 \%$ |
| Academic Center for <br> Excellence (Cabot) | $33 \% \rightarrow 56 \%$ | $23 \%$ |
| Dollarway High <br> (Dollarway) | $13 \% \rightarrow 34 \%$ | $21 \%$ |
| Guy-Perkins High (Guy- <br> Perkins) | $35 \% \rightarrow 53 \%$ | $18 \%$ |

Southwest

| School (District) | 2009 \& 2010 <br> \% Proficient// <br> Advanced | \% Point <br> Increase |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Blevins High <br> (Blevins) | $42 \% \rightarrow 79 \%$ | $37 \%$ |
| Sparkman High <br> (Harmony Grove) | $31 \% \rightarrow 62 \%$ | $31 \%$ |
| Stephens High <br> (Stephens) | $09 \% \rightarrow 35 \%$ | $26 \%$ |
| Centerpoint High <br> (Centerpoint) | $48 \% \rightarrow 70 \%$ | $22 \%$ |
| Foreman High <br> (Foreman) | $57 \% \rightarrow 79 \%$ | $22 \%$ |

Southeast

| School (District) | 2009 \& 2010 <br> \% Proficient/ <br> Advanced | \% Point <br> Increase |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Warren High <br> (Warren) | $23 \% \rightarrow 49 \%$ | $26 \%$ |
| DeWitt High <br> (DeWitt) | $41 \% \rightarrow 65 \%$ | $24 \%$ |
| Star City High <br> (Star City) | $48 \% \rightarrow 66 \%$ | $18 \%$ |
| Woodlawn High <br> (Woodlawn) | $45 \% \rightarrow 61 \%$ | $16 \%$ |
| Brinkley High <br> (Brinkley) | $18 \% \rightarrow 31 \%$ | $13 \%$ |

## Final Thought

Since our founding in 2003, the mission of the Office for Education Policy has been to look at pressing issues through the lens of academic research and disseminate our findings to educators, policymakers, and other stakeholders around Arkansas. Every once in a while, however, we think it is okay to stray from issue analysis and simply share some good news! Throughout this report over the last two years, we have highlighted several outstanding schools in Arkansas. These schools are located all over the state and the students and educators in these schools deserve congratulations for excellent academic performance. We look forward to continued improvement from Arkansas schools and students for many years to come!

## Appendix

## A. Methods

The Office for Education Policy strives to make all of our calculations and publications transparent to our readers. Thus, in this appendix we describe our data source, calculations performed on these data for the purposes of our reporting, and our method for determining a school's classification as an elementary, middle, or high school.
All data used in this report were obtained from the Arkansas Department of Education. Benchmark and End-of-Course exam scores were obtained from the testing section (http://arkansased.org/testing/test_scores.html). Other data, such as the percent of students eligible for free and reduced lunch, were obtained from the Arkansas Department of Education Data Center (http://adedata.arkansas.gov).

All data were analyzed at the school level; however, the ADE provided the percentage of scores at the proficient and advanced level by grade. Therefore, in order to present an accurate schoollevel percentage of students scoring proficient and advanced across all grades, a weighted average for each school was calculated to account for different levels of enrollment at each grade level. In an effort to highlight schools that were strong in both subject areas, we calculated a simple average of the school level percent proficient and advanced in math and literacy at the elementary and middle school level, and in all End-of-Course subjects at the high school level, to measure overall performance for each school.

Schools are divided into two school types: elementary schools and middle schools. However, elementary schools and middle schools can be labeled differently, as there is not a specific way to name or to structure the grades in a school. Rather than comparing schools based on their name, we attempted to sort the schools by the grades served at each school. For the purpose of this report, we classify schools that serve students in grades 3-5 as elementary schools and schools that serve students in grades 6-8 as middle schools.

Of course, not every elementary and middle school fits this rule. For all other grade combinations, we identify the school as elementary or middle based on the grades the school primarily serves. For example, if a school serves grades four through six, we classify that school as an elementary school. Based on our rule, two grades (grades four and five) are at the elementary level, and one grade (grade six) is at the middle school level. A school that has the same number of elementary and middle school grades is classified as a middle school. For example, a school with grades five and six is considered a middle school in this report.

Additionally, you may see a high school on the middle school list. This is because some high schools serve grades seven and eight as well. The Benchmark score for any high school on the middle school list is the average of grades seven and/or eight only.
Any school administering End-of-Course examinations is considered as part of the high school section of this report. For the algebra End-of-Course exam, schools were considered "regular algebra" schools if they served grade nine. This distinction was necessary because many schools offer algebra to advanced students in grade eight. Schools which serve grades eight and nine may get a slight upward bias to their algebra End-of-Course scores when compared to school which serve only grade nine and above.

Except for schools in districts on NSLA Provision 2, school Free and Reduced Lunch (FRL) rates are based on numbers reported to the ADE in the cycle 2 report. Rates for schools from Provision 2 districts were computed using NSLA base rate data.

The goal of this categorization scheme is to ensure, to the extent possible, that similar schools are compared to each other. Of course, it is difficult to create a perfect "rule." These guidelines were used to simply provide as much consistency as possible when comparing Benchmark performance.

## B. Compete School List

To view the scores of all schools in Arkansas, visit: http://www.uark.edu/ua/oep/resources.html


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Schools are divided into five regions in Arkansas: Northwest (NW), Northeast (NE), Central (CN), Southwest (SW), and Southeast (SE).
    ${ }^{2}$ Schools are ranked based on the percent of students performing at proficient or advanced levels in the noted subject

[^1]:    ${ }^{3}$ Combined score is a simple average of students proficient or advanced on Math and Literacy.

[^2]:    ${ }^{4}$ In last year's awards, we classified some non-high-poverty schools as high-poverty because they were located in Provision 2 districts. Provision 2 refers to an agreement between Arkansas and the Federal Government where highpoverty districts can opt to provide free lunches to all students in the district in return for reduced FRL administrative costs. Twenty-one districts in Arkansas are part of the Provision 2 program. This year, the ADE was able to provide to us the actual FRL rates for the 82 Provision 2 schools based on the schools' FRL base rate. All other schools' FRL rates were based on Cycle 2 Data as reported to the ADE. Cycle 2 Data were downloaded from: http://adedata.arkansas.gov/ .

[^3]:    ${ }^{5}$ Schools are divided into five regions in Arkansas: Northwest (NW), Northeast (NE), Central (CN), Southwest (SW), and Southeast (SE).
    ${ }^{6}$ Schools are ranked based on the percent of students performing at proficient or advanced levels in the noted subject

[^4]:    ${ }^{7}$ In this section, we highlight the top performing grade levels for grades three through five. We did not distinguish between elementary or middle school, but simply highlight the top grade levels overall. Therefore, a middle school may be listed if it includes one of these grades. The next section will cover grades six through eight.

[^5]:    ${ }^{8}$ Combined score is a simple average of students proficient or advanced on Math and Literacy.

[^6]:    ${ }^{9}$ In this section, we highlight the top performing grade levels for grades six through eight. We did not distinguish between elementary, middle, or high school, but simply highlight the top grade levels overall. Therefore, an elementary or high school may be listed if it includes one of these grades.

[^7]:    ${ }^{10}$ Combined score is a simple average of students proficient or advanced on Math and Literacy.

[^8]:    ${ }^{11}$ In this section, we highlight the top performing grade levels for grades six through eight in each region. We did not distinguish between elementary or middle school, but simply highlight the top grade levels overall. Therefore, an elementary or high school may be listed if it includes one of these grades.

[^9]:    - Indicates school placed on same list in 2008-09.

[^10]:    ${ }^{12}$ The Algebra I Biology and Geometry EOC exams are given twice annually in January and again in April; the Grade 11 Literacy Exam is administered in March.
    ${ }^{13}$ See the OEP Education Policy News, Vol. 6 Is. 3 (http://www.uark.edu/ua/oep/newsletters/2009/Fall-2009b.pdf) and Policy Brief, Vol. 5 Is. 8 (http://www.uark.edu/ua/oep/policy_briefs/2008/Stakes-Increase-for-End-of-CourseExams.pdf) for more information on these EOC exams and the new regulations making them high stakes exams.
    ${ }^{14}$ The list includes 22 schools because three schools tied for 20th place, each with $91 \%$ proficiency.
    ${ }^{15}$ The "high stakes" graduation threshold is slightly lower than the threshold for proficiency, meaning that a higher percentage of students will score well enough to graduate than will attain proficiency.

[^11]:    ${ }^{16}$ A school was designated a regular algebra school if it served students in the $9{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade.
    ${ }^{17}$ The passing score for the "high stakes" aspect of the Algebra I test is lower than the minimum score required to score proficient.

[^12]:    - Indicates school placed on same list in 2008-09.
    $\ddagger$ Indicates high poverty school

[^13]:    ${ }^{19}$ Algebra scores for Raymond Wells Junior High were used for Greenwood High since it is the only feeder school and fewer than 10 students tested in algebra at Greenwood High School.
    ${ }^{20}$ Algebra scores for Benton Jr. High were used for Benton High since it is the only feeder school and fewer than 10 students were tested in algebra at Benton High School.

[^14]:    Statewide Algebra I EOC \% Proficient/Advanced 2009: 70\%
    Statewide Algebra I EOC \% Proficient/Advanced 2010: 76\%

[^15]:    Statewide Grade 11 Literacy EOC \% Proficient/Advanced 2009: 57\%
    Statewide Grade 11 Literacy EOC \% Proficient/Advanced 2010: 60\%

