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## VI. OEPAWARDS: Most Improved Schools between 2010 AND 2011

This section highlights the Arkansas schools that have improved the most between 2010 and 2011. To identify the "most improved" schools, we simply subtracted the percent of students scoring proficient and advanced in 2010 from the percent of students scoring proficient and advanced in 2011. The schools are ranked based on the percentage point increase, as noted below. As in previous sections, we have also highlighted the region in which the school is located, the grades served at the school, and the percent of students eligible for the Free/Reduced Lunch (FRL) program, as well as 2010 and 2011 proficiency rates.

## A. Elementary Schools, Mathematics

Elementary schools with the greatest gains in math proficiency from 2010 to 2011 are listed in Table 83 below. These twenty schools improved their proficiency rates by amounts ranging from 22 percentage points at Wilson Elementary to 14 percentage points (Franklin Incentive, Lynch Drive, Augusta, St. Joe, and Sherwood). These schools tend to have high rates of students receiving free and reduced-price lunches, with most above the state average and many between $80 \%$ and $100 \%$. The state's central region is well-represented on the list with eight of its elementary schools showing high rates of improvement. These schools are all to be commended for the gains they achieved over the last school year.

Tables 84 through 88 list the top five most-improved schools for each of the state's five regions.
Table 83: Top 20 Most Improved Elementary Schools Based on Benchmark Mathematics Achievement from 2010 to 2011*

|  | School (District) | Region | Grades Served | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { FRL } \end{gathered}$ | $2010 \&$ $2011 \%$ Proficient/ Advanced | \% Point Increase |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Wilson Elementary (Little Rock) | CN | K-5 | 97\% | $30 \% \rightarrow 52 \%$ | 22\% |
| 2 | Mayflower Elementary (Mayflower) | CN | K-4 | 62\% | $63 \% \rightarrow 84 \%$ | 21\% |
| 3 | Union Elementary (El Dorado) | SW | K-6 | 67\% | $55 \% \rightarrow 75 \%$ | 20\% |
| 4 | Wilmot Elementary (Hamburg) | SE | K-5 | 100\% | $55 \% \rightarrow 74 \%$ | 19\% |
| 4 | Trusty Elementary (Fort Smith) | NW | K-6 | 96\% | $45 \% \rightarrow 64 \%$ | 19\% |
| 4 | Sallie Cone Elementary (Conway) | CN | K-4 | 81\% | $71 \% \rightarrow 90 \%$ | 19\% |
| 7 | Black Rock Elementary (Lawrence County) | NE | K-6 | 78\% | 66\% $\rightarrow 83 \%$ | 17\% |
| 7 | Park Avenue Elementary (Stuttgart) | SE | K-4 | 66\% | $65 \% \rightarrow 82 \%$ | 17\% |
| 9 | Marvell Primary (Marvell) | SE | K-6 | 98\% | $58 \% \rightarrow 74 \%$ | 16\% |
| 9 | Clarendon Elementary (Clarendon) | SE | K-6 | 88\% | $50 \% \rightarrow 66 \%$ | 16\% |
|  | Viola Elementary (Viola) | NE | K-6 | 66\% | $82 \% \rightarrow 98 \%$ | 16\% |
|  | Jacksonville Lighthouse Charter Elementary (Jacksonville Lighthouse Charter) | CN | K-5 | 62\% | $68 \% \rightarrow 84 \%$ | 16\% |
| 13 | Seventh Street Elementary (North Little Rock) | CN | K-5 | 99\% | $45 \% \rightarrow 60 \%$ | 15\% |
|  | Evening Shade Math \& Science Academy (Cave City) | NE | K-4 | 89\% | 82\% $\rightarrow 97 \%$ | 15\% |
| 13 | L. F. Henderson Intermediate (Ashdown) | SW | 4-5 | 65\% | 67\% $\rightarrow 82 \%$ | 15\% |
|  | Franklin Incentive Elementary (Little Rock) | CN | K-5 | 98\% | $39 \% \rightarrow 53 \%$ | 14\% |
|  | Lynch Drive Elementary (North Little Rock) | CN | K-5 | 98\% | 34\% $\rightarrow 48 \%$ | 14\% |
|  | Augusta Elementary (Augusta) | NE | K-7 | 89\% | $55 \% \rightarrow 69 \%$ | 14\% |
| 16 | St. Joe Elementary (Ozark Mountain) | NW | K-6 | 85\% | 68\% $\rightarrow 82 \%$ | 14\% |
|  | Sherwood Elementary (Pulaski County) | CN | K-5 | 56\% | $69 \% \rightarrow 83 \%$ | 14\% |

*In the event of a tie, schools are in order by highest FRL percentage to lowest.

Tables 84-88: Top 5 Most Improved Elementary Schools in Arkansas by Region, Benchmark Mathematics Achievement from 2010 to 2011

| Northwest |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| School (District) | 2010 \& 2011 <br> \% Proficient// <br> Advanced | \% Point <br> Increase |
| Trusty Elementary <br> (Fort Smith) | $45 \% \rightarrow 64 \%$ | $19 \%$ |
| St. Joe Elementary <br> (Ozark Mountain) | $68 \% \rightarrow 82 \%$ | $14 \%$ |
| Mansfield Elementary <br> (Mansfield) | $80 \% \rightarrow 92 \%$ | $12 \%$ |
| Lead Hill Elementary <br> (Lead Hill) | $69 \% \rightarrow 80 \%$ | $11 \%$ |
| Asbell Elementary <br> (Fayetteville) | $66 \% \rightarrow 76 \%$ | $10 \%$ |



## Southwest

| School (District) | 2010 \& 2011 \% <br> Proficient/ <br> Advanced | \% Point <br> Increase |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Union Elementary <br> (El Dorado) | $55 \% \rightarrow 75 \%$ | $20 \%$ |
| L. F. Henderson <br> Intermediate <br> (Ashdown) | $67 \% \rightarrow 82 \%$ | $15 \%$ |
| Vera Kilpatrick <br> Elementary <br> (Texarkana) | $68 \% \rightarrow 81 \%$ | $13 \%$ |
| Centerpoint <br> Intermediate <br> (Centerpoint) | $79 \% \rightarrow 90 \%$ | $11 \%$ |
| Fairview Elementary <br> (Texarkana) | $72 \% \rightarrow 83 \%$ | $11 \%$ |

Northeast

| School (District) | $\begin{gathered} 2010 \& \\ 2011 \% \\ \text { Proficient/ } \\ \text { Advanced } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | \% Point Increase |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Black Rock Elementary (Lawrence County) | $66 \% \rightarrow 83 \%$ | 17\% |
| Viola Elementary (Viola) | 82\% $\rightarrow 98 \%$ | 16\% |
| Evening Shade Math \& Science Academy (Cave City) | $82 \% \rightarrow 97 \%$ | 15\% |
| Augusta Elementary (Augusta) | 55\% $\rightarrow 69 \%$ | 14\% |
| Palestine-Wheatley <br> Elementary <br> (Palestine/Wheatley) | $69 \% \rightarrow 82 \%$ | 13\% |

Central

| School (District) | 2010 \& 2011 <br> \% Proficient/ <br> Advanced | \% Point <br> Increase |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Wilson Elementary (Little <br> Rock) | $30 \% \rightarrow 52 \%$ | $22 \%$ |
| Mayflower Elementary <br> (Mayflower) | $63 \% \rightarrow 84 \%$ | $21 \%$ |
| Sallie Cone Elementary <br> (Conway) | $71 \% \rightarrow 90 \%$ | $19 \%$ |
| Jacksonville Lighthouse <br> Charter Elementary <br> (Jacksonville Lighthouse | $68 \% \rightarrow 84 \%$ | $16 \%$ |
| Charter) | $45 \% \rightarrow 60 \%$ | $15 \%$ |
| Seventh Street Elementary <br> (North Little Rock) | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ \& 2011 <br> \% Proficient// <br> Advanced | \% Point |
| Increase |  |  |$|$| Southeast |
| :--- |

## B. Elementary Schools, Literacy

The 22 highest-gaining elementary schools between 2010 and 2011 in literacy based on the state's benchmark exams are listed in Table 89 below. As with the top 20 schools in math, these are largely high-poverty schools, showing high percentages of students receiving free or reduced-price lunches. Of the 22 schools on the list, 17 have FRL rates above $80 \%$. These schools are broadly scattered across the state, with only the southeast being relatively under-represented on the list. These 22 schools' gains range from 15\% (North Heights, Geyer Springs, Fairview, and Vilonia Primary) to $42 \%$ for Evening Shade Math \& Science Academy in Cave City. These 22 schools have all shown exceptional progress over the last school year, and are to be commended.

Tables 90-94 provide the top five gainers for each of the state's five regions.
Table 89: Top 22 Most Improved Elementary Schools Based on Benchmark Literacy Achievement from 2010 to 2011

|  | School (District) | Region | Grades Served | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { FRL } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2010 \& \\ 2011 \% \\ \text { Proficient/ } \\ \text { Advanced } \end{gathered}$ | \% Point <br> Increase |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Evening Shade Math \& Science Academy (Cave City) | NE | K-4 | 89\% | $52 \% \rightarrow 94 \%$ | 42\% |
| 2 | Sallie Cone Elementary (Conway) | CN | K-4 | 81\% | 63\% $\rightarrow 91 \%$ | 28\% |
| 3 | Wilmot Elementary (Hamburg) | SE | K-5 | 100\% | $52 \% \rightarrow 77 \%$ | 25\% |
| 4 | Vera Kilpatrick Elementary (Texarkana) | SW | K-4 | 86\% | $55 \% \rightarrow 79 \%$ | 24\% |
| 5 | Murrell Taylor Elementary (Pulaski County) | CN | K-5 | 80\% | $53 \% \rightarrow 76 \%$ | 23\% |
| 6 | Jackson Elementary (West Memphis) | NE | K-6 | 100\% | $55 \% \rightarrow 75 \%$ | 20\% |
| 6 | Wilson Elementary (Little Rock) | CN | K-5 | 97\% | 27\% $\rightarrow 47 \%$ | 20\% |
| 8 | Trusty Elementary (Fort Smith) | NW | K-6 | 96\% | $42 \% \rightarrow 61 \%$ | 19\% |
| 8 | Harry C. Morrison Elementary (Fort Smith) | NW | K-6 | 95\% | $42 \% \rightarrow 61 \%$ | 19\% |
| 8 | Central Elementary (Forrest City) | NE | K-4 | 81\% | $42 \% \rightarrow 61 \%$ | 19\% |
| 11 | Lynch Drive Elementary (North Little Rock) | CN | K-5 | 98\% | $45 \% \rightarrow 63 \%$ | 18\% |
| 11 | Sloan-Hendrix Elementary (Sloan-Hendrix) | NE | K-4 | 64\% | $70 \% \rightarrow 88 \%$ | 18\% |
| 13 | Union Elementary (Texarkana) | SW | K-4 | 96\% | $48 \% \rightarrow 65 \%$ | 17\% |
| 13 | Eureka Springs Elementary (Eureka Springs) | NW | K-4 | 70\% | $67 \% \rightarrow 84 \%$ | 17\% |
| 15 | Langston Magnet (Hot Springs) | CN | K-4 | 97\% | $50 \% \rightarrow 66 \%$ | 16\% |
| 15 | Belwood Elementary (North Little Rock) | CN | K-5 | 93\% | $46 \% \rightarrow 62 \%$ | 16\% |
| 15 | James R. Tate Elementary (Van Buren) | NW | K-4 | 72\% | $59 \% \rightarrow 75 \%$ | 16\% |
| 15 | Center Valley Elementary (Russellville) | NW | K-4 | 50\% | $74 \% \rightarrow 90 \%$ | 16\% |
| 19 | No. Heights Elementary (North Little Rock) | CN | K-5 | 97\% | $52 \% \rightarrow 67 \%$ | 15\% |
| 19 | Geyer Springs Elementary (Little Rock) | CN | K-5 | 96\% | $36 \% \rightarrow 51 \%$ | 15\% |
| 19 | Fairview Elementary (Texarkana) | SW | K-4 | 91\% | $66 \% \rightarrow 81 \%$ | 15\% |
|  | Vilonia Primary (Vilonia) | CN | K-4 | 42\% | $73 \% \rightarrow 88 \%$ | 15\% |

*In the event of a tie, schools are in order by highest FRL percentage to lowest.

Tables 90-94: Top 5 Most Improved Elementary Schools in Arkansas by Region, Benchmark Literacy Achievement from 2010 to 2011

| Northwest |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| School (District) | 2010 \& 2011 <br> \% |  |
| Proficient/ |  |  |
| Advanced |  |  |$\quad$| \% Point |
| :---: |
| Increase |$|$| Trusty Elementary <br> (Fort Smith) | $42 \% \rightarrow 61 \%$ | $19 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Harry C. Morrison <br> Elementary (Fort Smith) | $42 \% \rightarrow 61 \%$ | $19 \%$ |
| Eureka Springs <br> Elementary <br> (Eureka Springs) | $67 \% \rightarrow 84 \%$ | $17 \%$ |
| Center Valley | $74 \% \rightarrow 90 \%$ | $16 \%$ |
| Elementary <br> (Russellville) | $59 \% \rightarrow 75 \%$ | $16 \%$ |
| James R. Tate <br> Elementary (Van Buren) |  |  |



## Northeast

| School (District) | $\begin{gathered} 2010 \& 2011 \\ \% \\ \text { Proficient/ } \\ \text { Advanced } \end{gathered}$ | \% Point Increase |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Evening Shade Math \& Science Academy (Cave City) | $52 \% \rightarrow 94 \%$ | 42\% |
| Jackson Elementary (West Memphis) | $55 \% \rightarrow 75 \%$ | 20\% |
| Central Elementary (Forrest City) | $42 \% \rightarrow 61 \%$ | 19\% |
| Sloan-Hendrix Elementary (Sloan-Hendrix) | $70 \% \rightarrow 88 \%$ | 18\% |
| Viola Elementary (Viola) | $79 \% \rightarrow 93 \%$ | 14\% |
| Central |  |  |
| School (District) | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2010 \& \\ 2011 \% \\ \text { Proficient/ } \\ \text { Advanced } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | \% Point Increase |
| Sallie Cone Elementary (Conway) | $63 \% \rightarrow 91 \%$ | 28\% |
| Murrell Taylor Elementary (Pulaski County) | 53\% $\rightarrow 76 \%$ | 23\% |
| Wilson Elementary (Little Rock) | 27\% $\rightarrow 47 \%$ | 20\% |
| Lynch Drive Elementary (North Little Rock) | 45\% $\rightarrow 63 \%$ | 18\% |
| Belwood Elementary (North Little Rock) | $46 \% \rightarrow 62 \%$ | 16\% |
| Langston Magnet <br> (Hot Springs) | $50 \% \rightarrow 66 \%$ | 16\% |

Southwest

| School (District) | 2010 \& 2011 <br> \% Proficient/ <br> Advanced | \% Point <br> Increase |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Vera Kilpatrick <br> Elementary (Texarkana) | $55 \% \rightarrow 79 \%$ | $24 \%$ |
| Union Elementary <br> (Texarkana) | $48 \% \rightarrow 65 \%$ | $17 \%$ |
| Fairview Elementary <br> (Texarkana) | $66 \% \rightarrow 81 \%$ | $15 \%$ |
| L. F. Henderson <br> Intermediate (Ashdown) | $60 \% \rightarrow 74 \%$ | $14 \%$ |
| Centerpoint Primary <br> (Centerpoint) | $63 \% \rightarrow 73 \%$ | $10 \%$ |
| Northwest Elementary <br> (El Dorado) | $71 \% \rightarrow 81 \%$ | $10 \%$ |

Southeast

| School (District) | 2010 \& 2011 <br> \% Proficient/ <br> ddvanced | \% Point <br> Increase |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Wilmot Elementary <br> (Hamburg) | $52 \% \rightarrow 77 \%$ | $25 \%$ |
| Park Avenue Elementary <br> (Stuttgart) | $60 \% \rightarrow 72 \%$ | $12 \%$ |
| C. B. Partee Elementary <br> (Brinkley) | $72 \% \rightarrow 83 \%$ | $11 \%$ |
| J. F. Wahl Elementary <br> (Helena/W. Helena) | $54 \% \rightarrow 63 \%$ | $9 \%$ |
| Des Arc Elementary <br> (Des Arc) | $63 \% \rightarrow 72 \%$ | $9 \%$ |
|  |  |  |

## C. Middle Schools, Mathematics

Arkansas' top 22 most improved middle schools in math between 2010 and 2011, as measured by the state benchmark exams are presented in Table 95 below. FRL rates for these schools are generally above the state average, but not quite as high as for the most improved elementary schools. The schools in the table are distributed mostly across the state's north, with 15 of the 22 schools coming from either the northeast or northwest regions. These schools' gains in proficiency rates ranged from 19 percentage points for Osceola Middle to 6 percentage points for Central Elementary, Butterfield Junior High, and Nettleton Junior High.

Tables 96-100 list the top five most-improved schools for each of the state's regions. All schools in these tables, as well as the overall top 22, are to be commended for their progress in increasing students' math proficiency.

Table 95: Top 22 Most Improved Middle Schools Based on Benchmark Mathematics Achievement from 2010 to 2011

|  | School (District) | Region | Grades Served | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { FRL } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2010 \& \\ 2011 \% \\ \text { Proficient/ } \\ \text { Advanced } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | \% Point <br> Increase |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Osceola Middle (Osceola) | NE | 6-8 | 98\% | 19\% $\rightarrow 38 \%$ | 19\% |
| 2 | Buffalo Is. Central Junior High (Buffalo Island Central) | NE | 7-9 | 70\% | $59 \% \rightarrow 71 \%$ | 12\% |
| 3 | Flippin Middle (Flippin) | NW | 6-8 | 56\% | 69\% $\rightarrow 79 \%$ | 10\% |
| 3 | Central Junior High (Springdale) | NW | 8-9 | 40\% | $59 \% \rightarrow 69 \%$ | 10\% |
| 5 | Wonder Junior High (West Memphis) | NE | 7-9 | 93\% | $34 \% \rightarrow 43 \%$ | 9\% |
| 5 | Hoxie Middle (Hoxie) | NE | 6-7 | 72\% | $74 \% \rightarrow 83 \%$ | 9\% |
| 5 | Nashville Elementary (Nashville) | SW | 4-6 | 63\% | $77 \% \rightarrow 86 \%$ | 9\% |
| 5 | Coleman Junior High (Van Buren) | NW | 7-9 | 52\% | $59 \% \rightarrow 68 \%$ | 9\% |
| 9 | Highland Middle (Highland) | NE | 5-7 | 66\% | $72 \% \rightarrow 80 \%$ | 8\% |
| 9 | Meekins Middle (Stuttgart) | SE | 5-6 | 60\% | 64\% $\rightarrow 72 \%$ | 8\% |
| 9 | Gravette Middle (Gravette) | NW | 6-8 | 52\% | $72 \% \rightarrow 80 \%$ | 8\% |
| 12 | Hot Springs Intermediate (Hot Springs) | CN | 5-6 | 80\% | 69\% $\rightarrow 76 \%$ | 7\% |
| 12 | Poplar Street Middle (North Little Rock) | CN | 6 | 70\% | 60\% $\rightarrow 67 \%$ | 7\% |
| 12 | Kraus Middle (Clarksville) | NW | 5-6 | 68\% | 81\% $\rightarrow 88 \%$ | 7\% |
| 12 | Fordyce Middle (Fordyce) | SW | 5-8 | 65\% | $50 \% \rightarrow 57 \%$ | 7\% |
| 12 | Pocahontas Junior High (Pocahontas) | NE | 7-9 | 60\% | $74 \% \rightarrow 81 \%$ | 7\% |
|  | Marion Middle (Marion) | NE | 6-7 | 57\% | $71 \% \rightarrow 78 \%$ | 7\% |
| 12 | Brookland Middle (Brookland) | NE | 6-8 | 37\% | 62\% $\rightarrow 69 \%$ | 7\% |
| 12 | Arkansas Virtual Academy Jr (Arkansas Virtural Academy) | CN | 7-8 | N/A | 64\% $\rightarrow 71 \%$ | 7\% |
| 20 | Central Elementary (Magnolia) | SW | 4-6 | 71\% | 66\% $\rightarrow 72 \%$ | 6\% |
|  | Butterfield Junior High (Van Buren) | NW | 7-8 | 59\% | 63\% $\rightarrow 69 \%$ | 6\% |
|  | Nettleton Junior High (Nettleton) | NE | 7-8 | 51\% | 68\% $\rightarrow 74 \%$ | 6\% |

*In the event of a tie, schools are in order by highest FRL percentage to lowest.

Tables 96-100: Top 5 Most Improved Middle Schools in Arkansas by Region, Benchmark Mathematics Achievement from 2010 to 2011

| Northwest |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| School (District) | 2010 \& 2011 \% <br> Proficient/ <br> Advanced | \% Point <br> Increase |
| Central Junior High <br> (Springdale) | $59 \% \rightarrow 69 \%$ | $10 \%$ |
| Flippin Middle <br> (Flippin) | $69 \% \rightarrow 79 \%$ | $10 \%$ |
| Coleman Junior <br> High (Van Buren) | $59 \% \rightarrow 68 \%$ | $9 \%$ |
| Gravette Middle <br> (Gravette) | $72 \% \rightarrow 80 \%$ | $8 \%$ |
| Kraus Middle <br> (Clarksville) | $81 \% \rightarrow 88 \%$ | $7 \%$ |



| Northeast |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School (District) | $2010 \& 2011$ \% Proficient/ Advanced | \% Point Increase |
| Osceola Middle (Osceola) | 19\% $\rightarrow 38 \%$ | 19\% |
| Buffalo Is. Central Junior High (Buffalo Island Central) | $59 \% \rightarrow 71 \%$ | 12\% |
| Hoxie Middle (Hoxie) | $74 \% \rightarrow 83 \%$ | 9\% |
| Wonder Junior High (West Memphis) | $34 \% \rightarrow 43 \%$ | 9\% |
| Highland Middle (Highland) | $72 \% \rightarrow 80 \%$ | 8\% |
| Central |  |  |
| School (District) | 2010 \& 2011 <br> \% Proficient/ <br> Advanced | \% Point Increase |
| Arkansas Virtual Academy Jr (Arkansas Virtual Academy) | $64 \% \rightarrow 71 \%$ | 7\% |
| Hot Springs Intermediate (Hot Springs) | 69\% $\rightarrow 76 \%$ | 7\% |
| Poplar Street Middle (North Little Rock) | 60\% $\rightarrow 67 \%$ | 7\% |
| Raymond and Phyllis Simon Intermediate (Conway) | 82\% $\rightarrow 87 \%$ | 5\% |
| Robert F. Morehead Middle (Dollarway) | $45 \% \rightarrow 50 \%$ | 5\% |


| Southwest |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| School (District) | 2010 \& 2011 <br> \% Proficient/ <br> Advanced | \% Point <br> Increase |
| Nashville Elementary <br> (Nashville) | $77 \% \rightarrow 86 \%$ | $9 \%$ |
| Fordyce Middle <br> (Fordyce) | $50 \% \rightarrow 57 \%$ | $7 \%$ |
| Central Elementary <br> (Magnolia) | $66 \% \rightarrow 72 \%$ | $6 \%$ |
| College Hill <br> (Texarkana) | $58 \% \rightarrow 62 \%$ | $4 \%$ |
| Ashdown Junior High <br> (Ashdown) | $59 \% \rightarrow 62 \%$ | $3 \%$ |

$\left.\begin{array}{lcc}\text { Southeast } & \text { 2010 \& 2011 \% } \\ \text { Proficient/ } \\ \text { Advanced }\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c}\text { \% Point } \\ \text { Increase }\end{array}\right\}$

## D. Middle Schools, Literacy

The state's top 20 most improved schools in middle school literacy are presented in Table 101. These schools' proficiency increases ranged from 12 percentage points for Southwest Junior High to 6 percentage points (East Jr. High, Green Forest, Dumas Jr. High, Hot Springs Middle, Buffalo Island Central Jr. High, Dardanelle Middle, Lonoke Middle, and Lakeside Middle in Garland County). These schools are quite different from one another, not only scattered quite evenly across the state but also having widely varying levels of poverty and serving different sets of grades. An examination of 2010 proficiency rates shows that some started off quite low, with proficiency rates as low as $39 \%$, while others started off relatively high (above $80 \%$ ) and improved even further. Whatever their unique characteristics may be, all these schools are to be commended for their progress in proficiency on the 2011 state benchmark literacy exams.

Tables 102-106 give the top five most improved schools in middle school literacy for each of the state's five regions.

Table 101: Top 20 Most Improved Middle Schools Based on Benchmark Literacy Achievement from 2010 to 2011

|  | School (District) | Region | Grades Served | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { FRL } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 \& \\ & 2011 \% \end{aligned}$ <br> Proficient/ <br> Advanced | \% Point <br> Increase |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Southwest Junior High (Springdale) | NW | 8-9 | 63\% | 66\% $\rightarrow 78 \%$ | 12\% |
| 2 | Osceola Middle (Osceola) | NE | 6-8 | 98\% | 39\% $\rightarrow 50 \%$ | 11\% |
| 3 | Fouke Middle (Fouke) | SW | 6-8 | 59\% | 63\% $\rightarrow 73 \%$ | 10\% |
| 4 | Crossett Middle (Crossett) | SE | 5-8 | 59\% | $58 \% \rightarrow 67 \%$ | 9\% |
| 5 | Danville Middle (Danville) | NW | 6-8 | 75\% | $71 \% \rightarrow 79 \%$ | 8\% |
| 5 | Nashville Elementary (Nashville) | SW | 4-6 | 63\% | $70 \% \rightarrow 78 \%$ | 8\% |
| 5 | White Hall Junior High (White Hall) | CN | 7-9 | 33\% | $75 \% \rightarrow 83 \%$ | 8\% |
| 8 | Wonder Junior High (West Memphis) | NE | 7-9 | 93\% | $48 \% \rightarrow 55 \%$ | 7\% |
| 8 | George Junior High (Springdale) | NW | 8-9 | 73\% | 68\% $\rightarrow 75 \%$ | 7\% |
| 8 | West Fork Middle (West Fork) | NW | 5-8 | 54\% | $71 \% \rightarrow 78 \%$ | 7\% |
| 8 | Vilonia Middle (Vilonia) | CN | 5-7 | 38\% | $79 \% \rightarrow 86 \%$ | 7\% |
| 8 | Arkansas Virtual Academy (Arkansas Virtual Academy) | CN | 7-8 | N/A | $73 \% \rightarrow 80 \%$ | 7\% |
| 13 | East Junior High (West Memphis) | NE | 7-9 | 93\% | $52 \% \rightarrow 58 \%$ | 6\% |
| 13 | Green Forest Intermediate (Green Forest) | NW | 4-8 | 80\% | $70 \% \rightarrow 76 \%$ | 6\% |
| 13 | Dumas Junior High (Dumas) | SE | 7-9 | 79\% | $54 \% \rightarrow 60 \%$ | 6\% |
| 13 | Hot Springs Middle (Hot Springs) | CN | 7-8 | 78\% | $61 \% \rightarrow 67 \%$ | 6\% |
| 13 | Buffalo Is. Central Junior High (Buffalo Island Central) | NE | 7-9 | 70\% | 69\% $\rightarrow 75 \%$ | 6\% |
| 13 | Dardanelle Middle (Dardanelle) | NW | 7-8 | 66\% | $75 \% \rightarrow 81 \%$ | 6\% |
| 13 | Lonoke Middle (Lonoke) | CN | 6-8 | 55\% | $74 \% \rightarrow 80 \%$ | 6\% |
| 13 | Lakeside Middle (Lakeside B) | CN | 5-7 | 40\% | $81 \% \rightarrow 87 \%$ | 6\% |

*In the event of a tie, schools are in order by highest FRL percentage to lowest.

Tables 102-106: Top 5 Most Improved Middle Schools in Arkansas by Region, Benchmark Literacy Achievement from 2010 to 2011

| Northwest |  |  | Northeast |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School (District) | $\begin{gathered} 2010 \& 2011 \% \\ \text { Proficient/ } \\ \text { Advanced } \end{gathered}$ | \% Point Increase | School (District) | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2010 \& 2011 \% \\ \text { Proficient// } \\ \text { Advanced } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | \% Point Increase |
| Southwest Junior High (Springdale) | 66\% $\rightarrow 78 \%$ | 12\% | Osceola Middle (Osceola) | 39\% $\rightarrow 50 \%$ | 11\% |
|  |  |  | Wonder Junior High (West Memphis) | $48 \% \rightarrow 55 \%$ | 7\% |
| Danville Middle (Danville) | $71 \% \rightarrow 79 \%$ | 8\% |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | East Junior High (West Memphis) | $52 \% \rightarrow 58 \%$ | 6\% |
| George Junior High (Springdale) | 68\% $\rightarrow 75 \%$ | 7\% |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Buffalo Is. Central Junior High (Buffalo Island Central) | $69 \% \rightarrow 75 \%$ | 6\% |
| West Fork Middle (West Fork) | $71 \% \rightarrow 78 \%$ | 7\% |  |  |  |
| Green Forest Intermediate (Green Forest) | $70 \% \rightarrow 76 \%$ | 6\% | Douglas MacArthur Junior High (Jonesboro) | $62 \% \rightarrow 67 \%$ | 5\% |
|  |  |  | Central |  |  |
| Dardanelle Middle (Dardanelle) | $75 \% \rightarrow 81 \%$ | 6\% | School (District) | 2010 \& 2011 \% Proficient/ Advanced | \% Point Increase |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | White Hall Junior High (White Hall) | $75 \% \rightarrow 83 \%$ | 8\% |
|  |  | Vilonia Middle (Vilonia) | $79 \% \rightarrow 86 \%$ | 7\% |  |
|  |  | Arkansas Virtual Academy Jr (Arkansas Virtual Academy) | $73 \% \rightarrow 80 \%$ | 7\% |  |
|  |  | Hot Springs Middle (Hot Springs) | $61 \% \rightarrow 67 \%$ | 6\% |  |
|  |  | Lonoke Middle (Lonoke) | $74 \% \rightarrow 80 \%$ | 6\% |  |
|  |  | Lakeside Middle (Lakeside B) | $81 \% \rightarrow 87 \%$ | 6\% |  |
| Southwest |  |  | Southeast |  |  |
| School (District) | $\begin{gathered} 2010 \& 2011 \% \\ \text { Proficient/ } \\ \text { Advanced } \end{gathered}$ |  | \% Point Increase | School (District) | 2010 \& 2011 \% Proficient/ Advanced | \% Point Increase |
| Fouke Middle (Fouke) | $63 \% \rightarrow 73 \%$ |  | 10\% | Crossett Middle | $58 \% \rightarrow 67 \%$ | 9\% |
| Nashville Elementary (Nashville) | $70 \% \rightarrow 78 \%$ |  | 8\% | (Crossett) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Dumas Junior High <br> (Dumas) | $54 \% \rightarrow 60 \%$ | 6\% |
| College Hill Middle (Texarkana) | $58 \% \rightarrow 63 \%$ |  | 5\% |  |  |  |
|  |  | Lakeside Middle |  | $59 \% \rightarrow 63 \%$ | 4\% |  |
| DeQueen Junior (DeQueen) | 75\% $\rightarrow 80 \%$ | 5\% | (Lakeside A) | $70 \% \rightarrow 74 \%$ | 4\% |  |
|  |  |  | Drew Central Middle |  |  |  |
| Ashdown Junior High (Ashdown) | $57 \% \rightarrow 62 \%$ | 5\% | (Drew Central) |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Eliza Miller Junior (Helena/W. Helena) | $52 \% \rightarrow 55 \%$ | 3\% |  |
|  |  |  | Anna Strong Middle (Lee County) | $48 \% \rightarrow 51 \%$ | 3\% |  |

## E. High Schools, Algebra

The state's top 20 most improved high schools and middle schools on the end-of-course Algebra I exam are presented in Table 107. These schools showed very large gains in algebra proficiency between 2010 and 2011, with increases ranging from 39 percentage points at Norphlet High to 23 percentage points (Shirley High, Danville High, Fordyce Middle, Kingston High, Arkansas High, and Nettleton Jr. High). These schools' poverty levels varied widely, from $34 \%$ of students receiving free or reduced-price lunches at Woodlawn High to $94 \%$ at Earle High and Dermott High. Further, these schools' improvements were made from a wide range of 2010 proficiency rates, with the previous year's proficiency being as low as $18 \%$ and as high as $70 \%$. All of these schools are to be commended for their progress in 2011.

Tables 108-112 provide the top five most improved schools on the Algebra I end-of-course exam for each of the state's five regions.

Table 107: Top 20 Most Improved Schools Based on EOC Algebra Achievement from 2010 to 2011

|  | School (District) | Region | Grades Served | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { FRL } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2010 \& 2011 \\ \text { \% Proficient/ } \\ \text { Advanced } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | \% Point <br> Increase |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Norphlet High (Norphlet) | SW | 7-12 | 43\% | 49\% $\rightarrow 88 \%$ | 39\% |
| 2 | Lee County High (Lee County) | SE | 9-12 | 87\% | 31\% $\rightarrow 69 \%$ | 38\% |
| 3 | Emerson High (Emerson-Taylor) | SW | 7-12 | 50\% | 63\% $\rightarrow 100 \%$ | 37\% |
| 4 | Hughes High (Hughes) | NE | 7-12 | 80\% | $40 \% \rightarrow 70 \%$ | 30\% |
| 5 | Earle High (Earle) | NE | 7-12 | 94\% | 18\% $\rightarrow 47 \%$ | 29\% |
| 5 | Beebe High (Beebe) | NE | 9-12 | 41\% | $43 \% \rightarrow 72 \%$ | 29\% |
| 5 | Woodlawn High (Woodlawn) | SE | 7-12 | 34\% | $59 \% \rightarrow 88 \%$ | 29\% |
| 8 | Dermott High (Dermott) | SE | 7-12 | 94\% | $58 \% \rightarrow 86 \%$ | 28\% |
| 8 | Blytheville High (Blytheville) | NE | 9-12 | 73\% | $33 \% \rightarrow 61 \%$ | 28\% |
| 10 | Marvell High (Marvell) | SE | 7-12 | 93\% | 29\% $\rightarrow 56 \%$ | 27\% |
| 10 | Lead Hill High (Lead Hill) | NW | 7-12 | 73\% | 63\% $\rightarrow 90 \%$ | 27\% |
| 10 | Lincoln High (Lincoln) | NW | 9-12 | 66\% | 60\% $\rightarrow 87 \%$ | 27\% |
| 13 | Stephens High (Stephens) | SW | 7-12 | 88\% | $43 \% \rightarrow 68 \%$ | 25\% |
| 13 | Smackover High (Smackover) | SW | 7-12 | 46\% | $66 \% \rightarrow 91 \%$ | 25\% |
| 15 | Shirley High (Shirley) | NW | 7-12 | 74\% | $42 \% \rightarrow 65 \%$ | 23\% |
| 15 | Danville High (Danville) | NW | 9-12 | 68\% | $46 \% \rightarrow 69 \%$ | 23\% |
| 15 | Fordyce Middle (Fordyce) | SW | 6-8 | 65\% | $70 \% \rightarrow 93 \%$ | 23\% |
| 15 | Kingston High (Jasper) | NW | 7-12 | 62\% | $68 \% \rightarrow 91 \%$ | 23\% |
| 15 | Arkansas High (Texarkana) | SW | 9-12 | 56\% | $36 \% \rightarrow 59 \%$ | 23\% |
| 15 | Nettleton Junior High (Nettleton) | NE | 7-8 | 51\% | $73 \% \rightarrow 96 \%$ | 23\% |

[^0]Tables 108-112: Top 5 Most Improved High Schools in Arkansas by Region, Algebra Achievement from 2010 to 2011

| Northwest |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| School (District) | 2010 \& 2011 <br> \% Proficient/ <br> Advanced | \% Point <br> Increase |
| Lead Hill High <br> (Lead Hill) | $63 \% \rightarrow 90 \%$ | $27 \%$ |
| Lincoln High <br> (Lincoln) | $60 \% \rightarrow 87 \%$ | $27 \%$ |
| Shirley High <br> (Shirley) | $42 \% \rightarrow 65 \%$ | $23 \%$ |
| Danville High <br> (Danville) | $46 \% \rightarrow 69 \%$ | $23 \%$ |
| Kingston High <br> (Jasper) | $68 \% \rightarrow 91 \%$ | $23 \%$ |


| Northeast |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School (District) | 2010 \& 2011 <br> \% Proficient/ Advanced | \% Point Increase |
| Hughes High (Hughes) | $40 \% \rightarrow 70 \%$ | 30\% |
| Earle High (Earle) | 18\% $\rightarrow 47 \%$ | 29\% |
| Beebe High (Beebe) | $43 \% \rightarrow 72 \%$ | 29\% |
| Blytheville High <br> (Blytheville) | $33 \% \rightarrow 61 \%$ | 28\% |
| Nettleton Junior High (Nettleton) | $73 \% \rightarrow 96 \%$ | 23\% |
| Central |  |  |
| School (District) | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2010 \& 2011 \\ \% \text { Proficient/ } \\ \text { Advanced } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | \% Point Increase |
| Henderson Middle (Little Rock) | $68 \% \rightarrow 88 \%$ | 20\% |
| Jacksonville Middle (Pulaski County) | $75 \% \rightarrow 93 \%$ | 18\% |
| Central High (Little Rock) | $53 \% \rightarrow 71 \%$ | 18\% |
| Summit (Hot Springs) | 09\% $\rightarrow 25 \%$ | 16\% |
| Wilbur D. Mills High (Pulaski County) | 29\% $\rightarrow 44 \%$ | 15\% |
| eStem Middle (eStem Middle Public Charter) | $68 \% \rightarrow 83 \%$ | 15\% |
| Southeast |  |  |
| School (District) | 2010 \& 2011 <br> \% Proficient/ <br> Advanced | \% Point Increase |
| Lee County High (Lee County) | $31 \% \rightarrow 69 \%$ | 38\% |
| Woodlawn High (Woodlawn) | $59 \% \rightarrow 88 \%$ | 29\% |
| Dermott High <br> (Dermott) | $58 \% \rightarrow 86 \%$ | 28\% |
| Marvell High (Marvell) | 29\% $\rightarrow 56 \%$ | 27\% |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dumas Junior High } \\ & \text { (Dumas) } \end{aligned}$ | $52 \% \rightarrow 70 \%$ | 18\% |


| Southwest |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| School (District) | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 ~ \& ~ 2 0 1 1 ~}$ <br> \% Proficient// <br> Advanced | \% Point <br> Increase |
| Norphlet High <br> (Norphlet) | $49 \% \rightarrow 88 \%$ | $39 \%$ |
| Emerson High (Earle) | $63 \% \rightarrow 100 \%$ | $37 \%$ |
| Stephens High <br> (Stephens) | $43 \% \rightarrow 68 \%$ | $25 \%$ |
| Smackover High <br> (Smackover) | $66 \% \rightarrow 91 \%$ | $25 \%$ |
| Fordyce Middle <br> (Fordyce) | $70 \% \rightarrow 93 \%$ | $23 \%$ |
| Arkansas High <br> (Texarkana) | $36 \% \rightarrow 59 \%$ | $23 \%$ |

## F. High Schools, Literacy

The 23 Arkansas high schools with the greatest improvement in proficiency rates on the Grade 11 Literacy end-of-course exam from 2010 to 2011 are presented in Table 113. These schools improved their proficiency rates by great amounts, ranging from an increase of 42 percentage points at Cutter-Morning Star High to 23 percentage points for Earle High, Riverview High, Hartford High, and Highland High. These high schools' 2010 proficiency rates ranged from a low of $27 \%$ to a high of 59 . Additionally, these schools had widely varying poverty rates, with rates of free and reduced-price lunch subscription ranging from $33 \%$ to $94 \%$. All of these schools' gains for 2011 are to be commended.

Tables 114-118 provide the top five most improved high schools on Grade 11 Literacy for each of Arkansas' five educational regions.

Table 113: Top 23 Most Improved Schools Based on EOC Literacy Achievement from 2010 to 2011

|  | School (District) | Region | Grades Served | \% | 2010 \& 2011 <br> \% Proficient/ <br> Advanced | \% Point Increase |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Cutter-Morning Star High (Cutter Morning Star) | CN | 7-12 | 57\% | $44 \% \rightarrow 86 \%$ | 42\% |
| 2 | St. Paul High (Huntsville) | NW | 7-12 | 79\% | 50\% $\rightarrow 88 \%$ | 38\% |
| 3 | Hackett High School (Hackett) | NW | 7-12 | 49\% | $39 \% \rightarrow 73 \%$ | 34\% |
| 4 | Poyen High (Poyen) | CN | 7-12 | 52\% | 38\% $\rightarrow 70 \%$ | 32\% |
| 4 | Calico Rock High (Calico Rock) | NE | 7-12 | 48\% | $59 \% \rightarrow 91 \%$ | 32\% |
| 6 | Carlisle High (Carlisle) | CN | 7-12 | 50\% | 36\% $\rightarrow 65 \%$ | 29\% |
| 7 | Mt. Judea High (Deer/Mt. Judea) | NW | 7-12 | 82\% | 27\% $\rightarrow 55 \%$ | 28\% |
| 7 | Guy-Perkins High (Guy-Perkins) | CN | 7-12 | 61\% | $53 \% \rightarrow 81 \%$ | 28\% |
| 7 | Lamar High (Lamar) | NW | 9-12 | 51\% | $59 \% \rightarrow 87 \%$ | 28\% |
| 7 | Ouachita High (Ouachita) | CN | 7-12 | 48\% | $43 \% \rightarrow 71 \%$ | 28\% |
| 11 | Spring Hill High (Spring Hill) | SW | 7-12 | 45\% | $52 \% \rightarrow 79 \%$ | 27\% |
| 12 | Kingston High (Jasper) | NW | 7-12 | 62\% | $58 \% \rightarrow 84 \%$ | 26\% |
| 12 | Norphlet High (Norphlet) | SW | 7-12 | 43\% | $56 \% \rightarrow 82 \%$ | 26\% |
|  | Palestine-Wheatley Senior High (PalestineWheat/Wheatley) | NE | 9-12 | 86\% | $40 \% \rightarrow 65 \%$ | 25\% |
| 14 | Bruno-Pyatt High (Ozark Mountain) | NW | 7-12 | 83\% | $31 \% \rightarrow 56 \%$ | 25\% |
| 14 | Crossett High (Crossett) | SE | 9-12 | 46\% | 41\% $\rightarrow 66 \%$ | 25\% |
| 14 | Bauxite High (Bauxite) | CN | 7-12 | 33\% | $56 \% \rightarrow 81 \%$ | 25\% |
| 18 | West Side High (West Side) | NE | 7-12 | 57\% | $58 \% \rightarrow 82 \%$ | 24\% |
| 18 | El Dorado High (El Dorado) | SW | 9-12 | 52\% | $50 \% \rightarrow 74 \%$ | 24\% |
| 20 | Earle High (Earle) | NE | 7-12 | 94\% | $27 \% \rightarrow 50 \%$ | 23\% |
|  | Riverview High (Riverview) | NE | 9-12 | 59\% | 53\% $\rightarrow 76 \%$ | 23\% |
| 20 | Hartford High (Hartford) | NW | 7-12 | 58\% | $46 \% \rightarrow 69 \%$ | 23\% |
|  | Highland High (Highland) | NE | 8-12 | 57\% | 58\% $\rightarrow 81 \%$ | 23\% |

Statewide Grade 11 Literacy EOC \% Proficient/Advanced 2010: 60\%
Statewide Grade 11 Literacy EOC \% Proficient/Advanced 2011: 65\%

Tables 114-118: Top 5 Most Improved High Schools in Arkansas by Region, Literacy Achievement from 2010 to 2011

| School (District) | $\begin{gathered} 2010 \& 2011 \\ \% \text { Proficient/ } \\ \text { Advanced } \end{gathered}$ | \% Point <br> Increase |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| St. Paul High (Huntsville) | 50\% $\rightarrow 88 \%$ | 38\% |
| Hackett High (Hackett) | 39\% $\rightarrow 73 \%$ | 34\% |
| Mt. Judea High (Deer/Mt. Judea) | 27\% $\rightarrow 55 \%$ | 28\% |
| Lamar High (Lamar) | $59 \% \rightarrow 87 \%$ | 28\% |
| Kingston High (Jasper) | $58 \% \rightarrow 84 \%$ | 26\% |



Northeast

| School (District) | 2010 \& 2011 <br> \% Proficient/ <br> Advanced | \% Point <br> Increase |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Calico Rock (Calico <br> Rock) | $59 \% \rightarrow 91 \%$ | $32 \%$ |
| Palestine-Wheatley <br> Senior High (Palestine- <br> Wheat/Wheatley) | $40 \% \rightarrow 65 \%$ | $25 \%$ |
| West Side High <br> (West Side) | $58 \% \rightarrow 82 \%$ | $24 \%$ |
| Earle High (Earle) <br> Riverview High <br> (Riverview) <br> Highland High <br> (Highland) $\mathrm{53} \mathrm{\%} \mathrm{\rightarrow 50} \mathrm{\%} \mathrm{23} \mathrm{\%}$ |  |  |

## Central

| School (District) | 2010 \& 2011 <br> \% Proficient// <br> Advanced | \% Point <br> Increase |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Cutter-Morning Star High <br> (Cutter Morning Star) | $44 \% \rightarrow 86 \%$ | $42 \%$ |
| Poyen High (Poyen) | $38 \% \rightarrow 70 \%$ | $32 \%$ |
| Carlisle High (Carlisle) <br> Guy-Perkins High <br> (Guy-Perkins) <br> Ouachita High <br> (Ouachita) <br> $6 \% \rightarrow 65 \%$ | $29 \%$ |  |

Southeast

| School (District) | 2010 \& 2011 <br> \% Proficient/ <br> Advanced | \% Point <br> Increase |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Crossett High (Crossett) | $41 \% \rightarrow 66 \%$ | $25 \%$ |
| Hamburg High <br> (Hamburg) | $44 \% \rightarrow 62 \%$ | $18 \%$ |
| Marvell High (Marvell) <br> Hermitage High <br> (Hermitage) <br> Dermott High (Dermott) | $27 \% \rightarrow 43 \%$ | $16 \%$ |

## Final Thought

Since our founding in 2003, the mission of the Office for Education Policy has been to look at pressing issues through the lens of academic research and disseminate our findings to educators, policymakers, and other stakeholders around Arkansas. Every once in a while, however, we think it is okay to stray from issue analysis and simply share some good news! Throughout this report over the last three years, we have highlighted many outstanding schools in Arkansas. These schools are located all over the state and the students and educators in these schools deserve congratulations for excellent academic performance. We look forward to continued improvement from Arkansas schools and students for many years to come!


[^0]:    *In the event of a tie, schools are in order by highest FRL percentage to lowest.
    Statewide Algebra I EOC \% Proficient/Advanced 2010: 76\%
    Statewide Algebra I EOC \% Proficient/Advanced 2011: 78\%

